

1226 Pickens Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
info@garberreporting.com
Telephone: (803) 256-4500 | Fax (803) 256-1999

## HEARING PROCEEDINGS

May 09, 2024

2024 JMSC Spring Screening

REPORTER: Kathryn Bostrom

1		* * * *
2		JUDICIAL MERIT SELECTION COMMISSION
3		TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARINGS
4		* * * *
5		
6	BEFORE:	SENATOR LUKE A. RANKIN, CHAIRMAN
7		REPRESENTATIVE "MICAH" CASKEY, IV, VICE CHAIRMAN
8		SENATOR SCOTT TALLEY
9		REPRESENTATIVE WALLACE H. "JAY" JORDAN, JR.
10		REPRESENTATIVE J. TODD RUTHERFORD
11		SENATOR RONNIE A. SABB
12		HOPE BLACKLEY
13		LUCY GREY MCIVER
14		ANDREW N. SAFRAN
15		J.P. PETE STROM
16		ERIN B. CRAWFORD, CHIEF COUNSEL
17		* * * *
18		
19	DATE:	Thursday, May 9, 2024
20	TIME:	9:30 a.m.
21	LOCATION:	Gressette Building, Room 105
22		1101 Pendleton Street
23		Columbia, South Carolina 29201
24		
25	REPORTED B	3Y: Kathryn B. Bostrom, Court Reporter

1	CONTENTS:
2	(Hearings)
3	PAGE
4	Exhibit Index
5	The Honorable R. Keith Kelly
6	Examination by Ms. Faulk 8
7	Examination by Mr. Safran
8	The Honorable Jocelyn Newman
9	Examination by Mr. Hinson 54
10	Examination by Ms. McIver 66
11	Examination by Mr. Strom
12	Examination by Representative Rutherford 71
13	Examination by Mr. Safran
14	Examination by Senator Sabb
15	Examination by Senator Rankin 80
16	The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin
17	Examination by Ms. Crawford
18	Examination by Mr. Safran
19	Examination by Chairman Rankin
20	Certification of Reporter
21	Word Index
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	TWILD THO
1 2	EXHIBITS
3	Erbibit No. 1 (12 Deces)
	Exhibit No. 1 (13 Pages) 6
4	(PDQ - The Honorable R. Keith Kelly)
5	Exhibit No. 2 (2 Pages) 6
6	(Amendment to PDQ - The Honorable R. Keith Kelly)
7	Exhibit No. 3 (4 Pages)
8	(Sworn Statement - The Honorable R. Keith Kelly)
9	Exhibit No. 4 (1 Pages)
10	(Amendment - The Honorable R. Keith Kelly)
11	Exhibit No. 5 (17 Pages)
12	(PDQ - The Honorable Jocelyn Newman)
13	Exhibit No. 6 (1 Page)
14	(Amendment to PDQ - The Honorable Jocelyn Newman)
15	Exhibit No. 7 (5 Pages)
16	(Sworn statement - The Honorable Jocelyn Newman)
17	Exhibit No. 8 (5 Pages)
18	(Witness Affidavit - Meisner)
19	Exhibit No. 9 (19 Pages) 91
20	(PDQ - The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin)
21	Exhibit No. 10 (6 Pages)
22	(Sworn statement - The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin
23	
24	Court Reporter's Legend:
25	dashes [] Intentional or purposeful]

				1
1	interruption			
2	[ph]	Denotes	phonetically	written
3	[sic]	Written	as said	
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	SENATOR TALLEY: Good morning. We're going to call
3	this meeting of the Judicial Merit Selection
4	Commission to order. On the advice of counsel, I
5	think we have a few matters that we might need to
6	take up in Executive Session. So Mr. Strom moves
7	we go into Executive Session.
8	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Second.
9	SENATOR TALLEY: Seconded by Representative Rutherford.
10	All those in favor, signify by saying aye.
11	MEMBERS: Aye.
12	SENATOR TALLEY: The ayes have it. We're going into
13	Executive Session.
14	(EXECUTIVE SESSION WAS HELD FROM 9:20 - 9:38 am)
15	SENATOR TALLEY: All right. We are out of Executive
16	Session. During Executive Session, no votes were
17	taken.
18	JUDGE KELLY: Good morning.
19	SENATOR TALLEY: Good morning, sir.
20	JUDGE KELLY: How are you, Mr. Chairman?
21	SENATOR TALLEY: Doing well. How are you?
22	JUDGE KELLY: Good.
23	SENATOR TALLEY: All right. We have before us now to
24	be screened for Supreme Court Seat 3, the
25	Honorable R. Keith Kelly. Judge Kelly, if you

1	would place raige your right hand
	would, please, raise your right hand.
2	JUDGE KELLY: Yes, sir.
3	WHEREUPON:
4	R. Keith Kelly, being duly sworn and
5	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
6	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
7	SENATOR TALLEY: Very good. You have before you a
8	personal data questionnaire, as well as a sworn
9	statement that has been submitted to the
10	Commission. Are they both correct?
11	JUDGE KELLY: Yes, sir. Are the amendments in
12	okay. Yes, yes. With the amendments in Exhibit
13	2.
14	SENATOR TALLEY: Anything need to be added beyond that
15	which you just mentioned?
16	JUDGE KELLY: No, sir.
17	SENATOR TALLEY: Do you object to us making part of
18	those or making those documents part of the
19	record of your sworn testimony?
20	JUDGE KELLY: No objection.
21	(EXHIBIT NO. 1 MARKED FOR
22	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (13 pages)
23	PDQ - R. Keith Kelly)
24	(EXHIBIT NO. 2 MARKED FOR
25	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (2 pages)

1	Amendment to PDQ - R. Keith Kelly)
2	(EXHIBIT NO. 3 MARKED FOR
3	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (4 pages)
4	Sworn Statement - R. Keith Kelly)
5	(EXHIBIT NO. 4 MARKED FOR
6	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (1 page)
7	Amendment - The Honorable R. Keith
8	Kelly)
9	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you. The Judicial Merit
10	Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated
11	your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry
12	has focused on nine evaluative criteria and has
13	included the following: A ballot box survey,
14	thorough study of your application materials,
15	verification of your compliance with State ethics
16	laws, search of newspaper articles in which your
17	name appears, study of previous screenings, and a
18	check for economic conflicts of interest. We
19	have received no affidavits filed in opposition
20	to your election, no witnesses are present to
21	testify. Judge, you have the opportunity, if you
22	wish, to make a brief opening statement or we can
23	turn it over to counsel for some questions.
24	JUDGE KELLY: Well, I appreciate the opportunity to be
25	here, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Committee,

1 and I'll answer any questions that you have for 2 me. 3 SENATOR TALLEY: Very good. Ms. Faulk. 4 MS. FAULK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, 5 Judae. It's nice to see you again, sir 6 JUDGE KELLY: Good morning. 7 MS. FAULK: I note for the record that based on the 8 testimony contained in the candidate's PDO, which 9 has been included in the record with the 10 candidate's consent, Judge Kelly meets the 11 constitutional and/or statutory requirements for this position regarding age, residence, and years 12 13 of practice. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. FAULK: 16 0. Judge, why do you now want to serve as a justice on 17 the Supreme Court? 18 I want to serve as a justice because I enjoy research. 19 I did a lot my own research. Of course, I rely a lot 20 on my law clerk too, but I think that's important 21 thing to do. I mean, that -- that's the part of the 22 Supreme Court --23 SENATOR TALLEY: Judge, is the green light in front of 24 I didn't mean to cut -you on? 25 JUDGE KELLY: Yes, sir.

1 SENATOR TALLEY: Okay. 2 JUDGE KELLY: It's on. 3 SENATOR TALLEY: There you go. Yes, sir. All right. 4 JUDGE KELLY: Okay. All right. Is that a little 5 better? 6 SENATOR TALLEY: Yes, sir. 7 All right. But the -- but the biggest reason is is Α. 8 there's a job to do there, and -- and you and I talked 9 about in our meeting a week ago or so, just get past 10 The reason is I want to be there is everything. 11 there's a job to do there and I can do that job and I 12 can -- and I can represent this State on the judiciary 13 I think well. 14 Could you identify what you would consider your Q. 15 greatest accomplishment as a lawyer or a judge or 16 outside of that arena, and would you please explain 17 why. 18 My greatest accomplishment? Α. 19 Yes, sir. Q. 20 Α. All right. I'm gonna get personal on this one because 21 I have a brand new two-and-a-half-week-old grandson. 22 So my greatest accomplishment is our children and our 23 grandchildren in my personal life. Greatest 24 accomplishment I think is being a circuit court judge

in my professional life. I have thoroughly enjoyed

1 being a circuit court judge.

2.2

- Q. Is there any area of the law that you would bring to the Supreme Court bench that you believe would be an asset to the court?
- 5 A. Ask me that one more time, please, Madison.
  - Q. Yes, sir. Is there any area of the law that you would bring to the Supreme Court bench that you believe would be an asset to the Supreme Court?
    - A. I think I would bring not only civil, but criminal experience to the bench. I know I would. It's hard the to believe, but this -- I'm in my 36 year. Twenty five years of practicing law, eleven years, come July 1, on the bench as a circuit court judge. So I've been in criminal cases, to include four death penalty cases, but I've also tried cases in the common pleas arena; and of course I've presided over civil court, common pleas, and general sessions. So I bring a wealth of experience I think across the spectrum.
    - Q. How would you describe your general judicial philosophy?
    - A. Well, my judicial philosophy is I'm a very conservative person and I restrain myself from trying to make law. I'm not an activist judge by any stretch of the imagination. I follow what the Legislature has written, and I don't twist it to turn and bend it

something else. It's plan what the Legislature said, and as I've heard before, the plain things are the main things and the main things are the plain things.

- Q. What is your vision for the future of our judicial system, and what changes would you advocate for and why?
- A. I think we have a good judicial system quite honestly. I don't know of any big changes that I would advocate to make. I know there's been some talk about expanding the size of our Supreme Court. I think that started a couple of years ago. Whether or not it goes from five members to seven or from seven to nine or something like that, our state is growing. So that might be -- that might be something that the General Assembly wants to look at or is looking at. I don't know for sure.
- Q. What steps, if any, do you think that should be taken to foster public trust in the judicial system?
- A. Well, we foster trust in the judicial system when we when we do the right thing, you know. We show up on
  time, we don't keep jurors waiting, we don't keep
  litigants waiting. So we're mindful of everyone's
  time and we get rulings out. Lawyers don't need to
  wait on rulings. All of us have experienced that once
  or twice in our careers. So I think diligence in the

job, in the profession, fosters a good relationship and it looks good to the public.

- Q. When do you believe that it is appropriate to write a concurring or dissenting opinion?
  - A. If I had something -- if I had something to add to it,
    I would write a concurring or dissenting opinion. If
    I had something to add to the ruling or I disagreed
    with it.
- Q. As a follow up, are there any dangers to writing a concurring or dissenting opinion?
  - A. I could say yes, that there could be a danger of writing a concurring or dissenting opinion. You know, lawyers need to know what the Court is saying, and not be guessing what the Court is saying.
  - Q. Judge Kelly, the Commission received 434 ballot box surveys regarding you with 114 additional comments. The ballot box survey, for example, contained the following positive comments. First: Judge Kelly has a wealth of experience and a sense of duty and character that make him superbly qualified for the Supreme Court. Next: Judge Kelly epitomizes the qualities and character traits we require for judges in South Carolina and for justices of our highest court. He has an incredible work ethic, is extremely conscientious, and is fair to everyone who appears in

- 1 He would make an excellent addition to his courtroom. 2 the South Carolina Supreme Court. Twelve of the 3 written comments express concerns. Eight comments 4 question the sufficiency of your appellate experience. 5 What would you like to offer in response to these 6 concerns? 7 Well, I have sat on the Supreme Court twice. Α. 8 about very close in time, but I've sat there twice. Ι 9 enjoyed being there. I enjoyed the research that I 10 did, and I never was a circuit court judge before I 11 I wouldn't be a justice before I became became one. 12 As far as appellate work, we do sit in appellate 13 court, you -- remind -- please be reminded that the 14 circuit court is the appellate court for magistrate 15 So those things come to us anyway. 16 0. Four comments question the suitability of your 17 temperament. How would you respond to those concerns? 18 Α. I would love to have a specific on that. I've -- I 19 don't -- I never raised my voice in court. have a -- I don't carry a gavel. I don't use a gavel. 20 21 As I told you the other day, I have one Judge Derham 22 Cole gave me on when I got elected in 2013, and it has 23 my name on it and it sits on fireplace mantle at my
  - Q. Judge Kelly, your SLED report indicated that since

I've never carried it to court.

24

your last screening, a lawsuit was filed against you 1 in January of 2023. This case is entitled Jeter v 2 3 Cole, and appears to be a pro se civil action filed by 4 a incarcerated individual. The case is still pending. Is there anything that you would like to tell us about 5 that? 6 th 7 I sure will. I got served with that I think the 18 8 over in Cherokee County, and my name is mentioned 9 exactly one time and it's in the caption. 10 one allegation in there that I did anything 11 whatsoever. He sued -- what it appears to me he sued everybody in -- all the judges in the 7 Circuit. 12 13 You were also a named defendant in a pro se civil Q. action for alleged violations of various 14 constitutional rights, filed in 2021. 15 16 Yes. Α. 17 The case was dismissed with prejudice, and it was Q. 18 titled Geter v Kelly. Would you like to tell us 19 anything about that? 20 I didn't know anything about it until you called it to 21 my attention and I went and looked, and I was never 2.2 served with that because it was filed by an inmate who 23 also sued Spartanburg County, the detention center, 24 Warden Larry Powers, and numerous other people, including deputies. And when it was filed, it was, of 25

course, sent to the U.S. Magistrate. The U.S.

Magistrate issued a no-serve order in that case, and

it was then report -- the report and recommendation to

the district court judge was to dismiss it because it

was -- there was nothing there. And so I -- I didn't

know anything about it until you told me about it. It

was dismissed.

- Q. Thank you, sir. Judge Kelly, you've been reversed on eight occasions, five of which relate to incorrect rulings on dispositive motions. The most recent reversal was in 2024, January of 2024. In this case, your rulings were affirmed in part and reversed in part. Reversed on the granting of the defendant's motion to dismiss, and you held the cause of action did not fall within the survival statute. This was Hughes v Bank of America National Association. Is there anything that you would like to tell us about that case in particular?
- A. Yes, I will. Justice Buck James told me at the convention a week ago that -- in front of everybody -- that I got it right because the Supreme Court allowed arguments against precedent, and they overturned some prior cases, but up until then, it didn't survive.

  MS. FAULK: Very good. Thank you, sir. I would note that the Upstate Citizens Committee reported

1 Judge Kelly to be well qualified as to the 2 evaluative criteria of ethical fitness, 3 professional and academic ability, character, 4 reputation, experience, and judicial temperament. 5 Judge Kelly was also found qualified in the evaluative criteria constitutional 6 7 qualifications, physical health, and mental 8 stability. The Committee included the following 9 comment: Judge Kelly is an outstanding jurist. 10 He has an outstanding reputation and is well 11 liked by all. 12 Q. And finally, sir, we'll move on to just a couple of 13 housekeeping questions, and that'll be it for the 14 questions from me. Since submitting your Letter of 15 Intent to run for this seat, have you contacted any members of the Commission about your candidacy? 16 17 Α. I have not. Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including the 18 0. 19 limitations on contacting members of the General 20 Assembly regarding your screening? 21 I am familiar. Α. 22 Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you 0. 23 sought or received the pledge of any legislator, 24 either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening? 25

1 Α. I have not. 2 Have you asked any third parties to contact members of Q. 3 the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you aware 4 of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on 5 your behalf? 6 No. Α. 7 MS. FAULK: I would just note for the record that any 8 concerns raised during the investigation 9 regarding the candidate were incorporated into 10 the questioning of the candidate today. 11 Chairman, I have no further questions. Thank 12 you, Judge. 13 JUDGE KELLY: Thank you. 14 SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Madison. Members of the 15 Commission, have questions for Judge Kelly? Mr. Safran. 16 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. SAFRAN: 19 Judge, glad to see you up here. Q. 20 Good to see you. 21 Let me just take a step along the lines of what you 0. 22 were asked. I mean, I know that during the process, 23 that I've come up, that I've heard more than once when 24 talking about the function of a circuit judge that if you get reversed, they don't deduct anything from your 25

- 1 check. If you get affirmed, they don't add something.
- 2 | A. Uh-huh.

4

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. But understand you're trying to be diligent and also vigilant in terms of making these rulings; is that fair?
- 6 A. That's fair.
- 7 Q. All right. Nobody goes a career without getting reversed. It happens.
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What do you take away from them when it does happen
  just in terms of how does it adjust you, what -- I
  mean, what measures do you take, I guess, to say,
  Okay. They didn't agree with me on this one, more or
  less kind of modify or maybe safeguard for the future?
  - A. Well, it's a learning experience. For instance, one of the cases that I was reversed on -- it's the Alexander case, Greenville. I remember the case well, but I just flat out got the ruling wrong because what I did was I charged in violation of the Logan case, and that's on me. Okay? But I always have a charge conference with the lawyers and I'm not -- I'm not shifting the blame. I am it. The buck stops here, just like Truman. Okay? It stops with me. But it was obviously in an old charge that I used, and I didn't catch it. The lawyers didn't catch it either,

- but that's not on them. That's on me. So it's a
  learning experience.
  - Q. Well, could -- I mean, years ago I worked for a district judge, and I mean, they made us -- they made us spend all our time working on the charge --
  - A. That's right.

4

5

- 7 -- because he was saying, you know, I can handle all Q. 8 the rulings that are gonna come up. I got that. 9 Where they're gonna get me is gonna be on the charge. 10 And so, you know, made it clear that you guys got to 11 spend the time to really -- you worry about that 12 because I guess -- you know, look, lawyers vary and 13 unfortunately you can't necessarily rely on some to 14 give you what you need, and I know you don't have the 15 luxury of two or three law clerks.
- 16 | A. Uh-huh.
- 17 Q. You got one.
- 18 A. I got one.
- 19 Q. I mean, does your clerk spend some time, I guess, 20 trying to help prep up on a charge before a trial?
- A. My clerk does the charge. When the trial starts -actually probably before the trial starts because what
  we do is we reach out about Wednesday of the week
  prior to next week about the real docket because what
  the docket is posted, that's not a real docket. You

1 gonna get there and case No. 1 through 4 is already 2 off the -- they're pleading or doing whatever it is or 3 So my law clerk will reach out the case is settled. 4 to the lawyers themselves like on the first six or 5 seven and see where are you, where are you on this and 6 so forth. But as soon as we start the trial, whatever 7 -- civil or criminal -- as we soon as we start it, he 8 is already -- he or she -- is already putting together 9 the jury charge because we're using over and over, I 10 mean, we're cutting and pasting, right? I mean, you 11 got a murder case or medical malpractice case, you 12 just go to your law library and start out right there.

Q. Okay.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. But yeah, they spend some time on it. But again, in that Alexander, I just flat out missed it.
- Q. Let me just switch gears with you a little bit. I knew you a little bit when you were down here in the Legislature. I hadn't had the pleasure, I think, to appear in from -- in front of you since you've been on the bench, but I've always known you as a very people oriented person, and also have gotten the sense from talking to friends up there that you really like kind of back and forth in the courtroom, you like basically that part of, you know, the day to day of that. Okay? And having kind of seen both sides of it myself, you

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

understand how different it is if you're an appellate judge when it comes to that kind of day to day. You know, you don't see the lawyers like that. You don't really see the people. You're basically kind of up in an office between you and whoever, and you -- you're researching and writing. And I know that -- you know, I love working on briefs and I like arguing appeals, but I sure don't like doing it on a regular day to day. I mean, I just couldn't see that as being something that I would find fun. Have you thought about kind of the big change?

Α. I have, but I compare that to when I came to where I When I had the chance in 2013 to seek the circuit court, I was a trial lawyer, and my wife, Cindy, asked me -- and I'd been at it 25 years. Family court, criminal court, civil court, couple of workers' comp things along the way, little bit of Social Security, but -- because we practiced, you know, Lister, Flynn and Kelly. One time we had Talley in there too in the name of that. But anyway, yeah. Cindy asked me that. She goes, Are you really sure you want to go to the bench, and I said, Yeah, I do. I think I'll enjoy it, and I have. And she's also asked me the same thing about that, and I've also talked to Justice James, but, you know, Buck was, you know, It's a little

- different, but he enjoys it. I think I -- I just truly think I would enjoy it.
  - Q. I guess in terms of you -- background, I know the general -- because of the -- how much you have to deal with day to day between the criminal and the civil dockets, and just how we've all done it for as long as I can remember and heck, I've been doing this 40 years now.
  - A. Yeah.

- Q. So the -- generally the lawyers are doing the orders, the judges are kind of just going back with red pencil and correcting. I mean, again, it -- you really, as far as opportunities, probably have not had the ability time wise to be able to go and spend a lot of time doing this research and doing this writing; and I'm not saying you couldn't. What I'm saying is again, is that something that really you're ready to kind of just kind of become your stock in trade so to speak?
- A. I am. I am. I've thought about that and you're right, we don't have time -- I don't have time to write every order, but I do enjoy when I get a chance to do that, and the one that I submitted, you know, that I wrote that I -- well, recent times that I can remember, but I really enjoyed -- it was magistrate

- 1 | court appeal and I really enjoyed doing little bit of
- 2 | research and writing it and I -- I've -- I think I
- 3 | would enjoy it. I really do.
- 4 MR. SAFRAN: Thank you.
- 5 JUDGE KELLY: Yes, sir.
- 6 | SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Mr. Safran. Ms. Blackley.
- 7 MS. BLACKLEY: Good morning.
- 8 JUDGE KELLY: Good morning.
- 9 | MS. BLACKLEY: I don't have a question. I just have a
- 10 comment. We had the pleasure of getting to work
- 11 | with you when I was clerk, and I just want it to
- be on record to know that you are a phenomenal
- judge and very caring. I was actually a little
- shocked about the disposition of maybe some of
- the concerns about your demeanor. I've never
- experienced that one day, and you like to move
- cases. You were very punctual and ready to work
- and treated everybody, in my opinion, very
- 19 fairly, and I want to thank you for that. And I
- 20 wish you well and thank you for running.
- 21 JUDGE KELLY: Thank you. I get to the courthouse by
- eight o'clock, lot of times 7:45 or so.
- 23 MS. BLACKLEY: I remember.
- 24 JUDGE KELLY: Yeah.
- 25 MS. BLACKLEY: Yeah. You still doing that?

1 JUDGE KELLY: Yeah. 2 I figured you probably would be. MS. BLACKLEY: 3 JUDGE KELLY: Oh, yeah. I'm up at five. So I may --4 just go to the courthouse. 5 MS. BLACKLEY: Yeah. 6 JUDGE KELLY: That's -- you get to sign orders then, 7 you know. It's like I go in there, it's quiet, 8 it -- we got a coffee maker in my chamber and I 9 may hit the coffee button, and you know, I get to 10 work and signing orders. It's quiet and ... 11 MS. BLACKLEY: And I want to also say thank you for 12 speaking to our youth who come to the courthouse. 13 JUDGE KELLY: Yeah. 14 Made a big impact on them, especially MS. BLACKLEY: 15 through the institute, and so thank you. you for giving back is --16 17 JUDGE KELLY: I enjoy it. I really do. 18 SENATOR TALLEY: Anybody else? If not, Judge, 19 obviously we've known each other very long time. 2.0 I share Ms. Blackley's comment. When we got our 21 materials earlier in the week and was reading 22 through, I was surprised to see that anybody 23 would question or call into question your 24 temperament. I've been before you numerous times and, as you alluded to, practiced law with you 25

1 for a number of years. Ms. Blackley, he was 2 doing that back then too. We'd be the first two 3 at the office. T --4 JUDGE KELLY: Yeah. 5 SENATOR TALLEY: -- was young and hungry. He just was 6 up early. So we'd have coffee and that's still 7 when the newspaper came to the backdoor. 8 JUDGE KELLY: That's right. 9 SENATOR TALLEY: And we would take turns reading the 10 newspaper in the morning. 11 JUDGE KELLY: That's right. 12 SENATOR TALLEY: But appreciate you all for this. 13 have zero concern about your temperament knowing 14 you as I do, and so I -- that's probably somebody 15 that was late to court and didn't appreciate the 16 fact that you start when it says we're gonna 17 start, if not two minutes before. So thank you. 18 There no other questions of Judge All right. 19 Kelly, that will conclude this portion of our 2.0 screening process. I want to take this 21 opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the 22 Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission 23 expects candidates to follow the spirit as well 24 as the letter of the ethics law, and we will view violations or the appearance impropriety as 25

1	serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight
2	in screening deliberations. On that note, and as
3	you know, the record will remain open until the
4	formal release of the report of qualifications,
5	and you may be called back at such time if the
6	need arises. You're aware of that, correct, sir?
7	JUDGE KELLY: Yes, sir.
8	SENATOR TALLEY: All right. Thank you. Thank you for
9	offering and thank you for your continued service
10	to the State of South Carolina.
11	JUDGE KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
12	(OFF THE RECORD)
13	SENATOR TALLEY: Good morning.
14	JUDGE NEWMAN: Good morning.
15	SENATOR TALLEY: I am clearly not Luke Rankin. He is
16	away attending to another matter, but will
17	hopefully join us soon. Next we are gonna screen
18	the Honorable Jocelyn Newman for Supreme Court
19	Seat 3. Judge Newman, if you would, please raise
20	your right hand.
21	WHEREUPON:
22	Jocelyn Newman, being duly sworn and
23	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
24	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
25	SENATOR TALLEY: Before you is your personal data

1	questionnaire and sworn statement that were
2	previously submitted to the Commission. If
3	you'll take a minute, I have two questions for
4	you. One is are they both correct, and second,
5	do we need to update them or add anything before
6	we ask to submit them into the record?
7	JUDGE NEWMAN: They both appear to be correct. There
8	is an amendment that I previously submitted that
9	has been marked as Exhibit 6.
10	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you.
11	JUDGE NEWMAN: It's present. No additional amendments
12	need to be made.
13	SENATOR TALLEY: Okay. Do you object to us making that
14	part of the record?
15	JUDGE NEWMAN: I do not.
16	(EXHIBIT NO. 5 MARKED FOR
17	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (17 pages)
18	PDQ - The Honorable Jocelyn
19	Newman)
20	(EXHIBIT NO. 6 MARKED FOR
21	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (1 pages)
22	Amendment to PDQ - The Honorable
23	Jocelyn Newman)
24	(EXHIBIT NO. 7 MARKED FOR
25	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (5 pages)
	l l

1 Sworn Statement - The Honorable 2 Jocelyn Newman 3 SENATOR TALLEY: All right. So that will take place at 4 this time. Thank you, Lindi. The Judicial Merit 5 Selection Commission has thoroughly investigated 6 your qualifications for the bench. Our inquiry 7 has focused on nine evaluative criteria and has 8 included a ballot box survey, thorough study of 9 your application materials, verification of your 10 compliance with state ethics laws, search of 11 newspaper articles in which your name appears, a 12 study of previous screenings, and a check for 13 economic conflicts of interest. We have received 14 one affidavit filed in opposition to your 15 election, and we have a witness present to 16 testify. At this time, Judge, you are afforded 17 the opportunity to make a brief opening statement 18 if you wish. If not, then we'll turn it over to 19 Mr. Hinson for the questions. 2.0 JUDGE NEWMAN: I'm just thankful to be here. I'm 21 thankful many of you have been on this Commission 22 for a period of time and contributed in many ways 23 to my ability to serve as a circuit court judge 24 for the past eight years. I'm thankful for that

and I hope to continue to serve the state in

1	whatever capacity I'm permitted to do so.
2	SENATOR TALLEY: Very good. And I see that you have a
3	guest with you. Would you like to introduce him?
4	JUDGE NEWMAN: I do. This is Walter Shawn McDaniels,
5	affectionately known as my partner in life.
6	SENATOR TALLEY: Very good. Thank you. Welcome, sir.
7	Nice to have you with us here this morning.
8	MR. MCDANIELS: Thank you very much.
9	SENATOR TALLEY: All right. We are going to ask you,
10	Judge, just if you'll have a seat for a minute.
11	JUDGE NEWMAN: Yes, sir.
12	SENATOR TALLEY: Procedurally, we're going to hear from
13	the complainant at this time, and then you'll be
14	afforded opportunity to respond.
15	JUDGE NEWMAN: Yes, sir.
16	SENATOR TALLEY: All right. Ms. Meisner, if you want
17	to come forward. That microphone should be on.
18	MS. MEISNER: I believe it is.
19	SENATOR TALLEY: If you'll raise your right hand.
20	WHEREUPON:
21	Rhonda Meisner, being duly sworn and
22	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
23	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
24	SENATOR TALLEY: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Hinson.
25	MR. HINSON: Good morning, Ms. Meisner.

1 MS. MEISNER: Good morning. 2 MR. HINSON: Ms. Meisner, the Commission has before it 3 your affidavit of complaint, which I -- Chairman, 4 I would ask be made part of the record at this 5 time. SENATOR TALLEY: Hearing no objection, it will be done. 6 7 (EXHIBIT NO. 8 MARKED FOR 8 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (5 pages) 9 Witness Affidavit - Meisner) 10 MR. HINSON: Ms. Meisner, the purpose of today's 11 hearing is for the Commission to review 12 qualifications of the candidates, pursuant to the nine evaluative criteria provided by law. 13 14 Commission is not a court of law, and is not here 15 to re-litigate a matter nor hear arguments in a 16 pending matter. We are in receipt of your affidavit and the Commission members have that 17 18 before them and have reviewed it prior to today's 19 hearing. At this time, is there anything that 2.0 you wish to offer to supplement your affidavit 21 regarding Judge Newman's qualifications, again, 22 focusing on the nine evaluative criteria? 23 MS. MEISNER: I want to first say thank you for 24 I know that Representative allowing me to come. 25 Rutherford sometimes doesn't like this process as

1 he apologized last time to the judicial candidate 2 for being subjected to this process. I think 3 that this process is not only important, but it's 4 -- it's part of the process that this committee's 5 created. So I appreciate being able to be here. I would ask that -- there is -- is somewhat of a 6 7 change in the process in that there is a bridge 8 between the Judicial Merit Selection Committee 9 and the Commission on Judicial Conduct because 10 that divide I think is part of why citizens feel 11 like that there's a need for judicial reform as 12 indicated in the 82 percent of folks that said, 13 We need judicial reform on the Republican 14 primary ballot. With regard to Judge Newman, I 15 think that my affidavit is clear. Anybody 16 watching this on the internet should look at 17 those documents. Prior to her implementation of 18 a unilateral process, upon information and 19 belief, it's a unilateral process by which there 20 has to be a conflict before she entertains 21 whether to grant a continuance in hearings. Well, when you have out of town business travel, 22 23 a conflict already exist. That is a -- kind of a 24 -- there is a conflict when there -- it exists 25 prior to being able to file a motion and say,

Hey, these days I'm in a town, this kind of 1 2 thing, but more importantly and very, very, very 3 seriously, for our Supreme Court, we have got to 4 make sure that the candidates are not only being 5 honest and faithful to their oath of office, but 6 ex parte communications, particularly during a 7 hearing, are problematic. In the hearing at 8 hand, it appeared to me at the end of the process 9 -- and not only was I -- was -- was -- agreed 10 that travel for out of town business meetings 11 that involved internationally renowned 12 cardiologist, was not granted a continuance 13 despite the fact that plane tickets, hotel 14 reservations, coordination of multiple people 15 from different states were involved in this. 16 wasn't -- it wasn't a trip to Disneyland. 17 timely -- barely -- because I had to cancel 18 everything to get the motion in on time, which is 19 required 10 days before the hearing, to try to get a continuance, yet the continuance was not 20 21 Importantly, this hearing was scheduled granted. 22 So while it -- it -- maybe just that for Webex. 23 day was gonna be a Webex day. In any event, the 24 fact that I already had traveled, which was in my 25 divorce proceedings in Family Court, and the same

litigation firm was involved in both, the Circuit 1 Court and the Family Court, I felt like there had 2 3 to be some sort of coordination. At the end of 4 the hearing -- and I think you can look at the 5 documents. I encourage everybody to look at the documents that were submitted -- it appeared to 6 7 me that there was ex parte communications between 8 Judge Newman and an attorney, and I put that in a 9 motion to alter and amend because there was no 10 intervening fact from Judge Newman saying, That 11 was good. You did good, or words to that effect, 12 and the attorney subsequently saying, Right, 13 Well, that right is wrong. 14 have that sort of backdoor communication, 15 particularly in our Supreme Court. It is 16 unconscionable that that sort of activity Ironically, based on some different 17 happens. 18 things in the Family Court case, I was -- I was 19 randomly chosen for jury duty. During that jury 20 duty process, Judge Newman was very jovial in her 21 demeanor during voir dire. In my opinion, it was 22 downplaying the significant and important voir 23 dire process, as if the man who was charged --24 had very serious charges against him. 25 opinion, her demeanor during that proceeding

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

downplayed the significance and the importance of being on a jury where a man is -- is -- is fighting for his life. We've had some very Circuit Solicitor's public issues with the 5 Office where people were granted basically early We've got to get the judiciary out of jail time. thinking about the decisions that they make because I'm just a normal citizen, and I should be able to file a motion to have a continuance if I have a business meeting out of town without waiting until there is a conflict because if I have a business meeting out of town, there already is a conflict. That's one thing. really would like for -- and I'm gonna do this through the whole legislative thing. We've got to automate the scheduling of these cases for the We've got to do some legislation to judiciary. automate it so that they cannot be manipulated because currently, it is my position, that it appears that there is manipulation of when cases, when hearings are scheduled for the benefit of some and the detriment of others. We've got to allow participants, litigants like me, to --REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Mr. Chairman, is this the complaint or is this now a policy consideration

1	as to what the General Assembly should be doing?
2	SENATOR TALLEY: Hold on, ma'am, just a second.
3	MS. MEISNER: Yes, sir.
4	SENATOR TALLEY: Yes. I think she speaks to that in
5	her affidavit.
6	MS. MEISNER: Uh-huh. Uh-huh.
7	SENATOR TALLEY: I would remind you though, obviously -
8	- and I hear what you're saying, and I'm gonna
9	give you latitude to say what you need to say,
10	but we're not here to discuss policy today.
11	We're here to discuss this candidate and the nine
12	evaluative criteria that this Commission is
13	charged with evaluating.
14	MS. MEISNER: Yes, sir. Thank you. And to
15	Representative Rutherford's point, if I were
16	allowed by law to record the proceedings on Webex
17	myself when I gave my judicial merit selection
18	objections, I would have been able to provide you
19	with a recording that the Webex I believe I
20	requested that you seek the Webex recording
21	MS. MEISNER: Now, what is concerning to me
22	SENATOR TALLEY: Just so you're aware, Counsel has that
23	and has reviewed that and has briefed us on same.
24	MS. MEISNER: And and to my next point, I don't
25	know at what point the recording ended. Whether

1 it contained that conversation or not. 2 MR. HINSON: It did. 3 It did contain the conversation? MS. MEISNER: 4 that's just one example. Thank you. Thank you 5 for getting that. That makes me feel better that 6 you got that recording, but that's one example. 7 There's times when people go into Family Court, 8 they go into other things. We need to be able to 9 record things. Not to diminish the --10 SENATOR TALLEY: Okay. 11 MS. MEISNER: -- ability --12 SENATOR TALLEY: Ms. Meisner, we're here to talk about 13 Judge Newman. 14 Okay. All right. Anyway, I do believe MS. MEISNER: 15 that absolute judicial immunity needs to be 16 removed when there is evidence of ex parte 17 communications that indicate corrupt processes, 18 and I'm talking about corruption from the 19 standpoint of not only the processes -- the 2.0 individual processes, I'm talking about scheduling the hearings. I'm talking about the 21 22 whole system. 23 SENATOR TALLEY: Again --24 MS. MEISNER: Yes. 25 SENATOR TALLEY: Focus on anything you want us to hear

1 2 MS. MEISNER: Regarding --3 SENATOR TALLEY: -- other than what you have already 4 submitted in regard to the qualifications we're 5 here to evaluate for Judge Newman. 6 MS. MEISNER: So --7 SENATOR TALLEY: And I hope you see from what I've 8 said, Counsel has done a thorough review of what 9 you submitted. I know more than one person has 10 reviewed the Webex recording. It does contain 11 start to finish. We've been briefed on all of 12 So if you have anything else to add, we're 13 happy to hear it. 14 I would just say that I would voice my MS. MEISNER: 15 opposition for Judge Newman on the Supreme Court 16 based on my interactions with her in Circuit 17 Court and I believe that that is, in my opinion -18 - that's all I want to say is -- is I feel like 19 that in that sit -- in that one situation, the 2.0 hearing situation, not only was I not afforded a 21 continuance, I wasn't able to hear properly. 22 had to get the audiovisual people in control. 23 asked for another hearing. I asked for a 24 continuance that day. It was not granted. 25 was -- it was set during a time period where I

1 barely had time to make the motion and serve the 2 other people. So I think that -- that that 3 control over that process is something that I 4 believe indicates, to me, a corrupt process, and 5 I believe that our Supreme Court deserves better 6 than that because one day, any of us can be 7 before the Supreme Court and we've got to make 8 sure that those jurists are honest and ethical. 9 They may not like me. Lot of people, you know, 10 get mad when I come up and complain about things, 11 but that shouldn't matter. You know, justice 12 should be blind, and so that is all I would say. 13 And I again thank you for your indulgence. 14 know Representative Rutherford's gonna be mad at 15 me and say something, but this is the process 16 y'all created. You screen my affidavits prior to 17 coming in here so you could not let me speak if 18 it was not appropriate. And people that 19 complained about Representative Rutherford using the airplane, I defended him. 20 21 SENATOR TALLEY: All right. We're -- we're way off 22 topic now. 23 MS. MEISNER: I know, but I defended him --24 SENATOR TALLEY: I've given you a lot of latitude this morning, but we appreciate you being here. 25 Ι

1 have let you be heard. 2 MS. MEISNER: Thank you, and I do appreciate it. SENATOR TALLEY: I am a defender of this process and 3 4 always will be, and you have been able to 5 participate pursuant to our rules. So thank you 6 very much. 7 MS. MEISNER: Thank you very much. I appreciate being 8 here. 9 SENATOR TALLEY: Yes, ma'am. Judge Newman, if you 10 would come back. I'll give you the opportunity, 11 if you wish, to respond to that. If not, I will 12 turn you over to counsel for some questions. 13 JUDGE NEWMAN: Yes, sir. I would like to respond. 14 Ms. Meisner is referring to a comment that I made 15 during a Webex hearing involving a number of 16 attorneys. The comment was made to Attorney 17 "Ward" Edward Bradley, and I -- frankly, I thank 18 her for bringing it to my attention because I 19 regret having made the comment. I said something 2.0 -- at the conclusion of the hearing, I said 21 something along the lines of, Good job, Mr. Bradley. I regret the comment, not because there 22 23 was anything nefarious about it, but because it 24 evidently gave a member of the public reason to 25 question the process, question whether there was

- 1	
	some ex parte communications. I have I I
	am the one that actually obtained the video and
	offered it to Mr. Hinson, Ms. Crawford, Ms.
	Putnam, and watching that hearing from beginning
	to end, you will see that Ward Bradley does not
	participate in the hearing whatsoever, the
	attorney in question. He, in fact, never unmutes
	his computer as the hearing the motion in
	question had nothing to do with his client. And
	so, when I made the comment at the conclusion of
	the hearing, it was made in jest. I said, Good
	argument, or Good job, or something like that
	jokingly because he had never even spoken during
	the hearing. Again, I regret the comment because
	obviously it gave Ms. Meisner and maybe others
	reason to question the integrity of the process.
	I don't know if I need to speak to the motion for
	continuance. I know that we are not re-
	litigating anything, but I will say she made a
	comment about my policy in general regarding
	protection for vacation times and things like
	that. The very reason that I do not grant
	blanket protection for people taking vacations or
	going to conferences because that in itself is an
	ex parte process, and I used to do it until I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reached a point in a particular case where someone sought protection, they had a date certain trial, and the moment protection was given, the other 12 or 15 attorneys on the case objected because it's an ex parte procedure. require people to file motions for continuance because I'm trying to avoid the ex parte nature of blanket motions for continuance. the jury issue that she mentioned, I have research, I've spoken to the Clerk's office, and located the time frame, the week that Ms. Meisner had jury duty. It was a week in which I was scheduled to preside over Common Pleas trials. Apparently Ms. Meisner was involved in a General Sessions matter, but I was there that Monday morning to qualify the jury, to ask the statutory questions of the members of the jury pool, and when I am doing that, I have no idea what trials may be going on in General Sessions or other -otherwise in the building. So it certainly was an intent -- was not an intent for me to make light of someone's serious charges. I would not have even known that anyone was facing serious charges in a criminal matter. I greet the jury. They are the -- I am the first judge they see

that Monday morning, and I am keenly aware that we have taken citizens from their jobs, their homes, their families, schooling, etc., and I greet those jurors in a lighthearted manner and with a friendly face and it is never my intention to make light of the process, only to ensure the comfort of the jurors, the citizens, in that courtroom. And I'm happy to answer any questions if I've left anything out, but that's all I would have to say.

SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you. Senator Saab.

2.0

Newman. It's interesting, when you were talking about the jurors and assembling and the lightheartedness; and of course, I've practiced law for a number of years, but did you know that I was called to jury service two weeks ago, and - so to some folk's surprise, I actually appeared and Judge Cothran was there. It would surprise you to know that he greeted us in a lighthearted manner, and had us all laughing at least three times while we were there, just in his response to the various things that are going on with the jurors. And so -- and so as a lawyer who's familiar with the process, I mean, I get it all,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

but I also understand how someone who is not that familiar with the process might think that we're making lightheartedness of something that obviously is very serious, but all the demeanors and everything sort of changes when we get into the trial at the close of the qualification part. So I just thought it was interesting to hear you talk about it and also to listen to Ms. Meisner talk about it and my having experienced it as a Of course, I ended up being transferred juror. because we were still in session, but I look forward to service at some point if the lawyers would have me to be on whatever the cases it is. JUDGE NEWMAN: Yes, sir. That would not surprise me at all because, as I said, my goal -- it -- it's certainly food for thought because I don't want to give anyone the impression that Ms. Meisner had, but the intention, you know -- and it doesn't surprise me that Judge Cothran behaved in a similar fashion. The intention is to warmly welcome the citizens to the county. I think it's even slightly different in larger counties such as Richland versus Williamsburg County where in Williamsburg, there's a -- one small courthouse, one courtroom, and you go immediately from jury

1	qualification into voir dire and the next thing
2	happens, versus Richland County is a much larger
3	building. A judge enters the courtroom like
4	myself, does the qualification process. We leave
5	the body and then, you know, they return to a
6	jury assembly room and then smaller pools are
7	divided up amongst the courtrooms. And Ms.
8	Meisner, I believe, it would have been Judge Hood
9	that week. She would have been in a smaller
10	group of people, maybe 40 or 50, in a separate
11	courtroom, with a separate judge, doing voir dire
12	for that particular criminal trial. And so I
13	just think that you know, I I've never
14	considered what Ms. Meisner said, but again, I
15	think her bringing it to my attention, it's
16	certainly food for thought. I would hope that
17	the more staccato nature of the process in the
18	larger counties would lessen some of that
19	feeling, you know, maybe in a different way than
20	in smaller county, but in any event, that's
21	certainly not my intention.
22	SENATOR TALLEY: Ms. Blackley.
23	MS. BLACKLEY: Good morning.
24	JUDGE NEWMAN: Good morning.
25	MS. BLACKLEY: As a former clerk of court who was in

l	charge of bringing jurors in a more of a
	larger county, I would just encourage you to
	continue to be open. The I can tell you, as
	the first official person or courts now that
	jurors meet, they are very nervous, a lot of them
	don't want to be there, they're missing time off
	of work when that you know, depending on what
	county, you're getting, what, 15 to \$20 an hour,
	and then most people worried about how they gonna
	pay their bills that week if they're selected.
	There's a lot of fears to calm, and I think being
	open and inviting and even joking, which I have
	seen multiple judges do who are doing
	qualifications, it is necessary and needed and
	probably, in particular, more in smaller
	counties. So I would encourage you to continue
	to be that way. Everybody has a different
	opinion in representing how they feel, and we
	will always honor that and respect that, however,
	overall, coming to the court of law as a citizen
	who goes about their lives and you're
	experiencing something that most people see on TV
	and have already these misconceptions, I would
	like to see judges across the board ease some of
	those fears, especially if you're not

knowledgeable of the court system. So I would encourage you to continue to be that way because not everybody feels comfortable even coming in, and when you can get a judge who is open and being cordial to them and welcoming them, even telling a joke here or there, doesn't mean you don't take your job seriously and that the cases aren't important because they are, but we need to make sure our juror are feeling comfortable when they walk into a courthouse. So I wanted that on the record.

JUDGE NEWMAN: Thank you.

2.0

SENATOR TALLEY: All right. Thank you. Representative Rutherford.

REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: So I was really minding my own business, listening to the session, when I heard my name invoked and I thought that Ms.

Meisner was going to talk about where she and I agree. And Judge Newman knows that I disagree with the policy of not granting continuances as a policy, and had she been present throughout my ten years on this panel, I've talked to multiple judges about policies against giving lawyers continuances, allowing them to know when things can be scheduled, and I've talked to Judge Newman

1	about that. And I disagree with that and I agree
2	with Ms. Meisner that we should not have policies
3	against people granting continuances because they
4	do have to schedule things and that can get in
5	the way if it's a policy. If I were in the
6	Solicitor's Office, I can just take off and let
7	some other solicitor come in. If I'm in a large
8	law firm, I can do that, but as a sole
9	practitioner, I can't and it's demanding on us.
10	And so policies against continuances I don't
11	think should be the order of the day. But I did
12	hear Judge Newman apologize to Ms. Meisner
13	because of the perception that a comment made at
14	the end of a hearing could be taken out of
15	context. The problem is that in the video and in
16	the interchange between you and the lawyer,
17	simply stating to someone at the end of a hearing
18	that they did a good job when they clearly didn't
19	open their mouth, is not evidence of corruption.
20	And I don't know how I got to not like the
21	complaint process. My former client has come in
22	and complained and we heard it and dealt with it.
23	I've nothing against the complaint process, but I
24	do have something against the use of the word
25	corruption, the belief that when things don't go

your way, that is evidence of corruption or a
system that is broken. One of the things that
you will learn being a lawyer and how sometimes
things are funny because you laugh to keep from
crying, it doesn't mean that the system is broken
and that judges and lawyers are corrupt. And to
accuse a judge, to accuse the lawyer in that case
of having ex parte in a judge without any
evidence whatsoever but a statement at the end of
a hearing, to me is wrong, and to me does create
a problem because people use adjectives that
describe a situation that I don't even know
whether you were successful, but that doesn't
mean that everybody else is corrupt. And I guess
now because I agree with you on one thing and
disagree with you on another, maybe now I am
corrupt because I'm not agreeing with you.
Adjectives matter, words matter. Judges can't
defend themselves typically until they come in
this room, and so placing a title on someone and
calling them corrupt and putting them in a
situation like this where you would love to
scream from the top of your lungs, I don't do
that. I wouldn't have an ex parte hearing with
that lawyer or any other lawyer. I wouldn't do

1 I would have never said something to them 2 if I would've known it would've offended someone, 3 won't change the fact that now someone has come 4 in this room and called you corrupt. 5 part of the process does bother me. I think it's 6 possible to complain about something without 7 using adjectives and people now know that this 8 body has the ability to check behind litigants 9 and look at videos that even they don't have 10 access to, that they will examine it because it 11 It mattered that someone complained. matters. 12 It mattered enough to us to dig behind and -- dig 13 behind you and get more than even you had to 14 verify the authenticity of what you were saying 15 and see whether there was something there because 16 it does matter, but it also matters the words 17 that are used to describe the people that stand 18 in front of us. And so to Ms. Meisner, I agree 19 with you on the part about not granting blanket 2.0 continuances, but I disagree with how we go about 21 calling people corrupt when we don't get our way. 22 So thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, sir. Mr. Safran. 24 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. SAFRAN: Judge, I don't 25 think I've even ever appeared in front of you.

1 | JUDGE NEWMAN: No, sir.

2 But I was a juror sitting there when you MR. SAFRAN: 3 came in and did exactly the same thing, and I did 4 not see anything out of the norm. I mean, 5 candidly, you asked the questions that had to be 6 asked, and basically I didn't feel like anything 7 short of what you described. People are there. 8 They're not necessarily wanting to be there. 9 They are in a very awkward experience at times, 10 and so, you know, you gotta give them some sense 11 that they're appreciated in the context. 12 Switching gears, I take a little exception to the 13 idea that during the time at least I've been on 14 this, that we have not listened to a complaint. 15 There are now some judges who are no longer on the bench because we did listen to complaint. 16 17 the notion that this is basically a rubber stamp 18 is far from the truth. The thing is is that when 19 I hear it -- too many times, maybe is because of a certain naivete or lack of understanding by 2.0 21 some folks who come in here and expect that we 22 are someone that can create or more importantly 23 to correct all errors that have occurred 24 potentially during the process. And I think 25 we've tried to explain again and again we are not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

here to change outcomes that have already gone through a very defined process. That if you're not happy at the first level, you got plenty of And I guess the thing is is it -- to echo what Representative Rutherford says is this, certainly we, as lawyers, have again and again, over the course of any length of career, run into decisions that we didn't like. And I don't think that necessarily every time that happens the word corruption pops into your mind. You know, there are other words that might come in, and frankly, you know, in my day, I think when I started out 40 years ago, I was told you got 24 hours, maybe 48, to get it out of your gut, but after that, it's over. Okay. You move on. And I can tell you clearly I've had judges rule against me and I didn't like it a bit, and frankly, some of them I felt vindicated on in appeal. Sometimes it didn't, but the bottom line is it doesn't mean that I lose respect for them just because of that It doesn't mean that I automatically ruling. think there's something going on behind the curtain. So what I want to make clear is is that while certainly, if we feel as lawyers that way, I can absolutely understand and empathize with a

non-lawyer who doesn't get the way they want it
and who comes in and says, Hey, wait a minute.
This just was not right. I think, again, you
it's not that simple of an equation. And so all
due respect to Ms. Meisner, I know you've been
through a lot. We've seen you multiple times and
I understand you've had litigation that has gone
on in multiple forums and that it has been
anything but pleasant and I empathize to some
extent with you on that, but I think what
again, people sometimes fail to understand this
process. As we sit here, we are looking for
criteria that is pretty well defined, and we are
also looking for something that, as
practitioners, I think would be pretty obvious if
you see it and I think we've recognized over time
when we've seen it, that we've done something
about it. And so again, I'm not going to I'm
not trying to have any righteous indignation
about it, but by the same token, I want the
public to understand that, yes, you are heard,
not just here, but you're heard in court.
Everybody can't win, and I'm the worst loser that
ever existed, at least for certain periods of
time. I hate it. Hate it, but I also have to

recognize there's a system, there are ways to 1 2 deal within the system, and you know, my attitude 3 is and I'm assuming Ms. Meisner feels similarly, 4 that as long as I feel in the cause, I'll stay on 5 it 'til the last breath. But by the same token, 6 that doesn't mean that we necessarily cast 7 dispersions on the ones who are charged with 8 making the decision. I've said it a million 9 times, we -- all we're asking and all we're 10 trying to provide are judges that are competent 11 They ain't perfect. Can't do it. and honest. 12 The system is made recognizing there is 13 imperfection. That's why we've got these 14 appellate processes. So again, just that -- I 15 just want to make sure the air is clear on that 16 because I don't like sometimes for the black 17 cloud that seems to come in over here when people 18 come in and say to somebody who doesn't deserve 19 it, you know, they did wrong. I know exactly 20 what you did on that day. I mean, it -- you're 21 Maybe in hindsight, I won't do it next 22 time, but it was joking. I've had it happen in 23 my situation before. I mean, it -- it's not 24 something that I would have ever accused anybody 25 of doing something unethical by making a comment

1 like that. And so just for the record, those are 2 my thoughts and thank you. 3 JUDGE NEWMAN: Yes. Thank you, sir. 4 SENATOR TALLEY: All right. So we've heard the 5 complaint, the affidavit has been submitted in 6 the record, and we will do with that pursuant to 7 our Commission rules. We're now gonna go back to 8 Mr. Hinson who has some questions as part of our 9 screening process. 10 JUDGE NEWMAN: Yes, sir. 11 Good morning, Judge Newman. MR. HINSON: 12 JUDGE NEWMAN: Morning. 13 Chairman, I note for the record that MR. HINSON: 14 based on the testimony contained in the 15 candidate's PDO, which has been included in the 16 record with the candidate's consent, Judge Newman 17 meets the constitutional and statutory 18 requirements for this position regarding age, 19 residence, and years of practice. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. HINSON: Judge Newman, why do you want to serve as a judge on 22 0. 23 the Supreme Court? 24 It is an opportunity to further my career and, as I Α. 25 said earlier, to serve in a different way. I am not

someone who ever wants to remain complacent. I like to grow and change and learn, and so I think that now is the time for me to go on and serve the state in a different way. My father always talks about meeting the moment when there is an opportunity, met with preparation, and I am prepared to meet the moment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Judge Newman, could you identify what you would consider your greatest accomplishment as a lawyer or judge or even outside of that arena, and please explain why.
- My greatest accomplishment. That's a good guestion. Α. Something I've never really thought about. I am, frankly, proud of the job that I do every day. proud of, I think, with what -- the demeanor that I feel appropriate of a judge on the bench. I am -- I am thrilled that so many attorneys believe me to be a lawyer's judge, as they say, and the compliments I get on, you know, allowing lawyers to try their case, allowing people to be heard, and treating people with kindness and respect. That's my only goal. handle all cases, all matters as fairly and justly as I possibly can with whatever study, research, argument, etc. is necessary. I feel that I do that in the best way that I know how and I'm proud of the job that I've done.

Q. Thank you. Judge Newman, is there an area of the law that you would bring to the Supreme Court bench that you believe would be an asset to the court?

- A. Not a particular area of the law necessarily. I think all of the justices are well versed in all areas of the law. I certainly would be the one most recently in the trenches in the trial court, which I think is appropriate and necessary for a Supreme Court justice, not to forget what it is to be in the courtroom and practice law. So many of the decisions they make are based on procedural elements of cases and the way people interact in the courtroom, and having experience with that, being intimately involved in that, and bringing that to the table is necessary I believe.
- Q. Judge Newman, how would you describe your general judicial philosophy?
- A. My general philosophy is to remain pleasant and to remain curious, to not pre-judge matters that appear before me. You know, we all have life experiences, we all have professional experiences, and it can be easy to feel that you know the answer before you've even heard the argument. My goal is always to remain curious, to listen to the parties, to do the research necessary, and make a sound and just decision.

Q. Judge Newman, what is your vision for the future of our judicial system and what changes would you advocate for and why?

- A. My hope is that there is -- that we gain additional public confidence in the judiciary. It seems to be sort of a roller coaster over time that sometimes there is this public confidence and then, certain things happen, certain newsworthy events that question the public's confidence in the judiciary. My hope and my goal would be for every citizen to, as best they can, feel that they've been heard, that we have a -- yeah, a fair and just process. I think that transparency in some of the things we do can go a long way towards promoting that confidence.
- Q. Thank you. And that kind of segues into my next question which was what steps do you think can be taken to accomplish that goal of instilling public trust?
- A. Absolutely. Increasing transparency in the process, and I don't have any specific suggestions. I don't want to comment on something that I'm not particularly intimately involved with, but there are complaints all the time from the public about lack of transparency in disciplinary proceedings and things like that. I think that that is part of what undermines public

confidence in the process. Outside of a process like this, with Ms. Meisner here for example, you know, people don't necessarily know that their complaints have been heard. They have to trust that something is happening behind the scenes and maybe their disciplinary complaint is dismissed without a hearing, dismissed with -- you know, things like that, and they feel that they've not been heard. I think it contributes to the public confidence when people know that they have been heard, and transparency will go a long way with that.

- Q. Thank you. Judge Newman, what do you -- what extent do you believe that a judge or should not defer to the actions of the General Assembly?
- A. Judge should always defer to the actions of the General Assembly. Our purpose is to interpret the intent of the legislature. We don't make laws. We just interpret what has already been done. I do think that there is a good relationship of checks and balances where certainly the Court reviews certain legislation. The Court often makes decisions to highlight certain deficiencies in legislation that has been passed, but it -- it's sort of a marriage in that way, but the judiciary should never overstep its bounds. Separation of powers is the foundation of our

government, and so we should not invade the province of the Legislature.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And Judge, when do you believe that it is appropriate to write a concurring or dissenting opinion?
- Α. Well, I think that a lot of the decisions made by the appellate courts are -- particularly the Supreme Court, are on novel issues, that sort of -- a lot of what the Court considers in granting writs of certiorari, right. And so when there is a new take, a new set of facts where the Court has to apply sort of existing law in a new way, that's what the Supreme Court does in large part, and so I think it'd be appropriate to write a dissent when -- when simply the justice believes that there is, I quess, already guidance for that issue and I'm not articulating this very well, but you know, what we see is new sets of facts with existing laws typically. But those very often can be analogous to other sets of facts that we've seen, and I don't know why I can't articulate this, but in that case, where the judge has a point to make, where it is rooted in other existing case law precedent, etc., I think a dissent would be appropriate. Concurring decisions, I'm not so big on concurrences actually, but it -- when you reach the same result for a different reason, I think a

1 concurring opinion is appropriate.

- Q. And as a follow up to that, are there any dangers to writing a concurring or dissenting opinion?
- A. As a trial court judge, I see the danger being the attorneys citing those opinion very often, and you sort of give people food for thought and you can either spawn new arguments in that way or really, practically speaking, attorneys go to that language so much and try to get inside the justices' heads and try to make those arguments to the trial court when that, in fact, is not the law of the case, but I think they often think they're on to something and want to extract a new way of thinking from the trial court and push the trial court in different directions.
- Q. Judge Newman, the Commission received 817 ballot box surveys regarding you with 159 additional comments. The ballot box survey contained the following positive comments: Judge Newman is extremely experienced, smart, and thoughtful. We need to see more judges like Judge Newman. She has seen it all and would be an excellent Supreme Court justice. Judge Newman would be an excellent choice for the Supreme Court. She is smart, qualified, and has the temperament to be a justice. Some of the written comments did raise concerns. One area of concern was lack of overall

appellate experience to be on the Supreme Court. How would you respond to that?

- A. Well, the circuit court serves as an appellate court for the lower courts. For the summary courts, that's magistrate and municipal courts. We always -- we also serve in an appellate function for certain probate court decisions. And so I think it's maybe not so intuitive of a trial attorney, but the circuit court does guite a bit of appellate work, actually.
- Q. Thank you. Another area of concern was centered around timeliness to issue orders and ability from lawyers to reach you regarding scheduling. How would you respond to this concern?
- A. Most recently, I have been without a law clerk since
  December of last year. And so some of the difficulty
  or the timeliness and -- or lack of timeliness in
  responding to attorneys is due to that staffing issue.
  As far as orders, there are just a large volume of
  things that need to be done. We of course, lost Judge
  Benjamin to the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals last
  year. Judge Lee followed soon after with her
  retirement. My father, who did a good bit of work in
  the Fifth Circuit, has now retired as well. And so
  we're down a number of judges. Even with Judge
  Manning retiring at the end of 2022, certainly, Judge

1 Coble replaced him and -- but needed some time to get 2 up to speed. He had to go through his training and 3 all of that. And so I say that to say that there is 4 the same volume of work in Richland County for a 5 smaller number of judges, which, frankly, causes some 6 delay in the process. There's a large volume of work 7 to do with -- it feels like, oftentimes, one person to 8 I mean, like I said, Judge Coble had been in 9 training for a good period of time. Judge Hood is 10 chief administrative judge for general sessions, which 11 keeps him very, very busy. And so there's a lot of 12 work to be done, and I'm doing my best.

Q. Thank you. Lastly, there were concerns raising your overall temperament towards litigants. How would you respond to that?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I am surprised that that was a concern. As I said, I try to give a pleasant demeanor. In fact, I've been criticized for my pleasant demeanor, and I really do try to give everyone the opportunity to be heard, whether those are attorneys, pro se litigants. Even jurors who have concerns at the end of a trial, I listen and like to think that I'm generally pleasant. You know, I've been criticized on more than one occasion for my smile, particularly when I'm in general sessions court. There's an attorney that used

1 to joke that, Don't be fooled by that smile. 2 still give you a life sentence with that smile. You 3 know, I'm generally conversational and pleasant, so 4 that comment actually surprises me. 5 Q. And Judge Newman, for the record and for today's 6 purposes, what is your view on ex parte communication? 7 Α. Ex parte communication should be avoided when at all 8 possible. Certainly, there are times that we can't 9 control that an attorney approaches us, I receive a 10 text message or an email or something like that. 11 Nothing that I would've instigated. But it's 12 inappropriate. It undermines the public's confidence 13 in the attorneys' and litigants confidence in the 14 fairness and impartiality of our system. 15 And Judge Newman, on a handful of occasions, you've Q. 16 been reversed in whole or in part by the appellate 17 courts, most notably Owens v Stirling. Is there any 18 context you want to add to that opinion or any other 19 opinions that the appellate courts have remanded or 20 reversed? 21 I think that my reversal rate is pretty Α. 22 minimal, given the number of cases that I've heard. 23 Owens versus Stirling, in particular, concerned the 24 death penalty and the constitutionality of the methods

of execution used by South Carolina. I would note

1 that I was only reversed in part. The Supreme Court 2 did not reach the ultimate issue of the 3 constitutionality of those methods of execution. 4 reversal was as to a discovery issue that, frankly, 5 doesn't give me any heartburn whatsoever. In that 6 case, we were given a pretty tight timeline -- we 7 being myself and the attorneys involved -- were given 8 a pretty tight timeline to get discovery done and the 9 trial done, because these are -- there are four 10 plaintiffs who are on death row and, you know, there 11 were stays of execution issued by the Supreme Court, but certainly, the state has an interest in following 12 13 through with those executions. So because of that, we 14 were given a pretty short timeline. And so in the 15 first instance, I did what I thought was appropriate to stick within that timeline. The Supreme Court 16 17 reversed me on that discovery issue, remanded the case 18 to allow the attorneys to do additional discovery, 19 which, frankly, I thought was appropriate. I'm happy 20 about it. I think the attorneys are happy about it. 21 Because the most information that the attorneys and I 22 can have about the issue, the better. 23 Thank you. I would note that the Midlands Citizens Q. 24 Committee reported that Judge Newman is qualified in the evaluative criteria of constitutional 25

1 qualifications, physical health and mental stability, 2 and well qualified in the criteria of ethical fitness, 3 professional and academic ability, character, 4 reputation, experience and judicial temperament. Ι 5 just have a few housekeeping questions for you. Since 6 submitting your Letter of Intent to run for this seat, 7 have you contacted any members of the Commission about 8 your candidacy? 9 No. Α. 10 Are you familiar with Section 2-19-70, including Q. 11 limitations on contacting members of the General 12 Assembly regarding your screening? 13 Α. I am. 14

- Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent, have you sought or received a pledge of any legislator either prior to this date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 18 | A. No, sir.

15

16

- 19 Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of
  20 the General Assembly on your behalf, or are you aware
  21 of anyone attempting to intervene in the process on
  22 your behalf?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- MR. HINSON: I would note for the record that any concerns raised during the investigation

1 regarding this candidate were incorporated into 2 the questioning of the candidate today. And with 3 that, Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions. 4 SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you, Mr. Hinson. Members of 5 the Commission have questions for Judge Newman? 6 Ms. McIver. 7 MS. McIVER: Thank you. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MS. McIVER: 10 0. Good morning. 11 Good morning. Α. 12 Q. I think we're still in morning. Yeah, we are. 13 There's been some discussion this morning about ex 14 parte orders, orders of protection, that being ex 15 parte communication and question of whether or not you would consider a continuance motion if it was 16 17 consented to by all the attorneys. So I'm curious if 18 you could speak to how you would advise attorneys to 19 best protect themselves if there was kids' graduation, 20 a planned trip, travel, that sort of thing. 21 there's been some conversation about it for orders of protection as well as continuances, I'm just curious 22 23 if you can kind of clarify for us what your procedure 24 is in handling those issues? 25

Absolutely. My positions is my distaste is for the

Α.

orders of protection, blanket orders of protection. actually wanted to correct Mr. Rutherford earlier. Не mentioned orders for a continuance or motion for a continuance. I routinely grant consent motions for continuance all the time. That alleviates my concern, because that's no longer an ex parte issue, it's not someone trying to go to Disneyland when they're supposed to be in a deposition or a trial with five experts that have come from out of town that everyone's been planning for weeks. That's the issue with the orders of protection. Frankly, I think that the BAR's pilot program, or the Supreme Court, I don't know -- the pilot program with secure leave helps with But frankly, there's nowhere in any rule that issue. book, any statute book that you will find orders of They're so voluminous and it's very protection. difficult for the court to manage anyone's vacation schedule, and I don't know that the court should have to, particularly, where there are other attorneys, other parties involved, who may have some interest in moving the case forward. But if everyone consents to the continuance, I'll sign off on it, absolutely.

- Q. I appreciate that clarification. Thank you --
- 24 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 | Q. -- very much.

1 A. Thank you.

2 SENATOR TALLEY: Mr. Strom.

3 MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

4 EXAMINATION

- 5 BY MR. STROM:
- 6 Q. Good morning, Judge.
- 7 A. Good morning.
- 8 As you know, I'm a huge fan of yours. I was delighted 9 to see you offer. But I was concerned about what I 10 was going to see at the ballot box. Because I've 11 heard a lot of these same comments. We've got 159 12 comments, 43 were negative. And in my view, a lot of this is self-inflicted. 13 This -- you know, I get, 14 there was one or two cases where somebody asked for an 15 order of protection, was going on vacation, and they 16 may've abused the process. But I don't think it's the 17 best practice to throw out the baby with the bathwater 18 because one or two lawyers didn't appropriately handle 19 This is not an issue with any other judge or 20 any other circuit in the state. And you know, we're 21 talking about judicial temperament. I'm not sure 22 whether your unwillingness to do this isn't playing 23 over into people's view of temperament.
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 | Q. Everywhere else, you could get an order of protection

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to go on vacation. People want to plan their vacations. Work life is important. You know, to the younger people, it's even more important. strongly encourage you to revisit your thinking on this and maybe talk to judges around the state in other large circuits to see how they do it. And if you've got one or two bad apples, as a lawyer who's abusing it, address them, but don't punish every other lawyer in the state. So I strongly encourage you. Ι just don't like what I view as a self-inflicted negative comments, and that's kind of what we're getting here with it. And the second thing I'm hearing is your orders that you're way behind on them. And you're not the first person who found themselves in this situation. Fifth Circuit's a big circuit, no We've had a lot of retirement turnover, but question. you've got to knock these things out. You know, it's just slowing up the whole civil court. And it's not fair that this is all on your plate, but it's on your plate. And the older they get, the less you remember about them, the harder it is to get it done. these are -- these things are fixable. You know, in ten years, if you're back running for reelection, whether it be the Supreme Court, you know, if you're in Richland County, things happen here that you can't

You're going to set a bond at some point and control. they're going to go out and they're going to commit another crime, and that's going to go all over the news, that's going to be all over our screening paperwork. That may happen one time, it may happen five times. And then all of a sudden, you've got all this buildup of negative information that we're dealing with. And those things you can't fix. mean, you got to do the best you can on setting bonds and that's just -- a system needs to be there. things like getting your orders done on time and working with lawyers on their work life are critical. You got to -- I really -- I can't tell you how strongly I want you to think about this and get this straightened out. Okay?

- A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 18 Α. I will say the orders is not for lack of Yes, sir. 19 I, yesterday, signed approximately 200 trying. 20 orders. But you know, it's not me not working on it, 21 but I -- your concern is well taken, particularly, 22 with the protection -- orders of protection. 23 sir, I'll consider --
- 24 Q. Thank you.
- 25 | A. -- all that.

MR. STROM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

SENATOR TALLEY: Yes, sir. Mr. Rutherford and then

we've got Mr. Safran. Representative Rutherford.

## EXAMINATION

## BY REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Going back to the orders of protection again, I don't 0. want to get the other side's consent. If they don't like, they're not supposed to like me, they're my adversary. If you don't believe me, read the letter from the nine solicitors. Which is fine. I'm not going to sign off on their doing stuff either. And so that's the problem with continuance requests. I was just on a Webex with Judge McCaslin trying to set a trial date. And the first date she threw out, the solicitor said, Oh, I got to be somewhere that day, so don't do it then. And mind, you he has an office of 40 lawyers. One of them can cover it. But when those of us that are smaller offices don't have that ability, it really puts us in a box, especially getting the other side's consent on something that they're not going to consent to. And for those of us that do criminal, at the last minute, as Your Honor knows, most of the time, they just say, Oh, we're not going to call that case, because we had a problem come up in the morning. They have that ability, we don't.

1 And so that's why it's just patently unfair for us to 2 have to make a continuance request rather than a 3 blanket order of protection so that we can do things 4 with our families that may be none of their business. 5 I can't tell you how many times I've filed a blanket 6 order or protection and the order of protection said 7 what it is that I was going to do, and then 20 8 comments about, oh, you're going here or you're going 9 Well, ain't your business. You know, I don't 10 get to ask you that. And so, at some point, it is 11 patently unfair to me to put us in a position where we 12 can't ask for orders of protection. And as far as a 13 backlog goes -- Mr. Strom brought that up -- clearly, 14 this General Assembly does not care about the backlog 15 in Richland County. We had the opportunity to clear 16 that up and help get another judge there, and we chose 17 not go, and I'll be another year before we fill that 18 So please don't pretend that a backlog in 19 Richland County is the problem. However, your own 20 backlog, in terms of orders and all -- Mr. Strom 21 mentioned that it is in the ballot box -- I would 22 suggest that we work as diligently as we can to get 23 that corrected. Α.

24 Absolutely.

Mr. Safran. 25 SENATOR TALLEY:

EXAMINATION

MS. SAFRAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. SAFRAN:

First, understand -- and I think this -- these comments being made are not really a criticism. They're really more of a kind of way to kind of give you a helpful instruction. Because you know, the thing is, is that we don't like seeing negative comments. And if those negative comments are ones that we can obviously realize are things that can be eradicated pretty easily, that's why. Because you know, whether it be this time or another time, if you want to make a viable run at these things, those things have to be addresses. Because we can't ignore That's the practical truth of it. As far as it them. relates to the protection, I understand that, as a judge, you see people that come in and, after the fact, you'll say, You know what? They've really kind of abused this situation. They really jerked me on this, okay, and it ticks you off and you remember it. I mean, that's, unfortunately, the problem is that those situations are ones that don't go away. bothers you because you say, they're taking advantage of my good nature. I get it. But we have stressed, in the time I've been here, the need for lawyers to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

able to work hard but maintain some life outside. it's become something that we have really focused on. I'll never forget -- and I know we've talked about it before, sitting here one time, a guy running for judge, and he said, You know what? I've brought them in to try these cases on the Saturday of the Carolina-Clemson game. I'm sure they loved that. I mean, needless to say, he was out the door before he quit Okay. So there's no punishment that's I mean, and if you decide there is a necessary. little bit of wood that needs to be laid to somebody, that's what you take them in chambers for, and then I think you tell them, Not going to happen anymore. Now, we have to find some solution to that. reason that I quit doing circuit court work to any extent is because I didn't like the way the scheduling And you know, I do a lot of comp now. reason is I get a day certain on every hearing I've Another thing is I get flexibility in terms of scheduling. If you got a legitimate reason to be able to move something, you move it. When I have to come over here, they have a central system that I write in an email and say I need these days off, and I don't I usually do, but you -- it's a have to say why. It goes in, the calendar's marked, it central system.

1 doesn't even have to go in front of you. Basically, 2 those days are blocked off, so if a trial is supposed to come up, automatically, bam, he's not available, we 3 4 got to find another day. I think if you're concerned 5 about an ex parte aspect to it, think about something 6 like that where you don't even have to really delve 7 into it, because somebody else is basically just 8 automatically making the determination. Now, if that 9 person's going in there time and again, then you step 10 But I think it really would behoove, really just 11 for fairness, that, basically, we have an opportunity 12 to be able to do it. And we stressed this word 13 yesterday a lot, reasonably, that you reasonably say, 14 Okay, you're an adult, I'm going to treat you like one, you're a professional, you have obligations, I 15 16 get it. So just a thought for consideration.

A. Yes, sir.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. The other thing is this. I'm not going to jump on anybody about letting things slide, getting a word written, because I'm bad about that too, primarily, because I know -- and you've got all these other things going on day to day, as you do, and you realize, just looking at this particular case, how much time you're going to have to devote to writing that order. It's all -- you know, kneejerk is, I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to do this today. I'm going to do this, I'm going to do this. But eventually, you come to the realization, I got to do it. And I had that happen recently and put a ton of hours in and just had to carve it out. I know your obligations are far beyond Well, I think, again, take a step back and mine. remember when you were a lawyer and how those things would sit, and you kind of go, Oh, man, you got a client to answer to. On top of that, you've got a situation where you're going, Hey, I'm going to have to do something with this, I need it to come back. And just -- Mr. Strom is right, because I've run into It happens to me when I go back to maybe do one that's been sitting for a while or when I have somebody who hasn't ruled, that stuff goes stale and you can't remember the subtleties. And one thing that I have, as far as basically these Webex arguments that always seem to be going on now, and your motions and your nonjury, is that I think being there, you can really emphasize the subtle point, you can really hit harder more meaningfully on things that maybe the judge is kind of wavering on. I don't think it translates well through the screen, I just don't. And so I think basically, nobody, again, is criticizing you, because I'm sure you got your hands full. But

1 again, I think all we're simply saying is -- again, 2 not a criticism, just I get it. It's something that I 3 do realize I got to do. And again, I'm the last person to throw a stone on this. 4 That's why I'm 5 saying it's more constructive than anything else. And 6 I appreciate your offering. And I hope, for Ms. 7 Meisner's sake, you know, that we do hear what people 8 That's why we're having these conversations. 9 Because, again, part of this process is remedial, that 10 we're trying to kind of figure out if we can't maybe 11 make a better system, make things -- nobody's 12 intentionally trying to do it. It's just the rigors 13 of the job force it sometimes. And so that's all it 14 is in terms of what we're bringing up today. 15 Α. Yes, sir. 16 0. Thank you. 17 Α. Thank you, sir. 18 SENATOR TALLEY: Senator Sabb. 19 SENATOR SABB: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY SENATOR SABB: 22 I guess I would say I've got an appreciation for some 23 of my colleague's suggestions. But I was really happy 24 that you laid out the reasons why. In my view, it's -25 - it's a reaction to what is -- what's clearly a

1 problem.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. Uh-huh.
- And I appreciate this process, when it comes to our 0. jurors, because it's a reflective point. opportunity to gauge another aspect of what we do. And so I do think that these processes allow us opportunities to enhance what we do and make improvements where improvements are. I was extremely pleased and impressed by the vast majority of the comments that I saw, and I think those comments are more reflective of your job duties and how seriously you take them. I remember we did a screening of a Richland County jurist, last time around -- or not so long ago -- I'm getting older, so my days and weeks and months run together -- but she had the same issue with all of the orders and falling behind. And in my view, you know, Richland County, Charleston, some of these big areas, and I've sat in a number of these nonjury days, and it's amazing to me how the judges are -- obviously, you got to do it, but it's amazing to me how they're called upon to go from this issue to that issue to that issue to that issue to that issue. I mean, it's a myriad of issues all day long, and sometimes two days, and all of those are orders that have to be written at some point. And I do think that

1 the absence of help, you know, lends itself to the 2 problem. But of course, lawyers and litigants have 3 zero interest in it. All they want is their matter 4 resolved. But I think those of us who are looking at 5 the process, we see something larger. But we got to 6 work within the confines of figuring out how to get it 7 done. And so I agree with Mr. Safran and I don't 8 believe that it's an indictment at all -- that's my 9 word, not his -- but I don't believe it's an 10 indictment at all in terms of your ability to grasp or 11 to handle all the matters that are before you on your And so I've marveled at your career. 12 plate. I've 13 been extremely proud of the manner in which you've 14 continued to conduct yourself, and I'm really happy 15 that you and five other highly qualified individuals are offering for the court. And I think you all will 16 17 give us great problems. But I think those of us who 18 sit here, it's a good problem for us to have, in that 19 we've got such high level candidates that we have the 20 opportunity to choose from. So that's more of an editorial than it is a comment. But I appreciate you 21 22 being here. 23 Yes, sir. Thank you. Α. 24 SENATOR TALLEY: Senator Rankin.

EXAMINATION

## BY SENATOR RANKIN:

1

2 Judge, greetings. Nice to see you today. I saw you Q. 3 last Friday sitting on the front row where you, like 4 the rest of us, sat in awe of the -- one of the best 5 commencement speeches I've ever had the pleasure of 6 attending and hearing. That, of course, for the world 7 and the two people that will watch this hereafter, my 8 son's graduation from law school. And I cannot tell 9 you the pride that I have for you to be there to hear 10 your dad, and the pride that I have -- and I'm going 11 to try not to get emotional -- but my parents who were there for me in 1987, my father, a judge, retired 12 13 judge, to watch his son graduate. And so just a 14 beautiful moment that you quoted in your responses 15 earlier that your dad offered to meet the moment. 16 so just an incredible sense of nostalgia. And in this 17 role of being accountable to the world as we vet the 18 panel of judges like my friend Ronnie, Senator Sabb, 19 and each one who have spoken thus far, the 20 responsibility that we have here, the pride that we 21 have here in people offering, and, though perhaps somewhat of an irritant at times, for the complaints 22 23 to make their complaints, but who were vetted. I want to open with that, and I'm not going to take 24 25 too, too long, but I do want to delve back, as I have

1 with the other candidates, and yesterday's as well. 2 You're in a busy area here in Richland County. 3 Yesterday, we heard from a candidate who is from 4 Charleston County, Charleston Berkeley. Popular -populous places where civil litigants and criminal 5 6 defendants are waiting for their day in court. 7 you, and have you, been in Richland County constrained 8 with a burgeoning backlog of cases that you have 9 needed help beyond our nonelecting successor that has 10 been beyond your control of expedite? Or how have you 11 worked with that backlog, criminal and civil? 12 Α. 13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. As you know, there is a tremendous backlog, and there has been for some time. You know, people blame the pandemic. I don't know if that is the reason for it, and we just haven't recovered. As I said, I have been without a law clerk for a number of months now, so my right hand -- and that is sometime, I guess, to some extent, is beyond my control. I've advertised the position and, you know, no one, at this point, who has applied is in a position to be qualified for that job, you know, with people just graduating. I will have one coming in in August. It's just -- I think part of the issue is, as I said, the pandemic, forcing people to try their cases, giving people continuance after continuance after continuance, contributes to the

1 backlog. But yeah, beyond not electing a resident 2 judge for the Fifth Circuit, as I said, we are -- we 3 have been down other judges, with Judge Lee retiring, 4 with Judge Benjamin going to the Fourth Circuit Court 5 of Appeals, and there just aren't enough bodies. The 6 other thing that Richland County has that other 7 counties don't is all of the government agencies. So 8 the volume of cases that come through suing the 9 Democratic Party, the Election Commission, the 10 Republican Party, Planned Parenthood, et cetera, et 11 cetera, et cetera, the Department of Corrections, all 12 of these governmental entities are headquartered here, 13 and that probably exponentially increases the number 14 of cases that we have to deal with in the Fifth 15 Circuit. I say the Fifth Circuit, but in Richland 16 County, in particular. Kershaw County largely takes 17 care of itself and has a very small number of cases, 18 and I don't think we're behind there much at all, if 19 at all. There's just a lot going on in Richland 20 County and not enough humans to handle all of it. 21 And if you have asked for help from the Supreme Court Q. 22 to assign a retired judge -- I know they travel around 23 Charleston, Horry, we've seen them. You clerked with 24 one who now is a retired judge, Judge Cooper, right --

25

Α.

Yes.

Q. -- they are there at the ready. Has that been brought to this district that, at your request, or that the world sees, the chief sees, that you, in this area, are uniquely backlogged?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Α. Periodically. And so there was a time last year where we were giving retired judges additional terms of court, either with retired judges or other active circuit court judges whose terms of court had broken down around the state. We would add terms of common pleas nonjury, particularly because they can be done by Webex, and that's a lot of what -- the motions not being heard is a lot of what contributes to the trials not being heard. Because, of course, if you've got an outstanding motion to dismiss, a discovery motion, you cannot be ready for trial. And so we did do some of that, and we continue to do that when we're able to, when we have the manpower to do it. We're adding terms this year, now with so many new circuit court judges that were just recently elected. So we do ask for additional manpower when we can.
- Q. And realize you're not running for reelection to the circuit court. I know that. My questions may suggest that I don't know that. But we are judging you as compared to your peers on what we have to work with.

  And one of those is -- again, has been explored

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

thoroughly, timeliness in carrying out the job, issuing orders. And in terms of that motions practice, particularly, do you have a plan -- or not a plan, but a practice that you think works for those who are saying, it's been a slow boil, that you would point to for us to have in this record that says that's not so, here's how I do it, here's how I get them out, here's my practice? What is that?

Well, I will say a lot of my delay in signing orders Α. is that I do request proposed orders from attorneys. Helping to get those in a timely fashion would make me then -- enable me to be able to sign them in a timely fashion. I think as Mr. Strom and Mr. Safran have acknowledged, the more time that passes, the more distant the memory is, the less familiar the person is with the case. And so just to give Senator Sabb a slight correction, those common pleas nonjury terms in Richland County are not just a day or two days, they are five days. So in that week, you may hear 120 motions. I do request proposed orders from the I need those in a more timely fashion, attornevs. because if you wait -- I signed one yesterday that maybe nine months had passed since I heard it. And of course, now, they're chomping at the bit waiting for me to sign it, but I had forgotten, you know.

- and I want to be as accurate and thorough as I can be in issuing my decisions. So I guess, I need to stay more on top of the attorneys when I request proposed orders, and that's something that my law clerk typically would do but --
  - Q. But your practice there -- I mean, you've been at this some years, now.
- 8 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. But we've got to be at better practice. And I'm not saying yours isn't. But to the complaint that you don't give folks continuances, I remember -- I know how some lawyers have to be dragged to the courtroom to settle their case, or whatever. But in terms of your practice with -- because you're about to be, if you're successful, in a motions practice, you'll have oral argument. It won't be Webex. Though, maybe they will.
- 18 | A. Right.
  - Q. You won't have near as many cases, but if we are looking to pass as the prologue for how you're going to carry out that job, help me -- I mean, it doesn't comfort me to here you say, I don't remember it. It's the truth. How could you remember it. But how -- I mean, not every motion warrants a proposed order. Surely, there are some form orders that are quickly

disposed of. Discovery make take the majority of your
time, motions practice, I don't know. But how -- how
-- to the world who says, She's not remembered my
case, how -- for other judges that are looking and
will look at this transcript --

A. Yes, sir.

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. -- and folks that will be judge judges hereafter for circuit court positions --
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. -- is there a better practice that somebody's got that
  11 you emulate or are they trying to copy yours?
  - I would say that the delay in orders is Α. I don't know. It is not the entirety of my career. more recent. do do form orders when I am able to. I think that Efiling helps that a great deal, the ability to just click, click, click and sign the order. It's more the -- the delay in orders is not the minor things. not granting a motion to compel. It's not denying a motion to dismiss. They are the lengthier motions granting summary judgment, things that would be appellate issues, and I guess I need to do a better job of stopping in the moment and taking time and slowing things down and making sure those things get done in a timelier fashion, rather than moving from thing to thing to thing.

- Q. You're -- and again, invoking parents' legacies that we have and the shoes that you effectively have not tried to fill, or you didn't succeed your father. But in the path that he has pursued, more blessing than not, but you are not your father, I am not my father. How do you see your unique gift, your unique skill set, that distinguishes you from the circuit court bench to serving on the Supreme Court?
- A. Am I comparing myself to my father or just to people in general? I want to understand your question.
- 11 | Q. Well, you got five appellates.
- 12 | A. Oh, certainly.

- Q. You're not your father, you're not running for circuit court reelection. You're running -- you're blessed with a good name. You're blessed with a good name, but you are not your father. He's not running for the Supreme Court, you are. What uniquely Jocelyn Newman skill set do you say lends yourself to this position?
- A. I am someone who has always been interested in learning, in reading and studying. Frankly, I think that contributes to the delay in issuing orders that we've discussed. But that's sort of my wheelhouse. Those are skills that I believe to be very important for an appellate position and something that I excel at. Frankly, I'm an introvert. I read books. I do

crossword puzzles. I study. I analyze. I'm a
mathematician. And I think all of that will serve me
in my dissecting and deliberating about these
significant issues that appear before the Supreme
Court.

- Q. Two last areas. And to that point, I would recommend everyone who has not already, if they haven't commented, Bailey McDaniel --
- 9 A. Yes.

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 10 | Q. -- your former clerk --
- 11 | A. Yes.
  - -- writes two things that stand out to me. And one Q. you've just touched on in terms of your methodical, meticulous and, I'm going to call it, thorough consideration. You're not from the hip, judge, rule and go. She is obviously witnessing your struggle, I guess, with some of these decisions that you have of you. And then the other, which is just, I think, to the world in terms of your core, loves family, both chosen and given, with all she has, whether coordinating dinners and gifts for her parents and siblings or showing up for pre-law meetings at Benedict College. Judge Newman makes sure those around her felt included and important. And if that is transcending to those temperament.

before you in court, then spot on. Lastly, this. In terms of our -- the nine evaluative criteria, the 817 people that wrote or participated in the survey, you had 159 folks that made comments. We've heard some of the good and the fewer of the bad. I want to commend to you, though, that your peers regard you, in each of these nine evaluative criteria exceedingly high. And so if there's any negative that you take away from this, don't let it be unmet by the regard that the peers have of you and the job that you're doing.

A. Yes, sir. Thank you very much.

SENATOR TALLEY: Who else? All right. Judge Newman, thank you for being here this morning. That concludes this portion of our screening process. I do need to take the opportunity to remind you that pursuant to the Commission's evaluative criteria, the Commission expects candidates to follow the spirit, as well as the letter of the state ethics law. Any violations or appearance of impropriety is serious and potentially deserving of heavy weight in screening deliberations. On that note, and as you know, the record will remain open until the formal release of the report of qualifications, and you may be called back at such time if the need

1	arises. Do you understand?
2	JUDGE NEWMAN: I do.
3	SENATOR TALLEY: Thank you.
4	JUDGE NEWMAN: Thank you.
5	SENATOR TALLEY: Appreciate your service to the State
6	of South Carolina, and we wish you well.
7	JUDGE NEWMAN: Thank you. Thank you all. Thank you.
8	MR. SAFRAN: Mr. Chairman, I'd move we go to executive
9	session at this time.
10	SENATOR TALLEY: All right. Motion for executive
11	session.
12	SENATOR RANKIN: Second.
13	SENATOR TALLEY: Second by Senator Rankin. All those
14	in favor, signify by saying aye.
15	MEMBERS: Aye.
16	SENATOR TALLEY: Any opposed? Now, we will go into
17	executive session.
18	(Executive session was held from 11:30 - 11:44 am)
19	CHAIRMAN RANKIN: All right, we are back on the record,
20	and for the record during executive session no
21	actions were taken, no votes were cast. And we
22	will now proceed to the next candidate, Judge
23	Letitia Verdin. If you will How do you
24	pronounce your first name?
25	JUDGE VERDIN: It is Letitia but I answer to anything.

1	I do. With a name like Letitia I answer to
2	anything.
3	SENATOR RANKIN: So what's the worst pronunciation
4	other than mine that you've heard?
5	JUDGE VERDIN: Latitia. So.
6	SENATOR RANKIN: And for the record that is pronounced
7	Letitia. Madame Court Reporter, do that
8	phonetically. Letitia.
9	WHEREUPON:
10	Letitia Verdin, being duly sworn and
11	cautioned to speak the truth, the whole truth and
12	nothing but the truth, testifies as follows:
13	SENATOR RANKIN: You had prepared for us the PDQ and
14	Sworn Statement, are they ready to be entered
15	into the record without amendment?
16	JUDGE VERDIN: Yes, sir. They are.
17	SENATOR RANKIN: All right, and no objection by you?
18	JUDGE VERDIN: None whatsoever.
19	(EXHIBIT NO. 9 MARKED FOR
20	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (19 pages)
21	PDQ - Letitia Verdin)
22	(EXHIBIT NO. 10 MARKED FOR
23	IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES (6 pages)
24	Sworn statement - Letitia Verdin)
25	SENATOR RANKIN: All right, they will be put in the

1 record. Judge you are very familiar with our 2 vetting process of the Judicial Merit Selection 3 In our investigation of your Commission. 4 qualifications for the bench we thoroughly look 5 at, as you have attested to in prior screenings I 6 know, the nine evaluative criteria which includes 7 a ballot box survey concerning the application of 8 your materials, verification of compliance with 9 state ethics laws, search of newspaper articles 10 in which your name appears, study of previous 11 screenings, and economic conflicts of interest. 12 There are two affidavits that were filed in 13 opposition to your election. They have been 14 dismissed by the Commission. There are no 15 witnesses here to testify for you or against you, 16 unless you brought someone that I did not see 17 come in with you? 18 JUDGE VERDIN: No, sir. 19 SENATOR RANKIN: You have the opportunity if you'd like to make a brief opening statement. Otherwise Ms. 20 21 Crawford will open it with questions and then 22 members of the Commission may as well. 23 JUDGE VERDIN: I understand you've had a long morning, 24 so I just want to thank you all for what you're 25 doing and thank the staff, especially Erin and

1 Lindi, who I've been working with. Thank you very 2 much for what you do. 3 SENATOR RANKIN: Very good. All right Ms. Crawford. 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. CRAWFORD: 6 Good afternoon Judge. 0. 7 Α. Afternoon. 8 SENATOR RANKIN: It's morning. 11:46, we're not that 9 late. 10 MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman I note for the record that 11 based on the testimony contained in the 12 candidates PDO which has been included in the 13 record with her consent, Judge Verdin meets the 14 constitutional requirements for this position 15 regarding age, residence and years of practice. 16 Judge Verdin why do you want to now serve on the 0. 17 Supreme Court? Well I have thoroughly enjoyed my time on every bench, 18 Α. 19 Family Court, Circuit Court, and now the Court of 20 Appeals, and I've particularly enjoyed serving as 21 appellate judge for a little over a year now. Frankly 22 I've enjoyed it maybe even a little more than I 23 thought I would. But I very much have enjoyed that. 24 I like bring to the Supreme Court, I know the Justices 25 on the Supreme Court are fantastic and have fantastic

22

23

24

- backgrounds, but I'd like to bring my varied background to the Supreme Court and I'd also like to serve in more of a leadership capacity with the Bar and with the court system just to strengthen our court system and to support our legal practice.
- Q. Judge Verdin, could you identify what you would consider your greatest accomplishment as a lawyer or a judge or even outside that arena, and explain why?
- Well I feel like my greatest accomplishment as a Α. lawyer would have been when I was in the Solicitor's Office and I worked in a leadership capacity there to implement, this was a long time ago, but to implement one of the first case management systems in the State in both Family Court and in General Sessions for the Solicitor's Office. I've always been proud of that work and I'm proud of the work that I did there overall as a judge. I wouldn't say, I wouldn't want to pick any one case over another, but just I was proud to work in the court system on all the different levels and I was proud to serve with the other judges that I did and hopefully -- and then our county implemented a case management system that was important to me there too, so. I would think those would be my greatest accomplishments.
- Q. Judge, how would you describe your general judicial

## philosophy?

- A. Well my judicial philosophy is this. First and foremost I want litigants and lawyers to be able to be heard and to be able to have their grievances dealt with in court and to leave court and feel like they were heard. So far as my particular philosophy, I think I have a very measured philosophy towards the law. I understand my role. I understand that I am not a policy-maker. I understand I am not a law-maker. But I'm an interpreter of the law and I take that seriously. I don't look for ambiguities where ambiguities are not there, but where there are I have to step in and -- I would have to step in and address those and would do so. But overall I would say that my judicial philosophy is a measured one.
- Q. How would you describe your vision for the future of our judicial system and what changes would you advocate?
- A. Well, our legal profession is changing and I don't think, and some people lament that. I welcome those changes because change is inevitable. But I think technology has been one of the biggest things that we have had on our side in addressing so many of the challenges for the court system. I would want to continue to advocate for technology that makes sense,

that works, that the Bar has had input into, that the Trial Bench has had input into, to help with that. I would want to continue to, even though there have been changes in our legal profession, I certainly wouldn't want in any way to lessen the highest standards of integrity and to maintain the highest standards of integrity in both our judiciary and in our Bar. Handin-hand with technology I would think efficiency and addressing back logs through efficiency. And I know that the Supreme Court is attempting to do that now, and I would, if I were elected, I would want to be a part of that. And working on the backlogs throughout the system.

- Q. And some of this may segue into that, what steps do you think should be taken to foster public confidence, public trust in the judicial system?
- A. Well, the certainly the highest ethical standards to begin with. Transparency. Communication. Those I think are the most important ones. It has been my experience thus far that most folks just want to believe that they were heard, they want to be heard and lawyers just want to have the opportunity to practice law and to have the opportunity to be heard as well. I generally have found that when folks are heard and when the court communicates with them as to

why I made a particular decision or something like that and give them the respect of communicating why I did something, generally folks they understand. They may not be thrilled or happy with the decision, but they understand. And I think that fosters trust. I think also understanding that the appearance of impropriety, recusing yourself when need be, sometimes that can occasionally be an ego thing from time to time, but that, you know, oh I can be fair and impartial, but you have to take the next step and say does this give the appearance of impropriety. And I think that upholds the public's trust in the judiciary if you make that analysis and that make that analysis on the record publically.

- Q. Thank you, Judge. When do you believe is appropriate to write a concurring or dissenting opinion, and are there any negatives to doing so?
- A. Well, I would write a concurrence if I agreed a majority opinion, of course, but for different reasons. And if I felt that it was necessary to elaborate on those reasons. The danger in that is that if there's a majority and there's all these concurrences it certainly, it can be confusing to judges, trial judges and to lawyers it can be very confusing. And it can give the appearance that the

court is not unified. And I think another great time 1 2 to write a concurrence, I'm reminded of one that Chief 3 Justice Elect Kittredge wrote within the last year in 4 which he talked about the duties of prosecutors. 5 wasn't necessarily something that should have been in 6 the majority opinion, but it was a great opportunity 7 to remind trial judges and prosecutors of their 8 And I thought that was a particularly good 9 concurrence. As far as dissent is concerned, if I 10 disagreed with a majority opinion and felt very 11 strongly that I needed to tell my reasons then yes I 12 would write a dissent. Again, the danger is that it 13 can be confusing and it can give mixed signals to the 14 Bar and to the Bench. I have seen in some opinions, 15 not in this State but in other states, that sometimes 16 dissent can come off as disrespectful. So if I were to 17 write a dissent I can't expect someone to respect the 18 court if I'm not showing that same respect to my 19 colleagues. 20 Thank you. Judge, the Commission received nine-hundred Q.

. Thank you. Judge, the Commission received nine-hundred and twenty-seven ballot box surveys regarding you, and two hundred and thirty of those provided additional comments, almost all of which were positive. Some of the positive comments included: In a state full of

21

22

23

24

25

talented, intelligent, and capable judges and

justices, Judge Verdin is the finest juror South
Carolina has to offer. Judge Verdin would be a
formidable Justice of the South Carolina Supreme
Court. Judge Verdin sets a standard for excellent
judges. She treats litigants, victims, court
personnel and attorneys with respect. She is
efficient without being abrupt. Only four of the
written comments expressed any concerns, and they
centered on you having been on the Court of Appeals
bench for such a short period of time. Can you
respond to these concerns? You touched on it in your
opening, but --

A. They're right. I'd have to agree with them. I've only been on the Court of Appeals a short period of time. I will say in this year and change I have probably learned more in this year than I have in any year in my life. However, candidly, this is not my timing. This would not have been my ideal timing, truthfully, but we have a small Supreme Court. The openings don't come up very often and in looking at everything and discussing it with folks around me, I decided that this was the point in time that I should think about running and did. My running in no way, or my running after only having been on the Court of Appeals for a year and a few months, I don't want it

1 to reflect in any way on how much I have enjoyed and 2 would continue to enjoy being on the Court of Appeals, 3 how much respect I have for Chief Judge Williams and 4 what he is doing and my colleagues there, the staff 5 attorneys, my law clerks. They're just fantastic I said this when I ran for the Court of 6 folks. 7 Appeals, I said that if the legislature didn't see fit 8 to elect me to the Court of Appeals I would be the 9 happiest Circuit Judge and I will echo the same thing. 10 If I'm not elected to the Supreme Court I'll be the 11 happiest Court of Appeals Judge and I will continue 12 hopefully to serve to the very best of my ability. 13 Thank you, Judge. I note that the Upstate Citizen's 0. 14 Committee reported that Judge Verdin is qualified in 15 the evaluative criteria, constitutional 16 qualifications, physical and mental stability and well qualified in the remaining evaluative criteria of 17 18 ethical fitness, professional and academic ability, 19 character, reputation, experience and judicial 20 The Committee stated in summary: This temperament. 21 candidate received the highest marks possible from the 22 We received only glowing, positive reports Committee. 23 while interviewing others during the background

A. Thank you.

investigation.

24

- Q. A few housekeeping points on my end. Since submitting your Letter of Intent to run for the seat have you contacted any members of this Commission?
- 4 A. I have not.
- Q. Are you familiar with 2-19-70 including the
   limitations on contacting members of the General
   Assembly regarding your screening?
- 8 A. Tam.
- 9 Q. Since submitting your Letter of Intent have you sought
  10 or received the pledge of any legislator prior to this
  11 date or pending the outcome of your screening?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Have you asked any third parties to contact members of the General Assembly on your behalf?
- 15  $\mid A$ . I have not.
- 16 Q. And are you aware of anyone attempting to intervene in this process on your behalf?
- 18 A. I am not.
- MS. CRAWFORD: Mr. Chairman I have no further questions at this time.
- 21 SENATOR RANKIN: All right, questions of members of the 22 Commission? Mr. Safran.
- 23 EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. SAFRAN:
- 25 Q. Thank you Mr. Chairman. Apparently in the one year

- you've done nothing to diminish the outstanding we had gotten each time that I've seen you.
  - A. Thank you.

- Q. And I don't have any doubt that you're up to the task that you've been doing as well as the Supreme Court. I guess the thing is, if it's time, you're right these opportunities don't come very often but from what I'm gathering the Court of Appeals has really been making a tremendous effort to deal with maybe some of the discord in the Bar about the timing and how long some of these things take. Have you noticed that too?
- A. Yes, sir. Definitely. And I'm proud to have joined in with that in a tiny bit here. Judge Blake Hewitt is chairing a committee that is dealing with different and creative ways to handle our cases, new ways to think about evaluating our cases when they come in.

  And I serve on that committee along with Judge Vinson. And of course all of that is under the guidance and direction of Chief Judge Williams. The statistics, although I cannot tell them to you right now, I will tell you we are moving in the right direction and it has nothing to do with me but --
- Q. I'm sure it does. It's a collective effort.
- A. But things that were put in place and I was just very glad that Judge Hewitt allowed me to be on that

1 committee as well too.

- Q. How have you seen your experience in both Family Court and Circuit Court, I guess more or less preparing you or having prepared you for what you're facing now in the Court of Appeals?
- A. Well you know, a lot of the Family Court, I'm reaching back, but it has been of tremendous benefit to me to be able to think about situations and times when I was sitting in that judge's seat. That has been of terrific benefit to me. You know, we see so many different things on the Court of Appeals, obviously, and still would on the Supreme Court, obviously. But just to have had the familiarity with those areas, to have been in those courts and to understand, you know, what a judge goes through in making those decisions has been of great benefit to me.
- Q. Let me just ask you one other thing. Because of the time constraints and nature of the job as a Family Court, and it's rare I think that you would be drafting your own order. When you get to Circuit Court that happens to a great extent also. You're now in an area where that's all you're supposed to be doing. How has that transition worked, and granted they're there for a purpose, but how reliant are you on the clerks as opposed to doing it yourself?

1	Α.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Well it's a collaborative effort. I certainly don't want to in any way indicate that I could this job without my law clerks because I could not. But it is a very collaborative effort. On the Circuit Court. And I'll admit on the Family Court I was not able to draft very many of my own orders and I relied on attorneys to submit proposed orders, and I did. But on the Circuit Court I drafted a good number of my orders and I liked doing that because I wanted it to say exactly what I wanted it to say. Also, I think sometimes getting competing orders always seemed a little strange to me to ask for proposed orders from both sides because you're asking one side to kind of waste their time a little bit. So I -- potentially. And so I will say that the writing I have enjoyed. something that I've enjoyed having the time to do. Although, once again, I have just outstanding law clerks and in fact my senior law clerk is someone who, strangely enough, we went to college together. she's been at the Court of Appeals for 27 years. she's been able to really shepherd me through that But it is very much a collaborative effort. I'm very involved in both the overall policy of what we're ultimately going to decide but also in the drafting as well.

1 And along just that last line, the drafting, I mean we Q. 2 had Judge Hewitt here earlier and I know a lot of the 3 comments were pointed towards the fact that he has a 4 tendency to want to kind of get to the point, be 5 somewhat limited in terms of what's said, kind of 6 address the question as succinctly as possible. Other 7 people have a different style. And, you know, from 8 somebody who from a personal standpoint was kind of 9 trained to keep it more limited, I mean where are you 10 think you're finding yourself at this point? 11 Well sometimes I read my writing and I think it's Α. 12 pretty dry. But I'd like to say that I want to get to 13 I want my opinions to be ones that are 14 helpful to a trial judge and to an attorney, that it's 15 something they can go and look at and get some 16 quidance from hopefully right in that moment. 17 Sometimes we have the luxury of studying cases and

Q. Thank you very much.

fantastic.

A. Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

looking at them from all different angles, but

sometimes we just need the answer, and we're in trial

and we need the answer. And so I think I'm probably

more to the side of getting to the point and I would

agree very much that Judge Hewitt is that way and is

SENATOR RANKIN: Other questions?

2 EXAMINATION

## BY SENATOR RANKIN:

- Q. Let me ask a few if I may and compliment you similarly as Mr. Safran has done with your welcome back.

  Nothing's changed except perhaps more folks have weighed in and we, in this round, have had anywhere from mid four-hundreds, five-hundred, seven-hundred, eight-hundred and nine-hundred comments, or rather participants in this ballot box survey. And you have had perhaps the largest percentage or number of people weighing in, and glowing comments and a spitful of negative that just don't maybe know what state they're in that may not know you. So I want to commend you for that.
- 16 A. Thank you.
  - Q. In this business that we weigh and judge the judges' qualifications to then recommend to the full General Assembly, one of the considerations in this race for you is that you, if you're successful, would create effectively an all-Upstate Supreme Court. That suggests that there is no geographical boundary but the seat member and they can only look out for their part of the State. Speak to your sense and the lens that you would be looking at cases that would come

## before you and tell me whether there would be an Upstate balance or bias?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Well if I could just say, ya'll are going to think I'm Α. a nomad, but I've lived all over the State first. I've lived in Oconee, Greenville, Greenwood, Columbia, Charleston. I have lived all over the State, but as a Family Court judge and a Circuit Court judge I held court all over the State, and I would hope that I did my very best job no matter what county I was in. certainly have never believed that my commission told me that I was to only serve folks in the Upstate or only to serve the people in the county where I live. I believe that my commission makes me responsible to serve the entire state, and I certainly would do so. I would point out, maybe this isn't, I don't know if I should point this out or not, but the person retiring is from Spartanburg. And so I, although I'm not saying I would be replacing Chief Justice Beatty, I'm just saying that he is the one is rolling off from Spartanburg in the Upstate.
  - Q. Justice James would take issue in making him an Upstate, but if you're in Horry County, it's all Upstate.
- A. I certainly was not going to correct you, Chairman, about Buck James.

- Q. If you didn't these guys and gals will.
- 2 A. I'd rather him be mad at me to tell you the truth.
  - Q. So and not unlike House and Senate members not representing their own area first, they are State Senators, State Representatives. So we do all have to have a statewide perspective.
- 7 A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Your having served on I guess maybe the most popular or depending on if you're a litigant, the most touches with the public that you can get in our court system. Some would say it's not the Magistrate's Court, it would be Family Court. Your transition from the people to the reviewing of the decision that lower courts have made for the people, is it a isolated, ivory tower-like experience that you have liked surprisingly or that you have aspired to to get to guicker?
- A. It can be isolating. I made a pledge to you when I was here two years, or whenever screening was two years ago, I made a pledge to you that I was going to stay involved if I were elected with the Bar and I was not going to let myself be isolated. It is a struggle to do that from the Appellate Court. But I believe I have done it. And I miss the trial court very much so. But I miss being a trial attorney, and I don't

- want to forget what being a trial attorney is like. I

  don't want to forget what it's like to be on a trial

  court. But I do, I miss it very much and I miss

  Family Court, I miss Circuit Court all the time. And

  I probably tell too many war stories, probably bore

  everybody at the Court of Appeals with that.
  - Q. And that you have heard from other screenings, some folks get there and they just did not anticipate the isolation and the dryness of it, your word about your writing style. And how they miss that personal contact. And so I appreciate your speaking to that. I want to wonder now, not in a totally light note, do you know what a bid No Trump means?
- 14 A. I'm sorry, I don't.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

23

24

25

- 15 Q. Did you take a bridge class?
- 16 A. Oh I did take a bridge class, but I was the worst.
- 17 Q. So you didn't study that part of that?
- A. And now that you say this these words are coming back to me. But let me just tell you I was the worst bridge player of all times. I was really the remedial student in that class, so. Yes.
  - Q. My mother played Bridge -- for Representative
    Rutherford who doesn't know what bridge is except for
    one that you cross. There is a term, am I correct, on
    bidding in bridge?

- 1 A. Yes, yes. I remember a No Trump, I can't remember
- 2 what that...
- 3 Q. We're not talking about "the Trump".
- 4 A. Oh I understand.
- 5 Q. Unless your folks think otherwise.
- 6 REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Objection. Relevance.
- 7 | SENATOR RANKIN: Overruled.
- 8 Q. Finally, I want to call out to the young lady that
- 9 wrote about you, Ms. Chet --
- 10 A. Oh, Chet is actually a man.
- 11 | Q. Excuse me.
- 12 A. No, that's ok. That's ok.
- 13 | Q. Tell me, and I would not know it from reading, excuse
- me, again Rutherford does not know what we're talking
- about. He doesn't read references. Give us a little
- 16 color of that please and help him know what we're
- 17 talking about.
- 18 A. About who Chet Chea is?
- 19 Q. And your influence with him. Four decades y'all have
- 20 known each other. Did he not write this on your
- 21 behalf?
- 22 | A. He did.
- 23 Q. Did you read the letter?
- 24 A. I did read it and I was extremely touched. I was
- 25 extremely touched. But he's been a good friend and

1 the way he makes it sound I'm just going to tell you 2 honestly, he makes it sound as if I've been a mentor 3 to him but he's been as much of a mentor to me as I 4 was to him. But he came to Seneca, he moved to 5 Seneca. He was a Cambodian refugee. I think they 6 fled the Khmer Rouge if I understand correctly. By 7 the time Chet -- Chet moved there in seventh grade to 8 Seneca, you know, back in the 1980's, so you can 9 imagine that was probably a little daunting. 10 certainly the only Asian student in our school. 11 by the time he left he was vice-president of our 12 student body. So he's just an amazing person. 13 his wife is our pediatrician, or was our pediatrician. 14 And he is a lawyer and his youngest son is my godson. 15 I want to commend you, and the line here to me that Q. 16 speaks to my sense of the story about you that is just 17 overwhelmingly communicated by the participants in 18 this ballot box survey is her inclusivity and kindness 19 stood out as she introduced me to classmates and 20 included me in her circle of friends, not looking at 21 him a student who stood out due to his appearance. And so that to me is an epitaph and a wonderful 22 23 statement about you. 24 Well thank you very much. Α. SENATOR RANKIN: And now Representative Rutherford has 25

1	some questions. Actually, Hope Blackley.
2	MS. BLACKLEY: I just a comment, I don't have a
3	question. I do want to say I can't think of you
4	being remedial in anything.
5	JUDGE VERDIN: Oh, believe me.
6	MS. BLACKLEY: But I, again, just want to say it is, I
7	worked with you for a number of years when you
8	were a Circuit Court judge and again, stellar,
9	exceptional.
10	JUDGE VERDIN: Thank you.
11	MS. BLACKLEY: And staff between Greenville and
12	Spartanburg just adored you. I think you are
13	what's needed and I wish you all the best and
14	thank you for running.
15	JUDGE VERDIN: Well, thank you very much and I
16	appreciate all the times we have worked together.
17	Thank you very much in all that you've done.
18	SENATOR RANKIN: Representative Caskey.
19	REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: Thank your Mr. Chairman.
20	Judge, I don't have any comments or questions I
21	just wanted to apologize to you for not being
22	here at the start of this proceeding. Contrary
23	to what some in our state allege, those who
24	practice law and try and serve in bodies like
25	this are often called away unavoidably and so it

1 wasn't for want of desire to be here, I was tied 2 up in court. Thank you, and glad you're offering 3 again. 4 JUDGE VERDIN: Well thank you, and I appreciate that. 5 And I appreciate your service in both ways. 6 SENATOR RANKIN: All right, anything else from anybody? 7 All right. Judge we thank you for your 8 participation and suffering the interruptions of 9 Representative Rutherford. And I want to take 10 this opportunity as each time we've done this, 11 you know this drill, pursuant to our evaluative 12 criteria, we expect you as a candidate to abide 13 by both the letter and spirit of ethics law. 14 violation of that or appearance of impropriety 15 will warrant us bringing you back for further 16 questions. You know that, correct? 17 JUDGE VERDIN: Yes, sir. 18 SENATOR RANKIN: And you also know that the record is 19 not closed until the formal release of the report 2.0 of qualifications. And again in the unlikely 21 event we could call you back. Correct? 22 JUDGE VERDIN: Yes, sir. 23 SENATOR RANKIN: All right. Again, thank you for your 24 ascent through the court ranks thus far and your 25 smiling presence today.

1	JUDGE VERDIN: Well thank you very much. Thank you for
2	having me.
3	SENATOR RANKIN: And we are now we are soon going to
4	go into executive session. The intent here is to
5	go in executive session with a plan for House and
6	Senate members to finish the work with the
7	tolerance and hopefully the indulgence of our lay
8	members to come back to conclude our work at the
9	adjournment of House and Senate today. So if
10	there is no objections to that, overwhelming
11	objections to that, then I would entertain a
12	motion to go into executive session.
13	REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: So moved.
14	REPRESENTATIVE CASKEY: So moved.
15	SENATOR RANKIN: All right folks and thank y'all.
16	We'll be in executive session.
17	(Executive session was held from 12:19 to 6:17 pm
18	SENATOR RANKIN: All right, we are back on the record.
19	And for the record, we are coming out of in
20	Executive Session. No votes were cast, no
21	decisions were made. We will now proceed to a
22	call of the ballot on the candidates. And do I
23	have a motion in terms of the candidates being
24	qualified?
25	REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Move to find them all

1 qualified. 2 SENATOR SABB: Second. 3 SENATOR RANKIN: Motion made and seconded, all in favor 4 of finding each of the six candidates qualified, 5 say aye. 6 MEMBERS: Aye. 7 SENATOR RANKIN: Any opposition? There being none. 8 All right now we will proceed to a vote in 9 alphabetical order. And Ms. Crawford, tell us 10 how we're going to do this vote. 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll now call MS. CRAWFORD: 12 out the names of the candidates for the Supreme 13 Court Seat Three in alphabetical order. 14 remember, each Commission member gets three 15 Any candidate that receives six or more 16 votes for qualified and nominated will be 17 considered one of the three nominated at the end 18 of that vote, unless there's a tie and we'll go 19 to the next ballot. Any candidate that does not 2.0 receive any ballots -- or any votes will be 21 removed from the consideration on the next 22 The candidates are -- do you want me to ballot. 23 name them all or? 24 SENATOR RANKIN: Yep, just name them. 25 The six candidates are the honorable MS. CRAWFORD:

1	Ralph K. Anderson, III, The Honorable Blake A.
2	Hewitt, the Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson, The
3	Honorable R. Keith Kelly, The Honorable Jocelyn
4	Newman and The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin. At
5	this point, all those in favor of the Honorable
6	Ralph K. Anderson, III, please raise your hand
7	and keep it raised. Three.
8	SENATOR RANKIN: Four.
9	MS. CRAWFORD: The next candidate is The Honorable
10	Blake A. Hewitt. Three.
11	SENATOR RANKIN: Three.
12	MS. CRAWFORD: Luke.
13	SENATOR RANKIN: I'm sorry.
14	MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson.
15	That's two. The Honorable R. Keith Kelly.
16	SENATOR TALLEY: I vote Ms. Blackley's proxy as well.
17	MS. CRAWFORD: Got it. So that's three. The Honorable
18	Jocelyn Newman.
19	SENATOR TALLEY: I vote Ms. Blackley's proxy as well.
20	MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin.
21	SENATOR TALLEY: I vote Ms. Blackley's proxy as well.
22	MS. CRAWFORD: That's nine. Okay. Two candidates
23	having received a majority would be Jocelyn
24	Newman and Letitia Verdin. So each Commission
25	member now has one vote remaining. And I'll again

- 1 repeat them in order. The Honorable Ralph K.
- 2 Anderson, III. The Honorable Blake A. Hewitt.
- 3 | REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Point of order, maybe a
- 4 little too late. Are we supposed to be keeping
- 5 track of every single vote on our sheet and who
- 6 | we voted for? I did not.
- 7 | SENATOR RANKIN: The final. The final.
- 8 MS. CRATER: I've got it too.
- 9 MS. CRAWFORD: Yeah, we've got we've got it. We've
- 10 got it.
- 11 | REPRESENTATIVE RUTHERFORD: Okay.
- 12 MR. SAFRAN: How many votes was for Hewitt?
- 13 MS. CRATER: That was five.
- 14 | SENATOR RANKIN: Wait a minute. Let's do a show of
- 15 hands again for Hewitt, please.
- 16 MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson. The
- 17 Honorable R. Keith Kelly.
- 18 | SENATOR TALLEY: I vote Ms. Blackley's proxy.
- 19 MS. CRAWFORD: And that's all. Nobody receiving six,
- 20 we move to a third ballot. The Honorable Ralph
- 21 K. Anderson.
- 22 | MS. CRATER: Zero.
- 23 | MS. CRAWFORD: The Honorable Blake A. Hewitt.
- 24 | SENATOR TALLEY: I vote Ms. Blackley's proxy as well.
- 25 | MS. CRATER: Nine.

1 MS. CRAWFORD: Nine. The Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson. 2 3 MS. CRATER: Zero. 4 MS. CRAWFORD: And The Honorable R. Keith Kelly. 5 MS. CRATER: One. 6 MS. CRAWFORD: Okay, the three candidates that are 7 found qualified and nominated will be The 8 Honorable Blake A. Hewitt, The Honorable Jocelyn 9 Newman, and The Honorable Letitia H. Verdin. 10 SENATOR RANKIN: All right, and for purposes of our 11 report, and we have a June 5th election, so in 12 terms of the date that this report will be 13 released --14 MS. CRAWFORD: I believe it's on the 23rd. 15 have it in front of me, May 23. 22nd? The 22nd. SENATOR RANKIN: For the press again, and the all these 16 House members and Senate members that are here in 17 18 invitation of all of our public notice, that 19 report will be released on May 22. And then, folks, I want to thank you all for all this 2.0 21 unique spring screening and the work that has been done to get us to this point, the input by 22 23 every touchpoint, The Citizens Committee, the 24 Bar, the lawyers, in terms of their participation 25 in overwhelming numbers, have taken the time to

```
1
     participate. And everyone here with a sacrifice
     that y'all given to this process is we try to
 2
 3
     continue to hold our judges in high regard and
 4
     the process by which we elect them.
                                             And so
 5
     thank y'all very much. We are adjourned.
     (There being no further questions, the hearings
 6
 7
          concluded at 6:24 p.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## 1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 3 I, KATHRYN BOSTROM, COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC 4 IN AND FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AT LARGE, HEREBY 5 CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED THE HEARINGS ON THURSDAY, THE 9TH 6 DAY OF MAY 2024, THAT THE WITNESS WAS FIRST DULY SWORN BY 7 ME AND THAT THE FOREGOING 119 PAGES CONSTITUTE A TRUE AND 8 CORRECT TRANSCRIPTION OF MY STENOMASK REPORT OF SAID 9 DEPOSITION. 10 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NEITHER ATTORNEY NOR 11 COUNSEL FOR, NOR RELATED TO OR EMPLOYED BY ANY OF THE 12 PARTIES CONNECTED WITH THIS ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY 13 INTERESTED IN SAID CAUSE. 14 I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE ORIGINAL OF SAID TRANSCRIPT 15 WAS THEREAFTER SEALED BY ME AND DELIVERED TO ERIN CRAWFORD, 16 GRESSETTE BUILDING, 1101 PENDLETON STREET, COLUMBIA, SOUTH 17 CAROLINA, WHO WILL RETAIN THIS SEALED ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT 18 AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR FILING SAME WITH THE COURT 19 PRIOR TO TRIAL OR ANY HEARING WHICH MIGHT RESULT IN A FINAL ORDER ON ANY ISSUE. 20 21 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS 16TH DAY OF MAY 2024. 22 23 24 KATHRYN B. BOSTROM, COURT REPORTER 25 MY COMMISSION EXPIRES AUGUST 23, 2032

	3:21 91:22	1980's		
Exhibits	3.21 91.22	111:8	3	7
	<b>\$</b>	1987		
240509KBB.	Ψ	80:12	3	7
JMSC_12616_	<b>\$20</b>		5:24 7:2 26:19	14:12 27:24
Kelly_Exh 1	45:8	2	<sup>-</sup> 36	7:45
3:3 6:21			_ 10:11	23:22
240509KBB.	1	2		
JMSC_12616_		6:13,24,25	4	8
Kelly_Exh 2	1	2-19-70		
3:5 6:12,13,24	6:21 7:6 10:13	16:18 65:10	4	8
240509KBB.	20:1 27:21	101:5	7:3,5 20:1	30:7
JMSC_12616_	10	20	40	817
Kelly_Exh 3	32:19 91:22	72:7	22:7 44:10	60:15 89:2
3:7 7:2	114	200	51:13 71:17	82
240509KBB.	12:16	70:19	43	31:12
JMSC_12616_	11:30	2013	68:12	
Kelly_Exh 4	90:18	13:22 21:13	434	9
3:9 7:5	11:44	2021	12:15	
240509KBB.	90:18	14:15	48	9
JMSC_12616_	11:46	2022	51:14	91:19
Newman_Exh 5	93:8	61:25		9:20
3:11 27:16	12	2023	5	5:14
240509KBB.	41:4	14:2		9:38
JMSC_12616_	120	2024	5	5:14
Newman_Exh 6	84:19	15:11	27:16,25 30:8	
3:13 27:9,20	12:19	22	34:4	A
240509KBB.	114:17	118:19	50	ah:da
JMSC_12616_	13	<b>22nd</b>	44:10	abide
Newman_Exh 7	6:22	118:15	5th	113:12
3:15 27:24	15	23	118:11	<b>ability</b> 16:3 22:14
240509KBB.	41:4 45:8	118:15		28:23 36:11
JMSC_12616_	159	23rd	6	49:8 61:11 65:3
<b>Meisner_Exh 8</b> 3:17 30:7	60:16 68:11	118:14	6	71:19,25 79:10
	89:4	24	27:9,20 91:23	86:15 100:12,18
240509KBB. JMSC 12616	17	51:13	6:17	abrupt
Verdin Exh 9	27:17	25	114:17	99:7
3:19 91:19	18	21:15	<b>6:24</b>	absence
240509KBB.	14:7	27	119:7	79:1
JMSC_12616_	19	104:20	119.7	absolute
Verdin_Exh 10	91:20			36:15

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com

100:18			71.0		.
66:25 67:22         37:12 63:18         81:18         38:20         analysis           72:24         83:9         advice         Alexander         97:13           abused         66:14         advise         all-upstate         88:1           abusing         adding         66:18         106:21         and/or           69:8         83:17         advocate         allegation         8:11           academic         addition         115:5,857:3         14:10         Anderson           16:3 65:3         13:1         95:18,25         allege         116:1,6117:           100:18         additional         affectionately         112:23         21           access         12:16 27:11         29:5         alleged         angles           15:717         98:22         20 31:15 35:5         67:5         109:8           accomplishment         9:15,18,22,24         69:8 95:13         affidavit         15:21 35:16         74:13           accomplishment         9:15,18,22,24         69:8 95:13         affidavits         15:21 35:16         74:13           accomplishment         8         73:14         affidavits         15:21 35:16         74:13           accomplishment         8 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
72:24   83:9   advice   Alexander   97:13   analyze   adused   68:16 73:19   6:14   advise   all-upstate   88:1   advise   all-upstate   88:1   analyze   adishing   66:18   106:21   and/or   all-upstate   88:1   advocate   all-upstate   and/or   all-upstate   and/or   all-upstate   and/or   all-upstate   and/or   all-upstate   analyze   analyze   advocate   all-upstate   analyze   analyze		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
abused         added         5:4         18:17 20:15         analyze           68:16 73:19         6:14         advise         all-upstate         88:1           abusing         adding         66:18         106:21         and/or           69:8         83:17         advocate         allegation         8:11           academic         addition         11:5,8 57:3         14:10         Anderson           16:3 65:3         13:1         95:18,25         allege         116:1,6 117:           100:18         additional         affectionately         112:23         21           49:10         57:4 60:16         afficavit         alleged         angles           49:10         57:4 60:16         afficavit         alleydates         anticipate           57:17         98:22         20 31:15 35:5         67:5         109:8           accomplishment         address         55:13         100:25         anyone's           accomplishment         addresses         92:12         allowing         67:17           31:1         43fficavits         15:21 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:					1
68:16 73:19         6:14         advise         all-upstate         88:1           abusing         adding         66:18         106:21         and/or           69:8         83:17         advocate         allegation         8:11           academic         addition         11:5,8 57:3         14:10         Anderson           16:3 65:3         13:1         95:18,25         allege         116:1,6 117:           100:18         additional         affectionately         112:23         21           access         12:16 27:11         29:5         alleged         angles           49:10         57:4 60:16         afficavit         14:14         105:18           accomplish         64:18 83:6,20         28:14 30:3,9,17,         3ellowates         anticipate           9:15,18,22,24         59:895:13         affidavits         15:21 35:16         74:13           accomplishment         9:15,18,22,24         69:8 95:13         affidavits         15:21 35:16         74:13           94:24         addresses         92:12         allowed         anyone's           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologized           85:1         adjourned         aftern					
abusing         adding         66:18         106:21         and/or           69:8         83:17         advocate         allegation         8:11           academic         addition         11:5,8 57:3         14:10         Anderson           16:3 65:3         13:1         95:18,25         allege         116:1,6 117:           100:18         additional         affectionately         112:23         21           49:10         57:4 60:16         affidavit         14:14         105:18           accomplish         64:18 83:6,20         28:14 30:3,9,17,         alleged         angles           accomplishment         99:22         23:115 35:5         67:5         109:8           accomplishment         69:8 95:13         affidavits         15:21 35:16         74:13           55:8,11 94:7,9         105:6         7:19 38:16         102:25         anyone's           accomplishment         addressing         92:12         allowing         67:17           80:17         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologize           80:17         adjuorned         afternoon					1
69:8         83:17         advocate         allegation         8:11           academic         addition         11:5,8 57:3         14:10         Anderson           16:3 65:3         13:1         95:18,25         allege         116:1,6 117:           100:18         additional         affectionately         112:23         21           access         12:16 27:11         29:5         allege         angles           49:10         57:4 60:16         affidavit         14:14         105:18           accomplish         64:18 83:6,20         28:14 30:3,9.17, 98:22         20 31:15 35:5         67:5         109:8           adcress         95:13         54:5         allowed         anticipate           9:15,18,22,24         69:8 95:13         46:8         7:19 38:16         102:25         anyone's           accomplishment         addresses         92:12         allowing         67:17         apologize           80:17         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21         apologize           80:17         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25         apologized           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:15 1				_	
academic         addition         11:5,8 57:3         14:10         Anderson           16:3 65:3         13:1         95:18,25         allege         116:1,6 117:           100:18         additional         affectionately         112:23         21           access         12:16 27:11         29:5         alleged         angles           49:10         57:4 60:16         affidavit         14:14         105:18           accomplish         64:18 83:6,20         28:14 30:3,9,17, 98:22         anticipate         anticipate           57:17         98:22         20 31:15 35:5         67:5         109:8           accomplishment         99:22         20 31:15 35:5         67:5         109:8           accomplishment         addresses         95:13         7:19 38:16         102:25         anymore           scomplishment         addresses         92:12         allowed         anymore's         67:17           gecomplishment         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologized           80:17         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 10:25           accus	_		66:18		
16:3 65:3   13:1   95:18,25   allege   116:1,6 117:					
100:18				14:10	
access         12:16 27:11         29:5         alleged         angles           49:10         57:4 60:16         affidavit         14:14         105:18           accomplish         64:18 83:6,20         28:14 30:3,9,17,         alleviates         anticipate           57:17         98:22         20 31:15 35:5         67:5         109:8           accomplishment         69:8 95:13         affidavits         15:21 35:16         74:13           55:8,11 94:7,9         accomplishment         addresses         92:12         allowed         anymore           accomplishment         accomplishment         addresses         92:12         allowing         67:17           accountable         addressing         15:12 18:1         30:24 46:24         apologize           80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         24:25         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeal           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8			95:18,25	allege	116:1,6 117:2,
49:10         57:4 60:16 accomplish         affidavit 28:14 30:3,9,17, 98:22         14:14 alleviates         105:18 anticipate           57:17         98:22         20 31:15 35:5         67:5         109:8 anticipate           accomplishment 9:15,18,22,24 55:8,11 94:7,9 accomplishment 8         69:8 95:13 anderss         15:21 35:16 74:13         74:13           55:8,11 94:7,9 accomplishment 8         73:14 affirmed 30:24 46:24 addresses         92:12 allowing 67:17 apologize afforded alluded accountable 95:23 96:9 afforded 80:17 adjectives         adjectives 28:16 29:14 24:25 31:1 apologize afforded 31:1 apologized 31:1 apolo	100:18		affectionately	112:23	21
accomplish         64:18 83:6,20         28:14 30:3,9,17, 20 31:15 35:5         alleviates anticipate for:5         anticipate 109:8           57:17         98:22         20 31:15 35:5         67:5         109:8           accomplishment 9:15,18,22,24         69:8 95:13         affidavits fidavits for:19 38:16         15:21 35:16         74:13           55:8,11 94:7,9         105:6         7:19 38:16         102:25         anyone's anyone's anyone's allowing for:17           accomplishment s         addresses gridering for:18 and firmed for:17         30:24 46:24         apologize for:17           accountable accountable accountable accountable accounted for:18 and firmed for:18 and firme			29:5	alleged	
57:17         98:22         20 31:15 35:5         67:5         109:8           accomplishment         9:15,18,22,24         69:8 95:13         affidavits         15:21 35:16         74:13           55:8,11 94:7,9         105:6         7:19 38:16         102:25         anyone's           accomplishment         addresses         92:12         allowing         67:17           s         94:24         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologize           80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         24:25         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accused         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           3:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           ak:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25:100:2,7,8           action			affidavit	14:14	105:18
accomplishment         address         54:5         allowed         anymore           9:15,18,22,24         69:8 95:13         affidavits         15:21 35:16         74:13           55:8,11 94:7,9         addresses         92:12         allowing         67:17           s         73:14         affirmed         30:24 46:24         apologize           94:24         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologize           80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         24:25         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeal           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12	_	· ·	28:14 30:3,9,17,	alleviates	_
9:15,18,22,24         69:8 95:13         affidavits         15:21 35:16         74:13           55:8,11 94:7,9         accomplishment         addresses         92:12         allowing         67:17           s         73:14         affirmed         30:24 46:24         apologize           94:24         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologize           80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         24:25         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:151:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           action         62:10         ag	I		20 31:15 35:5	67:5	109:8
105:75,8,11 94:7,9   105:6   7:19 38:16   102:25   anyone's accomplishment s   73:14   affirmed   30:24 46:24   apologize   47:12 112:21   accountable   95:23 96:9   afforded   alluded   apologized   80:17   adjectives   28:16 29:14   24:25   31:1   accurate   48:11,18 49:7   37:20   alphabetical   Apparently   85:1   adjourned   afternoon   115:9,13   41:14 101:25   accuse   119:5   93:6,7   alter   appeal   appeal   33:9   23:15 1:18   accused   114:9   8:12 54:18   amazing   appeals   33:24   adjust   93:15   78:19,20 111:12   21:7 61:20 8   acknowledged   18:11   agencies   ambiguities   93:20 99:9,18   action   62:10   agree   amend   102:8 103:5, 14:3,14 15:14   admit   18:13 46:19   33:9   amendment   appearance   32:9 97:18   active   112:12   99:13 105:22   91:15   97:6,11,25   active   112:12   advantage   agreeing   America   32:8 33:6 42			54:5	allowed	anymore
accomplishment s         addresses         92:12         allowing         67:17           94:24         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologize           80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         24:25         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           ak:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:27,8           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:27,8           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amend         102:8 103:5,           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:	9:15,18,22,24		affidavits	15:21 35:16	74:13
s         73:14         affirmed         30:24 46:24         apologize           94:24         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologize           80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         24:25         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           ak:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           active         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment	55:8,11 94:7,9		7:19 38:16	102:25	anyone's
s         73:14         affirmed         30:24 46:24         apologize           94:24         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologized           80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         24:25         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25:100:2,7,8           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25:100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22 </td <td>accomplishment</td> <td></td> <td>92:12</td> <td>allowing</td> <td>67:17</td>	accomplishment		92:12	allowing	67:17
94:24         addressing         15:12 18:1         55:18,19         47:12 112:21           accountable         95:23 96:9         afforded         alluded         apologized           80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         31:1         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           48:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22	s	73:14	affirmed		apologize
80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         24:25         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22	94:24	addressing	15:12 18:1	55:18,19	47:12 112:21
80:17         adjectives         28:16 29:14         24:25         31:1           accurate         48:11,18 49:7         37:20         alphabetical         Apparently           85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22	accountable	95:23 96:9	afforded	alluded	apologized
85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22         91:15         97:6,11,25           83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           activist         75:14         32:9 97:18         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         agreeing<	80:17	adjectives	28:16 29:14	24:25	
85:1         adjourned         afternoon         115:9,13         41:14 101:25           accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22         91:15         97:6,11,25           83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         agreeing	accurate	48:11,18 49:7	37:20	alphabetical	Apparently
accuse         119:5         93:6,7         alter         appeal           48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22         91:15         97:6,11,25           83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         agreeing         America         32:8 33:6 42	85:1	adjourned	afternoon	_	41:14 101:25
48:7         adjournment         age         33:9         23:1 51:18           accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22         91:15         97:6,11,25           83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           activist         75:14         32:9 97:18         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         agreeing         America         40:28 33:6 42	accuse	119:5	93:6,7	alter	appeal
accused         114:9         8:12 54:18         amazing         appeals           53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22         91:15         97:6,11,25           83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         111:21 113:1           activist         75:14         32:9 97:18         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         49:17         America         32:8 33:6 42	48:7	adjournment	age		
53:24         adjust         93:15         78:19,20 111:12         21:7 61:20 8           acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22         91:15         97:6,11,25           83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         111:21 113:1           activist         75:14         32:9 97:18         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         49:17         America         32:8 33:6 42	accused	114:9	8:12 54:18	amazing	
acknowledged         18:11         agencies         ambiguities         93:20 99:9,1           84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22         91:15         97:6,11,25           83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         111:21 113:1           activist         75:14         32:9 97:18         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         agreeing         America         32:8 33:6 42	53:24	adjust	93:15		21:7 61:20 82:5
84:14         administrative         82:7         95:11,12         25 100:2,7,8           action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22         91:15         97:6,11,25           83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         111:21 113:1           activist         75:14         32:9 97:18         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         agreeing         America         32:8 33:6 42	acknowledged	18:11	agencies	· ·	93:20 99:9,14,
action         62:10         agree         amend         102:8 103:5,           14:3,14 15:14         admit         18:13 46:19         33:9         104:20 109:6           actions         104:5         47:1 48:15         amendment         appearance           58:14,15 90:21         adored         49:18 79:7         7:1,7 27:8,22         25:25 89:19           active         112:12         99:13 105:22         91:15         97:6,11,25           83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         111:21 113:1           activist         75:14         32:9 97:18         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         agreeing         America         32:8 33:6 42		administrative			25 100:2,7,8,11
14:3,14 15:14       admit       18:13 46:19       33:9       104:20 109:6         actions       104:5       47:1 48:15       amendment       appearance         58:14,15 90:21       adored       49:18 79:7       7:1,7 27:8,22       25:25 89:19         active       112:12       99:13 105:22       91:15       97:6,11,25         83:7       adult       agreed       amendments       111:21 113:1         activist       75:14       32:9 97:18       6:11,12 27:11       appeared         10:23       advantage       agreeing       America       32:8 33:6 42	action	62:10	agree	· ·	102:8 103:5,11
actions       104:5       47:1 48:15       amendment       appearance         58:14,15 90:21       adored       49:18 79:7       7:1,7 27:8,22       25:25 89:19         active       112:12       99:13 105:22       91:15       97:6,11,25         83:7       adult       agreed       amendments       111:21 113:1         activist       75:14       32:9 97:18       6:11,12 27:11       appeared         10:23       advantage       agreeing       America       32:8 33:6 42		admit			104:20 109:6
58:14,15 90:21       adored       49:18 79:7       7:1,7 27:8,22       25:25 89:19         active       112:12       99:13 105:22       91:15       97:6,11,25         83:7       adult       agreed       amendments       111:21 113:1         activist       75:14       32:9 97:18       6:11,12 27:11       appeared         10:23       advantage       agreeing       America       32:8 33:6 42	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
active       112:12       99:13 105:22       91:15       97:6,11,25         83:7       adult       agreed       amendments       111:21 113:1         activist       75:14       32:9 97:18       6:11,12 27:11       appeared         10:23       advantage       agreeing       America       32:8 33:6 42					
83:7         adult         agreed         amendments         111:21 113:1           activist         75:14         32:9 97:18         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         agreeing         America         32:8 33:6 42	·			· · ·	
activist         75:14         32:9 97:18         6:11,12 27:11         appeared           10:23         advantage         agreeing         America         32:8 33:6 42			agreed		111:21 113:14
10:23 advantage agreeing America 32:8 33:6 42					
America			agreeing	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	32:8 33:6 42:18
activity 73:23 48:17 15:16 49:25		73:23	48:17		49:25
33:16 adversary air appears	l *		air	13.10	appears
		J			

7.17.10.05.14.2		-44 1:	101.16	D-21
7:17 12:25 14:3, 11 28:11 34:20	argument 40:12 55:23	<b>attending</b> 26:16 80:6	101:16	Bailey 88:8
92:10	56:23 85:16		awe	
		attention	80:4	balance
appellate	arguments	14:21 39:18	awkward	107:2
13:4,12,14 21:1	15:22 30:15	44:15	50:9	balances
53:14 59:6 61:1,	60:7,10 76:17	attested	aye	58:20
3,6,9 63:16,19	arises	92:5	5:10,11 90:14,	ballot
86:21 87:24	26:6 90:1	attitude	15 115:5,6	7:13 12:15,17
93:21 108:23	articles	53:2	ayes	28:8 31:14
appellates	7:16 28:11 92:9	attorney	5:12	60:15,17 68:10
87:11	articulate	33:8,12 39:16		72:21 92:7
apples	59:19	40:7 61:8 62:25	В	98:21 106:10
69:7	articulating	63:9 105:14		111:18 114:22
application	59:15	108:25 109:1	baby	115:19,22
7:14 28:9 92:7	ascent	attorneys	68:17	117:20
applied	113:24	39:16 41:4	back	ballots
81:20	Asian	55:16 60:5,8	20:23 22:11	115:20
apply	111:10	61:17 62:20	24:16 25:2 26:5	bam
59:10	aspect	64:7,18,20,21	39:10 54:7	75:3
appreciated	75:5 78:5	66:17,18 67:19	69:23 71:6 76:6,	Bank
50:11	aspired	84:10,21 85:3	11,13 80:25	15:16
appreciation	108:16	99:6 100:5	89:25 90:19	Bar
77:22	assembling	104:6	96:9 103:7	94:3 96:1,7
approaches	42:14	attorneys'	106:5 109:18	98:14 102:10
63:9	assembly	63:13	111:8 113:15,21	108:21 118:24
appropriately	11:15 16:20	audiovisual	114:8,18	BAR's
68:18	17:3 35:1 44:6	37:22	backdoor	67:12
approximately	58:14,16 65:12,	August	25:7 33:14	barely
70:19	20 72:14 101:7,	81:22	background	32:17 38:1
area	14 106:19	authenticity	22:3 94:2	based
10:2,6 56:1,4	asset	49:14	100:23	8:7 33:17 37:16
60:25 61:10	10:4,8 56:3	automate	backgrounds	54:14 56:11
81:2 83:3	assign	34:16,18	94:1	93:11
103:22 108:4	82:22	automatically	backlog	basically
areas	Association	51:21 75:3,8	72:13,14,18,20	20:23 21:4 34:5
56:5 78:18 88:6	15:16	avoid	81:8,11,12 82:1	50:6,17 75:1,7,
103:13		41:7	backlogged	11 76:17,24
arena	assuming 53:3	avoided	83:4	bathwater
9:16 10:16 55:9		63:7	backlogs	68:17
94:8	attempting 17:4 65:21	aware	96:12	Beatty
arguing		17:3 26:6 35:22	bad	107:18
21:7	96:10 101:16	42:1 65:20	69:7 75:20 89:5	
41.7		72.1 03.20		
	I	1	1	1

beautiful	109:13	blocked	9:21	
80:14	bidding	75:2	breath	C
begin	109:25	board	53:5	
96:18	big	45:24	bridge	calendar's
beginning	11:8 21:11	bodies	31:7 109:15,16,	74:25
40:4	24:14 59:23	82:5 112:24	20,22,23,25	call
behalf	69:15 78:18	body	briefed	5:2 24:23 71:24
17:3,5 65:20,22	biggest	44:5 49:8	35:23 37:11	88:14 110:8
101:14,17	9:7 95:22	111:12	briefs	113:21 114:22
110:21	bills	boil	21:7	115:11
behaved	45:10	84:5		called
43:19	<b>bit</b>		bring	14:20 26:5
behoove	20:16,17 21:17	<b>bond</b> 70:1	10:2,7,9,17 56:2 93:24 94:1	42:17 49:4
75:10	23:1 51:17 61:9,			78:21 89:25
belief	22.74:11.84:24	<b>bonds</b> 70:9	<b>bringing</b> 39:18 44:15	112:25
31:19 47:25	102:13 104:14		45:1 56:14	calling
	black	book	77:14 113:15	48:21 49:21
believed	53:16	67:15		calm
107:10		books	broken	45:11
believes	Blackley 23:6,7,9,23,25	87:25	48:2,5 83:8	Cambodian
59:14	24:2,5,11,14	bore	brought 72.12.74.5.82.1	111:5
bench	25:1 44:22,23,	109:5	72:13 74:5 83:1 92:16	cancel
7:11 10:3,7,10,	25.144.22,23, 25.112:1,2,6,11	bother		32:17
13 20:20 21:22	Blackley's	49:5	buck	candidacy
28:6 50:16 55:15 56:2 87:8	24:20 116:16,	bothers	15:19 18:22 21:25 107:25	16:16 65:8
92:4 93:18 96:2	19,21 117:18,24	73:23		candidate
98:14 99:10	Blake	bottom	building	17:9,10 31:1
	102:13 116:1,10	51:19	41:20 44:3	35:11 66:1,2
bend	117:2,23 118:8	boundary	buildup	81:3 90:22
10:25	blame	106:22	70:7	100:21 113:12
Benedict 88:23	18:22 81:13	bounds	burgeoning	115:15,19 116:9
	blanket	58:25	81:8	candidate's
<b>benefit</b>	40:23 41:8	box	business	8:8,10 54:15,16
34:21 103:7,10,	49:19 67:1 72:3,	7:13 12:15,17	31:22 32:10	candidates
16	49.19 07.1 72.3,	28:8 60:15,17	34:10,12 46:16	25:23 30:12
<b>Benjamin</b> 61:20 82:4	blessed	68:10 71:19	72:4,9 106:17	32:4 79:19 81:1
	87:14,15	72:21 92:7	busy	89:17 93:12
Berkeley	blessing	98:21 106:10	62:11 81:2	114:22,23
81:4	87:4	111:18	button	115:4,12,22,25
bias	blind	Bradley	24:9	116:22 118:6
107:2	38:12	39:17,22 40:5		candidly
bid	30.12	brand		50:5 99:17
	1	I	ı	1

can't	75:23 84:16	71:1 73:1 77:19	Cherokee	citing
98:17 110:1	85:13 86:4	90:8,19 93:10	14:8	60:5
112:3	94:13,18,22	101:19,25	Chet	citizen
capable	cases	107:24 112:19	110:9,10,18	34:8 45:20
98:25	10:14,15 15:23	115:11	111:7	57:10
capacity	18:16 23:17	challenges	chief	citizens
29:1 94:3,11	34:16,20 43:13	95:24	62:10 83:3 98:2	15:25 31:10
caption	46:7 55:21	chamber	100:3 102:19	42:2,7 43:21
14:9	56:11 63:22	24:8	107:18	64:23 118:23
cardiologist	68:14 74:6 81:8,	chambers	children	Citizen's
32:12	24 82:8,14,17	74:12	9:22	100:13
care	85:19 102:15,16	chance	choice	civil
72:14 82:17	105:17 106:25	21:13 22:22	60:22	10:9,16 14:3,13
career	Caskey	change	chomping	20:7 21:16 22:5
18:7 51:7 54:24	112:18,19	21:11 31:7 49:3	84:24	69:18 81:5,11
79:12 86:13	114:13,14	51:1 55:2 95:21	choose	clarification
careers	cast	99:15	79:20	67:23
11:25	53:6 90:21	changed	chose	clarify
caring	114:20	106:6	72:16	66:23
23:13	catch	changing	chosen	class
Carolina	18:25	95:19	33:19 88:20	109:15,16,21
12:23 13:2	cautioned	character	Cindy	classmates
26:10 63:25	6:5 26:23 29:22	12:20,22 16:3	21:14,20	111:19
20.10 03.23				
90.6 99.2 3	91:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	
90:6 99:2,3	91:11 <b>center</b>	65:3 100:19	circle	clear
Carolina-		65:3 100:19 <b>charge</b>	<b>circle</b> 111:20	<b>clear</b> 19:10 31:15
Carolina- 74:6	center	65:3 100:19 <b>charge</b> 18:20,24 19:5,9,	circle 111:20 circuit	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15
Carolina- 74:6 carried	center 14:23	65:3 100:19 <b>charge</b> 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24	center 14:23 centered	65:3 100:19 <b>charge</b> 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 <b>charged</b>	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9	65:3 100:19 <b>charge</b> 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 <b>charged</b> 18:19 33:23	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central	65:3 100:19 <b>charge</b> 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 <b>charged</b> 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25	65:3 100:19 <b>charge</b> 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 <b>charged</b> 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 <b>charges</b>	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20,	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari	65:3 100:19 charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9	65:3 100:19 charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20,	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve 76:5	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9 cetera	65:3 100:19 charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston 78:17 81:4	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15 74:15 82:2,4,15	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11 44:25 61:14
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve 76:5 case	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9 cetera 82:10,11	65:3 100:19 charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston 78:17 81:4 82:23 107:6	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15 74:15 82:2,4,15 83:8,18,22 86:8	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11 44:25 61:14 81:16 85:4
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve 76:5 case 14:2,4,17 15:2,	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9 cetera 82:10,11 chairing	65:3 100:19 charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston 78:17 81:4	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15 74:15 82:2,4,15 83:8,18,22 86:8 87:7,13 93:19	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11 44:25 61:14 81:16 85:4 88:10 104:18
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve 76:5 case 14:2,4,17 15:2, 11,18 18:17,19	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9 cetera 82:10,11 chairing 102:14	65:3 100:19 charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston 78:17 81:4 82:23 107:6 Chea 110:18	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15 74:15 82:2,4,15 83:8,18,22 86:8 87:7,13 93:19 100:9 103:3,20	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11 44:25 61:14 81:16 85:4 88:10 104:18 Clerk's 41:10
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve 76:5 case 14:2,4,17 15:2, 11,18 18:17,19 20:1,3,11 33:18	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9 cetera 82:10,11 chairing 102:14 Chairman	65:3 100:19 charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston 78:17 81:4 82:23 107:6 Chea 110:18 check	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15 74:15 82:2,4,15 83:8,18,22 86:8 87:7,13 93:19 100:9 103:3,20 104:4,8 107:7	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11 44:25 61:14 81:16 85:4 88:10 104:18 Clerk's
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve 76:5 case 14:2,4,17 15:2, 11,18 18:17,19 20:1,3,11 33:18 41:1,4 48:7	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9 cetera 82:10,11 chairing 102:14 Chairman 5:20 7:25 8:4	charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston 78:17 81:4 82:23 107:6 Chea 110:18 check 7:18 18:1 28:12	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15 74:15 82:2,4,15 83:8,18,22 86:8 87:7,13 93:19 100:9 103:3,20 104:4,8 107:7 109:4 112:8	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11 44:25 61:14 81:16 85:4 88:10 104:18 Clerk's 41:10 clerked 82:23
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve 76:5 case 14:2,4,17 15:2, 11,18 18:17,19 20:1,3,11 33:18 41:1,4 48:7 55:18 59:20,21	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9 cetera 82:10,11 chairing 102:14 Chairman 5:20 7:25 8:4 17:11 26:11	charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston 78:17 81:4 82:23 107:6 Chea 110:18 check 7:18 18:1 28:12 49:8	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15 74:15 82:2,4,15 83:8,18,22 86:8 87:7,13 93:19 100:9 103:3,20 104:4,8 107:7 109:4 112:8 Circuit's	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11 44:25 61:14 81:16 85:4 88:10 104:18 Clerk's 41:10 clerked
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve 76:5 case 14:2,4,17 15:2, 11,18 18:17,19 20:1,3,11 33:18 41:1,4 48:7 55:18 59:20,21 60:11 64:6,17	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9 cetera 82:10,11 chairing 102:14 Chairman 5:20 7:25 8:4 17:11 26:11 30:3 34:24	charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston 78:17 81:4 82:23 107:6 Chea 110:18 check 7:18 18:1 28:12 49:8 checks	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15 74:15 82:2,4,15 83:8,18,22 86:8 87:7,13 93:19 100:9 103:3,20 104:4,8 107:7 109:4 112:8 Circuit's 69:15	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11 44:25 61:14 81:16 85:4 88:10 104:18 Clerk's 41:10 clerked 82:23 clerks 19:15 100:5
Carolina- 74:6 carried 13:24 carry 13:20 85:21 carrying 84:1 carve 76:5 case 14:2,4,17 15:2, 11,18 18:17,19 20:1,3,11 33:18 41:1,4 48:7 55:18 59:20,21	center 14:23 centered 61:10 99:9 central 74:22,25 certiorari 59:9 cetera 82:10,11 chairing 102:14 Chairman 5:20 7:25 8:4 17:11 26:11 30:3 34:24 42:12 49:22,24	charge 18:20,24 19:5,9, 20,21 20:9 45:1 charged 18:19 33:23 35:13 53:7 charges 33:24 41:22,24 Charleston 78:17 81:4 82:23 107:6 Chea 110:18 check 7:18 18:1 28:12 49:8	circle 111:20 circuit 9:24 10:1,13 13:10,14 14:12 17:24 21:13 28:23 33:1 34:4 37:16 61:3,8,20, 23 68:20 69:15 74:15 82:2,4,15 83:8,18,22 86:8 87:7,13 93:19 100:9 103:3,20 104:4,8 107:7 109:4 112:8 Circuit's 69:15 circuits	clear 19:10 31:15 51:23 53:15 72:15 Clemson 74:7 clerk 8:20 19:19,21 20:3 23:11 44:25 61:14 81:16 85:4 88:10 104:18 Clerk's 41:10 clerked 82:23 clerks

click	comment	31:4	47:21,23 50:14,	conduct
86:16	16:9 23:10	common	16 54:5 58:6	31:9 79:14
client	24:20 39:14,16,	10:15,17 41:13	85:10	conference
40:9 47:21 76:9	19,22 40:10,14,	83:9 84:17	complaints	18:21
close	20 47:13 53:25	communicated	57:22 58:3	conferences
13:8 43:6	57:21 63:4	111:17	80:22,23	40:24
closed	79:21 112:2	communicates	compliance	confidence
113:19	commented	96:25	7:15 28:10 92:8	57:5,7,9,14
cloud	88:8	communicating	compliment	58:1,9 63:12,13
53:17	comments	97:2	106:4	96:15
coaster	12:16,18 13:3,	communication	compliments	confines
57:6	16 60:16,18,24	33:14 63:6,7	55:17	79:6
Coble	68:11,12 69:11	66:15 96:18	computer	conflict
62:1,8	72:8 73:5,9	communications	40:8	31:20,23,24
coffee	78:10 89:4	32:6 33:7 36:17	concern	34:11,13
24:8,9 25:6	98:23,24 99:8	40:1	25:13 60:25	conflicts
Cole	105:3 106:9,12	comp	61:10,13 62:16	7:18 28:13
13:22 14:3	112:20	21:16 74:17	67:5 70:21	92:11
collaborative	commission	compare	concerned	confusing
104:1,4,22	5:4 6:10 7:10	21:12	63:23 68:9 75:4	97:23,25 98:13
colleague's	12:15 16:16	compared	98:9	conscientious
77:23	17:15 25:22	83:24	concerns	12:25
	27:2 28:5,21	comparing	13:3,6,17 17:8	consent
<b>colleagues</b> 98:19 100:4	30:2,11,14,17	87:9	23:15 60:25	8:10 54:16 67:4
	31:9 35:12 54:7		62:13,21 65:25	71:7,20,21
collective	60:15 65:7 66:5	compel 86:18	99:8,11	93:13
102:23	82:9 89:17 92:3,		conclude	consented
college	14,22 98:20	competent 53:10	25:19 114:8	66:17
88:23 104:19	101:3,22		concluded	consents
color	107:10,13	competing	119:7	67:21
110:16	115:14 116:24	104:11	concludes	conservative
Columbia	Commission's	complacent	89:14	10:22
107:5	25:22 89:16	55:1	conclusion	consideration
comfort	commit	complain	39:20 40:10	34:25 75:16
42:7 85:22	70:2	38:10 49:6		
comfortable	committee	complainant	<b>concurrence</b> 97:18 98:2,9	88:15 115:21
46:3,9	7:25 15:25 16:8	29:13	Í	considerations
commencement	31:8 64:24	complained	concurrences	106:19
80:5	100:14,20,22	38:19 47:22	59:24 97:23	considered
commend	102:14,17 103:1	49:11	concurring	44:14 115:17
89:5 106:14	118:23	complaint	12:4,6,10,12	considers
111:15	committee's	30:3 34:25	59:4,23 60:1,3	59:8
			97:16	
				1

		<u> </u>		
constitutional	95:25 96:3	correcting	21 21:14,15,16	courts
8:11 14:15 16:6	100:2,11 119:3	22:12	23:1 25:15	45:4 59:6 61:4,5
54:17 64:25	continued	correction	26:18 28:23	63:17,19 103:14
93:14 100:15	26:9 79:14	84:17	30:14 32:3,25	108:14
constitutionality	Contrary	Corrections	33:2,15,18 36:7	cover
63:24 64:3	112:22	82:11	37:15,17 38:5,7	71:17
constrained	contributed	correctly	44:25 45:20	CRATER
81:7	28:22	111:6	46:1 52:22	117:8,13,22,25
constraints	contributes	corrupt	54:23 56:2,3,7,8	118:3,5
103:18	58:9 81:25	36:17 38:4 48:6,	58:20,21 59:7,8,	Crawford
constructive	83:12 87:21	14,17,21 49:4,	10,12 60:4,10,	40:3 92:21 93:3,
77:5	control	21	13,14,21,22	5,10 101:19
contact	37:22 38:3 63:9	corruption	61:1,3,7,8,20	115:9,11,25
17:2 65:19	70:1 81:10,18	36:18 47:19,25	62:25 64:1,11,	116:9,12,14,17,
101:13 109:11	convention	48:1 51:10	16 67:12,17,18	20,22 117:9,16,
contacted	15:20	Cothran	69:18,24 74:15	19,23 118:1,4,6,
16:15 65:7	conversation	42:19 43:19	79:16 81:6 82:4,	14
101:3	36:1,3 66:21	counsel	21 83:7,8,18,22	create
contacting	conversational	5:4 7:23 35:22	86:8 87:7,8,14,	48:10 50:22
16:19 65:11	63:3	37:8 39:12	17 88:5 89:1	106:20
101:6	conversations	counties	91:7 93:17,19,	created
contained	77:8	43:22 44:18	24,25 94:2,4,14,	31:5 38:16
8:8 12:17 36:1		45:16 82:7	19 95:5,24	creative
54:14 60:17	Cooper		96:10,25 98:1,	102:15
93:11	82:24	county	18 99:4,5,9,14,	crime
	coordinating	14:8,23 43:21, 23 44:2,20 45:2,	19,24 100:2,6,8,	70:3
context	88:21	8 62:4 69:25	10,11 102:5,8	criminal
47:15 50:11 63:18	coordination		103:2,3,5,6,11,	10:9,14 20:7
	32:14 33:3	72:15,19 78:13,	12,19,21 104:4,	21:16 22:5
continuance	copy	17 81:2,4,7	5,8,20 106:21	41:24 44:12
31:21 32:12,20	86:11	82:6,16,20 84:18 94:21	107:7,8 108:10,	71:22 81:5,11
34:9 37:21,24	cordial	107:9,12,22	11,12,23,24	criteria
40:18 41:6,8	46:5	, ,	109:3,4,6 112:8	7:12 16:2,6
66:16 67:3,4,5,	core	couple	113:2,24 115:13	25:22 28:7
22 71:12 72:2	88:19	11:11 16:12	courthouse	30:13,22 35:12
81:24,25	correct	21:16	23:21 24:4,12	52:13 64:25
continuances	6:10 26:6 27:4,7	court	43:24 46:10	65:2 89:2,7,17
46:20,24 47:3,	50:23 67:2	5:24 8:17,22	courtroom	92:6 100:15,17
10 49:20 66:22	107:24 109:24	9:24 10:1,3,4,7,	13:1 20:23 42:8	113:12
85:11	113:16,21	8,13,16 11:10	43:25 44:3,11	
continue	corrected	12:13,14,21,24	56:9,12 85:12	critical
28:25 45:3,16	72:23	13:2,7,10,13,14,	courtrooms	70:12
46:2 83:16		15,19,24 15:4,	44:7	
L				

			l <b></b>	
criticism	23:16 32:23	defend	Department	diligent
73:5 77:2	37:24 38:6	48:19	82:11	18:3
criticized	47:11 51:12	defendant	depending	diligently
62:18,23	53:20 55:13	14:13	45:7 108:9	72:22
criticizing	71:15 74:18	defendant's	deposition	diminish
76:24	75:4,22 78:23	15:13	67:8	36:9 102:1
cross	81:6 84:18	defendants	deputies	dinners
109:24	days	81:6	14:25	88:21
crossword	32:1,19 74:23	defended	Derham	dire
88:1	75:2 78:14,19,	38:20,23	13:21	33:21,23 44:1,
crying	24 84:18,19	defender	describe	11
48:5	Deadra	39:3	10:19 48:12	direction
curious	116:2,14 117:16	defer	49:17 56:16	102:19,21
56:19,24 66:17,	118:1	58:13,15	94:25 95:16	directions
22	deal	deficiencies	deserve	60:14
curtain	22:4 53:2 82:14	58:22	53:18	disagree
51:23	86:15 102:9	defined	deserves	46:19 47:1
cut	dealing	51:2 52:13	38:5	48:16 49:20
8:24	70:8 102:14	delay	deserving	disagreed
cutting	dealt	62:6 84:9 86:12,	26:1 89:21	12:7 98:10
20:10	47:22 95:4	17 87:21	desire	disciplinary
	death	deliberating	113:1	57:24 58:6
	10:14 63:24	88:3	detention	discord
	64:10	deliberations	14:23	102:10
dad	decades	26:2 89:22	determination	discovery
80:10,15	110:19	delighted	75:8	64:4,8,17,18
danger	December	68:8	detriment	83:14 86:1
12:11 60:4	61:15	delve	34:22	discuss
97:21 98:12	decide	75:6 80:25	devote	35:10,11
dangers	74:10 104:24	demanding	75:24	discussed
12:9 60:2	decided	47:9	didn't	87:22
data	99:22	demeanor	100:7 108:1	discussing
6:8 26:25	decision	23:15 33:21,25	109:17	99:21
date	53:8 56:25 97:1,	55:14 62:17,18	difficult	discussion
16:24 41:2	4 108:13	demeanors	67:17	66:13
65:16 71:14	decisions	43:4	difficulty	dismiss
101:11 118:12	34:7 51:8 56:10	Democratic	61:15	15:4,14 83:14
daunting	58:21 59:5,23	82:9	dig	86:19
111:9	61:7 85:2 88:17	denying	49:12	dismissed
day	103:15 114:21	86:18	diligence	14:17 15:7 58:6,
13:21 20:24	deduct	00.10	11:25	7 92:14
21:2,8,9 22:5	17:25		11.23	
L				

Disneyland	doesn't	12:19 33:19,20	eight-hundred	35:25 43:10
32:16 67:7	109:23 110:15	41:12	106:9	enhance
dispersions	don't		elaborate	78:7
53:7	95:11,19 99:20,	E	97:21	enjoy
disposed	25 102:4,7		elect	8:18 21:22 22:2,
86:1	104:1 106:13	E-	98:3 100:8	22 23:3 24:17
disposition	107:15 108:25	86:14	119:4	100:2
23:14	109:2,14 112:2,	earlier	elected	enjoyed
dispositive	20	24:21 54:25	13:22 83:19	9:25 13:9 22:25
15:10	door	67:2 80:15	96:11 100:10	23:1 93:18,20,
disrespectful	74:8	105:2	108:21	22,23 100:1
98:16	doubt	early	electing	104:15,16
dissecting	102:4	25:6 34:5	82:1	enjoys
88:3	downplayed	ease	election	22:1
dissent	34:1	45:24	7:20 28:15 82:9	ensure
59:13,22 98:9,	downplaying	easily	92:13 118:11	42:6
12,16,17	33:22	73:11	elements	entered
dissenting	draft	easy	56:11	91:14
12:4,6,10,12	104:5	56:21	eleven	enters
59:4 60:3 97:16	drafted	echo	10:12	44:3
distant	104:8	51:5 100:9	email	entertain
84:15	drafting	economic	63:10 74:23	114:11
distaste	103:20 104:25	7:18 28:13	emotional	entertains
66:25	105:1	92:11	80:11	31:20
distinguishes	dragged	editorial	empathize	entire
87:7	85:12	79:21	51:25 52:9	107:14
district	drill	Edward	emphasize	entirety
15:4 19:4 83:2	113:11	39:17	76:20	86:13
divide	dry	effect	emulate	entities
31:10	105:12	33:11	86:11	82:12
divided	dryness	effectively	enable	entitled
44:7	109:9	87:2 106:21	84:12	14:2
divorce	due	efficiency	encourage	epitaph
32:25	52:5 61:17	96:8,9	33:5 45:2,16	111:22
docket	111:21	efficient	46:2 69:4,9	epitomizes
19:24,25	duly	99:7	end	12:21
,	6:4 26:22 29:21	effort	32:8 33:3 40:5	equation
dockets 22:6	91:10	102:9,23 104:1,	47:14,17 48:9	52:4
	duties	4,22	61:25 62:21	eradicated
documents	78:11 98:4,8	ego	101:1 115:17	73:11
6:18 31:17 33:5,	duty	97:8	ended	
6	auty			Erin

92:25	79:25 93:4	50:21 98:17	110:24,25	family
errors	101:23 106:2	113:12		21:15 32:25
50:23	examine	expects	<b>F</b>	33:2,18 36:7
ethic	49:10	25:23 89:17		88:19 93:19
12:24	exceedingly	expedite	face	94:14 103:2,6,
ethical	89:7	81:10	42:5	18 104:5 107:7
16:2 38:8 65:2	excel	experience	facing	108:12 109:4
96:17 100:18	87:24	10:10,18 12:19	41:23 103:4	fan
ethics	excellent	13:4 16:4 18:15	fact	68:8
7:15 25:24	13:1 60:21,22	19:2 50:9 56:13	25:16 32:13,24	fantastic
28:10 89:19	99:4	61:1 65:4 96:20	33:10 40:7 49:3	93:25 100:5
92:9 113:13	exception	100:19 103:2	60:11 62:17	105:23
evaluate	50:12	108:15	73:18 104:18	fashion
37:5	exceptional	experienced	105:3	43:20 84:11,13,
evaluating	112:9	11:24 23:16	facts	21 86:24
35:13 102:16		43:9 60:18	59:10,17,18	father
evaluative	excuse 110:11,13	experiences	fail	55:4 61:22
7:12 16:2,6	· ·	56:20,21	52:11	80:12 87:3,5,9,
25:22 28:7	execution	experiencing	fair	13,16
30:13,22 35:12	63:25 64:3,11	45:22	12:25 18:5,6	Faulk
64:25 89:2,7,16	executions	experts	57:12 69:19	8:3,4,7,15 15:24
92:6 100:15,17	64:13	67:9	97:9	17:7
113:11	executive	explain	fairly	favor
event	5:6,7,13,14,15,	9:16 50:25	23:19 55:21	5:10 90:14
32:23 44:20	16 90:8,10,17,	55:10 94:8	fairness	115:3 116:5
113:21	18,20 114:4,5,		63:14 75:11	fears
	12,16,17,20	explored 83:25	faithful	45:11,25
events	exhibit		32:5	feel
57:8	6:12,21,24 7:2,5	exponentially	fall	31:10 36:5
eventually	27:9,16,20,24	82:13	15:15	37:18 45:18
76:2	30:7 91:19,22	express		50:6 51:24 53:4
everyone's	exist	13:3	<b>falling</b> 78:16	55:15,23 56:22
11:22 67:10	31:23	expressed		57:11 58:8 94:9
evidence	existed	99:8	familiar	95:5
36:16 47:19	52:24	extent	16:18,21 42:25 43:2 65:10	feeling
48:1,9	existing	52:10 58:12		44:19 46:9
evidently	59:11,17,21	74:16 81:18	84:15 92:1 101:5	feels
39:24	exists	103:21		46:3 53:3 62:7
EXAMINATIO	31:24	extract	familiarity	felt
N	expanding	60:13	103:13	33:2 51:18
8:14 17:17	11:10	extremely	families	88:24 97:20
54:20 66:8 68:4	expect	12:24 60:18	42:3 72:4	98:10
71:4 73:2 77:20		78:8 79:13		70.10

fewer	100:7	fooled	39:17 51:11,17	gave
89:5	fitness	63:1	55:13 62:5 64:4,	13:22 35:17
fighting	16:2 65:2	force	19 67:11,14	39:24 40:15
34:3	100:18	77:13	87:20,25 93:21	gavel
figure	five-hundred	forcing	Friday	13:20
77:10	106:8	81:23	80:3	gears
figured	fix	foremost	friend	20:16 50:12
24:2	70:8	95:3	80:18 110:25	general
figuring	fixable	forget	friendly	10:17,19 11:14
79:6	69:22	56:9 74:3 109:1,	42:5	16:19 17:3 22:4
file	flat	2	friends	35:1 40:20
31:25 34:9 41:6	18:18 20:15	forgotten	20:22 111:20	41:14,19 56:16,
filed	fled	84:25	front	18 58:14,16
7:19 14:1,3,15,	111:6	form	8:23 15:20	62:10,25 65:11,
22,25 28:14	flexibility	85:25 86:14	20:19 49:18,25	20 72:14 87:10
72:5 92:12	74:19	formal	75:1 80:3	94:14,25 101:6,
filing		26:4 89:23	118:15	14 106:18
86:15	Flynn 21:18	113:19	full	generally
fill		formidable	76:25 98:24	22:10 62:22
72:17 87:3	<b>Focus</b> 36:25	99:3	106:18	63:3 96:24 97:3
final		forums	fun	geographical
117:7	<b>focused</b> 7:12 28:7 74:2	52:8	21:10	106:22
finally		forward	function	Geter
16:12 110:8	focusing 30:22	29:17 43:12	17:24 61:6	14:18
find		67:21	funny	gift
21:10 67:15	folk's	foster	48:4	87:6
74:14 75:4	42:18	11:18,19 96:15	future	gifts
114:25	folks	fosters	11:4 18:14 57:1	88:21
finding	31:12 50:21	12:1 97:5	95:16	give
105:10 115:4	85:11 86:7 89:4 96:20,24 97:3	found		19:14 35:9
fine	99:21 100:6	16:5 69:14	G	39:10 43:17
71:10	106:6 107:11	96:24 118:7		50:10 60:6
finest	109:8 110:5	foundation	gain	62:17,19 63:2
99:1	114:15 118:20	58:25	57:4	64:5 73:6 79:17
finish	follow	four-hundreds	gals	84:16 85:11
37:11 114:6	10:24 12:9	106:8	108:1	97:2,11,25
fireplace	25:23 60:2	Fourth	game	98:13 110:15
13:23	89:18	61:20 82:4	74:7	giving
firm	food	frame	gathering	24:16 46:23
33:1 47:8	43:16 44:16	41:11	102:8	81:24 83:6
55.1 47.8 <b>fit</b>	60:6	frankly	gauge	glad
11t		Talikiy	78:5	17:19 102:25

	1	1	1	1
113:2	grant	59:14 77:22	happening	20 40:4,6,8,11,
glowing	31:21 40:22	81:17 85:2	58:5	14 47:14,17
100:22 106:12	67:4	86:21 88:17	happiest	48:10,24 58:6
goal	granted	102:6 103:3	100:9,11	69:13 74:18
43:15 55:20	32:12,21 34:5	108:8	happy	80:6
56:23 57:10,17	37:24 103:23	guessing	37:13 42:8 51:3	hearings
godson	granting	12:14	64:19,20 77:23	31:21 34:21
111:14	15:13 46:20	guest	79:14 97:4	36:21 119:6
good	47:3 49:19 59:8	29:3	hard	heartburn
5:2,18,19,22 6:7	86:18,20	guidance	10:10 74:1	64:5
8:3,4,6 11:7	grasp	59:15 102:18	harder	heavy
12:1,2 15:24	79:10	105:16	69:21 76:21	26:1 89:21
17:20 23:7,8	great	gut	hate	heck
26:13,14 29:2,6,	79:17 86:15	51:14	52:25	22:7
25 30:1 33:11	98:1,6 103:16,	guy	headquartered	held
39:21 40:11,12	21	74:4	82:12	5:14 15:14
44:23,24 47:18	greatest	guys	heads	90:18 107:7
54:11 55:11	9:15,18,22,23	19:10 108:1	60:9	114:17
58:19 61:22	55:8,11 94:7,9,		health	helpful
62:9 66:10,11	24	H	16:7 65:1	73:7 105:14
68:6,7 73:24	green		hear	Helping
79:18 87:15	8:23	hand	29:12 30:15	84:11
89:5 93:3,6 98:8	Greenville	6:1 26:20 29:19	35:8 36:25	helps
104:8 110:25	18:17 107:5	32:8 81:17	37:13,21 43:7	67:13 86:15
gotta	112:11	116:6	47:12 50:19	Hewitt
50:10	Greenwood	Hand-	77:7 80:9 84:19	102:13,25
government	107:5	96:7	heard	105:2,22 116:2,
59:1 82:7	greet	handful	11:2 17:23 39:1	10 117:2,12,15,
governmental	41:24 42:4	63:15	46:17 47:22	23 118:8
82:12	greeted	handle	52:21,22 54:4	Hey
grade	42:20	19:7 55:21	55:19 56:23	32:1 52:2 76:10
111:7	grievances	68:18 79:11	57:11 58:4,8,10	he's
graduate	95:4	82:20 102:15	62:19 63:22	110:25 111:3,12
80:13	group	handling	68:11 81:3	high
graduating	44:10	66:24	83:12,13 84:23	79:19 89:7
81:21	grow	hands	89:4 91:4 95:4,6	119:3
graduation	55:2	76:25 117:15	96:21,23,25	highest
66:19 80:8	growing	happen	109:7	12:23 96:5,6,17
grandchildren	11:13	18:10 53:22	hearing	100:21
9:23	guess	57:8 69:25 70:5	30:6,11,19 32:7,	highlight
grandson	18:12 19:12,19	74:13 76:3	19,21 33:4	58:22
9:21	22:3 48:14 51:4		37:20,23 39:15,	
			·	·

highly	hour	immediately	14:4	70:7
79:15	45:8	43:25	include	inmate
hindsight	hours	immunity	10:14	14:22
53:21	51:13 76:4	36:15	included	
Hinson			7:13 8:9 16:8	input
28:19 29:24,25	house 13:24 108:3	impact 24:14	28:8 54:15	96:1,2 118:22
30:2,10 36:2	114:5,9 118:17		88:24 93:12	inquiry 7:11 28:6
40:3 54:8,11,13,	,	impartial 97:10	98:24 111:20	
21 65:24 66:4	housekeeping 16:13 65:5		includes	inside
hip	10.13 03.3	impartiality	92:6	60:9
88:15		63:14		instance
	huge	imperfection	including	18:15 64:15
<b>hit</b> 24:9 76:20	68:8	53:13	14:25 16:18	instigated
	Hughes	implement	65:10 101:5	63:11
hold	15:16	94:12	inclusivity	instilling
35:2 119:3	humans	implementation	111:18	57:17
homes	82:20	31:17	incorporated	institute
42:3	hundred	implemented	17:9 66:1	24:15
honest	98:22	94:22	incorrect	instruction
32:5 38:8 53:11	hungry	importance	15:9	73:7
honestly	25:5	34:1	increases	integrity
11:7 111:2		important	82:13	40:16 96:6,7
honor	I	8:20 31:3 33:22	Increasing	intelligent
45:19 71:22		46:8 69:2,3	57:19	98:25
honorable	idea	87:23 88:24	incredible	intent
5:25 7:7 26:18	41:18 50:13	94:23 96:19	12:24 80:16	16:15,22 41:21
27:18,22 28:1	ideal	importantly	indictment	58:17 65:6,14
115:25 116:1,2,	99:18	32:2,21 50:22	79:8,10	101:2,9 114:4
3,4,5,9,14,15,	IDENTIFICATI	impressed	indignation	intention
17,20 117:1,2,	ON	78:9	52:19	42:5 43:18,20
16,17,20,23	6:22,25 7:3,6	impression	individual	44:21
118:1,4,8,9	27:17,21,25	43:17	14:4 36:20	intentionally
Hood	30:8 91:20,23	impropriety	individuals	77:12
44:8 62:9	identify	25:25 89:20	79:15	interact
hope	9:14 55:7 94:6	97:7,11 113:14	indulgence	56:12
28:25 37:7	ignore	improvements	38:13 114:7	interactions
44:16 57:4,9	73:14	78:8	inevitable	37:16
77:6 107:8	III	in-hand	95:21	interchange
112:1	116:1,6 117:2	96:8	influence	47:16
Horry	imagination	inappropriate	110:19	interest
82:23 107:22	10:24	63:12	information	7:18 28:13
hotel	imagine	incarcerated	31:18 64:21	64:12 67:20
32:13	111:9		21.10 021	0.112 07.120
		1		

79:3 92:11	inviting	it's	jest	17:12,13,15,19,
interested	45:12	102:6,23 103:19	40:11	24 19:4 21:2
87:19	invoked	104:1,15	Jeter	23:5,8,13,21,24
interesting	46:17	105:11,14	14:2	24:1,3,6,13,17,
42:13 43:7	invoking	107:22 108:11	job	18 25:4,8,11,18
	87:1	109:2	9:8,11 12:1	26:7,11,14,19
internationally 32:11	involved	ivory	39:21 40:12	27:7,11,15
	32:11,15 33:1	108:15	46:7 47:18	28:16,20,23
internet	41:14 56:13	I'd	55:13,24 77:13	29:4,10,11,15
31:16	57:22 64:7	94:1,2 99:13	78:11 81:20	30:21 31:14
interpret	67:20 104:23	105:12 108:2	84:1 85:21	33:8,10,20
58:16,18	108:21	I'll	86:22 89:10	36:13 37:5,15
interpreter		100:10 104:5	103:18 104:2	39:9,13 41:25
95:10	involving 39:15	I'm	107:9	42:12,19 43:14,
interruptions		62:22 94:16	jobs	19 44:3,8,11,24
113:8	Ironically 33:17	95:10 98:2,18	42:2	46:4,12,19,25
intervene		100:10 102:7,	Jocelyn	47:12 48:7,8
17:4 65:21	irritant 80:22	12,23 103:6	26:18,22 27:18,	49:24 50:1 54:3,
101:16		104:23 105:20	23 28:2 87:17	10,11,12,16,22
intervening	isn't	107:3,17,18	116:3,18,23	55:7,9,15,17
33:10	107:15	109:14 111:1	118:8	56:1,16 57:1
interviewing	isolated	I've	join	58:12,13,15
100:23	108:14,22	93:1,20,22	26:17	59:3,20 60:4,15,
intimately	isolating	94:15 99:13	joined	18,20,21 61:19,
56:13 57:22	108:18	102:2 104:16	102:12	21,24,25 62:8,9,
introduce	isolation	107:4,5 111:2	joke	10 63:5,15
29:3	109:9		46:6 63:1	64:24 66:5 68:6,
introduced	issue		joking	19 71:13 72:16
111:19	41:9 59:15	J	45:12 53:22	73:17 74:5
introvert	61:11,17 64:2,4,	jail		76:22 80:2,12,
87:25	17,22 67:6,10,	34:6	jokingly 40:13	13 82:2,3,4,22,
intuitive	14 68:19 78:15,	James		24 86:7 88:15,
61:8	21,22 81:23	15:19 21:24	jovial 33:20	23 89:12 90:2,4,
invade	107:21	107:21,25		7,22,25 91:5,16, 18 92:1,18,23
59:1	issued	January	judge	93:6,13,16,21
investigated	15:2 64:11	14:2 15:11	5:18,20,22,25 6:2,11,16,20	94:6,8,17,25
7:10 28:5	issues	Jefferson	7:21,24 8:5,6,	97:15 98:20
investigation	34:4 59:7 66:24	116:2,14 117:16	10,16,23,25 9:2,	99:1,2,4 100:3,
17:8 65:25 92:3	78:23 86:21	118:2	4,15,24 10:1,13,	9,11,13,14
100:24	88:4	jerked	23 12:15,18,21	102:13,17,19,25
invitation	issuing	73:19	13:10,21,25	103:15 105:2,
118:18	84:2 85:2 87:21		15:4,8 16:1,5,9	14,22 106:17
			10.1,010.1,0,7	,

			1	1
107:7 112:5,8,	jurist	Kelly	knowledgeable	law
10,15,20 113:4,	16:9 78:13	5:18,20,22,25	46:1	8:20 10:2,6,12,
7,17,22 114:1	jurists	6:2,4,11,16,20,		23 19:15 20:3,
judges	38:8	23 7:1,4,8,24	L	12 24:25 25:24
12:22 14:12	juror	8:6,10,25 9:2,4		30:13,14 35:16
22:11 45:13,24	43:10 46:9 50:2	12:15,18,21	lack	42:16 45:20
46:23 48:6,18	99:1	13:25 14:18	50:20 57:23	47:8 56:1,4,6,10
50:15 51:16	jurors	15:8 16:1,5,9	60:25 61:16	59:11,21 60:11
53:10 60:19	11:21 42:4,7,14,	17:13,15 21:19	70:18	61:14 80:8
61:24 62:5 69:5	24 45:1,5 62:21	23:5,8,21,24	lady	81:16 85:4
78:19 80:18	78:4	24:1,3,6,13,17	110:8	89:19 95:8,10
82:3 83:6,7,8,19	jury	25:4,8,11,19	laid	96:23 100:5
86:4,7 94:20	20:9 33:19 34:2	26:7,11 116:3,	74:11 77:24	104:3,17,18
97:24 98:7,25	41:9,12,16,17,	15 117:17 118:4	lament	112:24 113:13
99:5 106:17	24 42:17 43:25	Kershaw	95:20	law-
119:3	44:6	82:16	language	95:9
judge's	justice	Khmer	60:8	laws
103:9	8:16,18 13:11	111:6	large	7:16 28:10
judging	15:19 21:24	kids'	47:7 59:12	58:17 59:17
83:23	38:11 56:8	66:19	61:18 62:6 69:6	92:9
judgment	59:14 60:21,24	kind	largely	lawsuit
86:20	98:3 99:3	18:14 20:22,25	82:16	14:1
judicial	107:18,21	21:2,4,11 22:11,	larger	lawyer
5:3 7:9 10:19,21	justices	18 31:23 32:1	43:22 44:2,18	9:15 21:14
11:4,7,18,19	12:23 56:5	57:15 66:23	45:2 79:5	42:24 47:16
16:4 28:4 31:1,	93:24 99:1	69:11 73:6,18	largest	48:3,7,25 55:8
8,9,11,13 35:17	justices'	76:8,22 77:10	106:11	69:7,9 76:7
36:15 56:17	60:9	104:13 105:4,5,		94:7,10 111:14
57:2 65:4 68:21	justly	8	<b>Larry</b> 14:24	lawyer's
92:2 94:25 95:2,	55:21	kindness		55:17
15,17 96:16	33.21	55:20 111:18	<b>Lastly</b> 62:13 89:1	lawyers
100:19		Kittredge		11:23 12:13
judiciary	K	98:3	late	18:21,25 19:12
9:12 34:6,17	keenly	kneejerk	25:15 93:9	20:4 21:3 22:10
57:5,9 58:24	42:1	75:25	117:4	43:12 46:23
96:7 97:12	keeping	knew	Latitia	48:6 51:6,24
July	117:4	20:17	91:5	55:18 61:12
10:12	Keith	knock	latitude	68:18 70:12
jump	5:25 6:4,23 7:1,	69:17	35:9 38:24	71:17 73:25
75:18	4,7 116:3,15	knowing	laugh	79:2 85:12 95:3
June	117:17 118:4	25:13	48:4	96:22 97:24
118:11	117.17 110.4	23.13	laughing	118:24
			42:21	

_	1		1	1
lay	106:24	listen	14:21	39:14,16,19
114:7	lessen	43:8 50:16	lose	40:10,11,19
leadership	44:18 96:5	56:24 62:22	51:20	47:13 53:12
94:3,11	Letitia	listened	loser	59:5 73:5 89:4
learn	90:23,25 91:1,7,	50:14	52:23	97:1 108:14,18,
48:3 55:2	8,10,21,24	listening	lost	20 114:21 115:3
learned	116:4,20,24	46:16	61:19	Madison
99:16	118:9	Lister	lot	10:5 17:14
learning	letter	21:18	8:19 22:14	magistrate
18:15 19:2	16:14,22 25:24	litigant	23:22 38:9,24	13:14 15:1,2
87:20	65:6,14 71:9	108:9	45:5,11 52:6	22:25 61:5
leave	89:18 101:2,9	litigants	59:5,7 62:11	Magistrate's
44:4 67:13 95:5	110:23 113:13	11:22 34:23	68:11,12 69:16	108:11
Lee	letting	49:8 62:14,20	74:17 75:13	main
61:21 82:3	75:19	63:13 79:2 81:5	82:19 83:11,12	11:3
left	level	95:3 99:5	84:9 103:6	maintain
42:9 111:11	51:3 79:19	litigating	105:2	74:1 96:6
legacies	levels	40:19	love	majority
87:1	94:20	litigation	13:18 21:7	78:9 86:1 97:19,
legal	library	33:1 52:7	48:22	22 98:6,10
94:5 95:19 96:4	20:12	live	loved	116:23
legislation	life	107:12	74:7	make
34:17 58:21,22	9:23,25 29:5	lived	loves	7:22 10:23 11:9
legislative	34:3 56:20 63:2	107:4,5,6	88:19	12:20 13:1
34:15	69:2 70:12 74:1	lives	lower	28:17 32:4 34:7
legislator	99:17	45:21	61:4 108:13	38:1,7 41:21
16:23 65:15	light	located	Luke	42:6 46:9 51:23
101:10	8:23 41:22 42:6	41:11	26:15 116:12	53:15 56:10,25
legislature	109:12	Logan	lungs	58:17 59:21
10:24 11:1	lighthearted	18:19	48:23	60:10 72:2
20:18 58:17	42:4,20	logs	luxury	73:13 77:11
59:2 100:7	lightheartedness	96:9	19:15 105:17	78:7 80:23
	42:15 43:3			84:11 86:1
legitimate 74:20	limitations	long 22:6 24:19 53:4	M	92:20 97:13
lends	16:19 65:11	57:13 58:11		maker
79:1 87:18	101:6	78:14,23 80:25	mad	24:8 95:10
	limited	92:23 94:12	38:10,14 108:2	makes
length	105:5,9	102:10	Madame	36:5 58:21
51:7	Lindi	longer	91:7	88:23 95:25
lengthier	28:4 93:1	50:15 67:6	made	107:13 111:1,2
86:19	lines	looked	19:4,10 24:14	making
lens	17:21 39:21	IOUKCU	27:12 30:4	6:17,18 18:4
	17.21 37.21			
	1	1	I	I

			1	1
27:13 43:3 53:8,	matter	Meisner	met	modify
25 75:8 86:23	26:16 30:15,16	29:16,18,21,25	55:5	18:14
102:8 103:15	38:11 41:15,24	30:1,2,9,10,23	methodical	moment
107:21	48:18 49:16	35:3,6,14,21,24	88:13	41:3 55:5,6
malpractice	79:3 107:9	36:3,11,12,14,	methods	80:14,15 86:22
20:11	mattered	24 37:2,6,14	63:24 64:3	105:16
man	49:11,12	38:23 39:2,7,14	meticulous	Monday
33:23 34:2 76:8	matters	40:15 41:11,14	88:14	41:15 42:1
110:10	5:5 49:11,16	43:8,17 44:8,14	microphone	months
manage	55:21 56:19	46:18 47:2,12	29:17	78:15 81:16
67:17	79:11	49:18 52:5 53:3	mid	84:23 99:25
management	may've	58:2	106:8	morning
94:13,22	68:16	Meisner's	Midlands	5:2,18,19 8:4,6
manipulated	Mccaslin	77:7	64:23	23:7,8 25:10
34:18	71:13	member	million	26:13,14 29:7,
manipulation	Mcdaniel	39:24 106:23	53:8	25 30:1 38:25
34:20	88:8	115:14 116:25	mind	41:16 42:1
manner	Mcdaniels	members	51:10 71:16	44:23,24 54:11,
42:4,21 79:13	29:4,8	5:11 7:25 11:12	mindful	12 66:10,11,12,
Manning	Mciver	16:16,19 17:2,	11:22	13 68:6,7 71:25
61:25	66:6,7,9	14 30:17 41:17	minding	89:13 92:23
manpower	meaningfully	65:7,11,19 66:4	46:15	93:8
83:17,20	76:21	90:15 92:22	mine	mother
mantle	means	101:3,6,13,21	76:6 91:4	109:22
13:23	109:13	108:3 114:6,8	minimal	motion
marked	measured	115:6 118:17	63:22	15:14 31:25
6:21,24 7:2,5	95:7,15	memory		32:18 33:9 34:9
27:9,16,20,24	measures	84:15	minor	38:1 40:8,17
30:7 74:25	18:12	mental	86:17	66:16 67:3
91:19,22	medical	16:7 65:1	minute	83:14 85:24
marks	20:11	100:16	27:3 29:10 52:2	86:18,19 90:10
100:21	meet	mentioned	71:22 117:14	114:12,23 115:3
	45:5 55:6 80:15	6:15 14:8 41:9	minutes	motions
marriage 58:23		67:3 72:21	25:17	15:10 41:6,8
	meeting	mentor	misconceptions	67:4 76:18
marveled	5:3 9:9 34:10,12	111:2,3	45:23	83:11 84:2,20
79:12	55:4	merit	missed	85:15 86:2,19
materials	meetings	5:3 7:9 28:4	20:15	mouth
7:14 24:21 28:9	32:10 88:22	31:8 35:17 92:2	missing	47:19
92:8	meets	message	45:6	move
mathematician	8:10 54:17	63:10	mixed	16:12 23:16
88:2	93:13		98:13	51:15 74:21
	l	l		<u> </u>

90:8 114:25   nefarious   39:23   98:20 106:9   42:16 61:24   13:5 30:20 68:
moved         negative         no-serve         62:5 63:22         99:2           111:4,7 114:13,         68:12 69:11         15:2         78:18 81:16         offered           moves         106:13         77:11         106:11 112:7         offered           5:6         negatives         nomad         numbers         26:9 77:6 79:1           moving         97:17         107:4         118:25         office           67:21 86:24         nervous         nominated         numerous         118:25         numerous         26:9 77:6 79:1           multiple         Newman         non-lawyer         52:1         O         6fice         21:5 25:3 32:5           multiple         Newman         226:14,18,19,22         52:1         O         oath         71:16 94:11,15           32:14 45:13         26:14,18,19,22         52:1         O         oath         71:18           munder         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         32:5         office           20:11         36:13 37:5,15         83:10 84:17         object         6:17 27:13         official           myriad         78:23         43:14 44:24         66:19 78:1         60:15,18,20,21         60:15,18,20,21         60:15,18,20,21         63:5
111:4,7 114:13,   68:12 69:11   70:7 73:8,9 89:8   106:13   77:11   106:11 112:7   106:11 112:7   106:11 112:7   106:11 112:7   118:25   106:11 112:7   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   118:25   113:2   118:25   118
14         70:7 73:8,9 89:8         nobody's         82:13,17 104:8         40:3 80:15           moves         106:13         77:11         106:11 112:7         offering           5:6         negatives         nomad         numbers         118:25         80:21 113:2           moving         97:17         nominated         118:25         80:21 113:2           67:21 86:24         nervous         nominated         118:25         80:21 113:2           multiple         Newman         non-lawyer         14:24 24:24         21:5 25:3 32:5           32:14 45:13         26:14,18,19,22         52:1         O         office           32:14 45:13         26:14,18,19,22         52:1         O         offices           municipal         23 28:2,20 29:4, 61:5         non-leweting         81:9         oath         71:18         offices           murder         33:8,10,20         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         object         6:17 27:13         offecial           myriad         39:9,13 42:13         83:10 84:17         normal         34:8         6:20 30:6 91:17         older           noivete         55:75 66:1,16         57:1 58:12         nostalgia         80:16         objections         35:18 114:10,11
moves         106:13         77:11         106:11 112:7         offering           5:6         negatives         nomad         107:4         118:25         80:21 113:2           67:21 86:24         nervous         nominated         118:25         80:21 113:2           102:21         45:5         115:16,17 118:7         14:24 24:24         21:5 25:3 32:5           multiple         Newman         non-lawyer         34:5 41:10 47:         71:16 94:11,15           32:14 45:13         26:14,18,19,22         52:1         O         71:16 94:11,15           46:22 52:6,8         27:7,11,15,19,         nonelecting         81:9         oath         71:18           61:5         11,15 31:14         nonjury         32:5         offices           murder         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         6:17 27:13         offices           78:23         43:14 44:24         46:12,19,25         47:12 50:1 54:3,         10.11,12,16,22         55:7 56:1,16         6:20 30:6 91:17         older           50:20         57:7 56:1,16         80:16         53:18 114:10,11         90:24,7 116:4,         66:17 27:13         offection         66:20 30:6 91:17         0lder           14:13         66:5 87:17         notably         66:20 30:6 91
5:6         negatives         nomad         numbers         26:9 77:6 79:1           moving         97:17         107:4         118:25         80:21 113:2           67:21 86:24         nervous         nominated         numerous         office           102:21         45:5         115:16,17 118:7         numerous         14:24 24:24         21:5 25:3 32:5           multiple         Newman         non-lawyer         34:5 41:10 47:         71:16 94:11,15           32:14 45:13         26:14,18,19,22         52:1         O           46:22 52:6,8         27:7,11,15,19,         nonelecting         81:9         oath         71:18 offices           municipal         23 28:2,20 29:4,         81:9         32:5         offices           murder         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         object         45:4           20:11         36:13 37:5,15         83:10 84:17         6:17 27:13         officeial           myriad         78:23         43:14 44:24         50:4         46:12,19,25         47:12 50:1 54:3,         normal         34:8         110:6         69:20 78:14         open           naivete         57:1 58:12         notably         66:5 87:17         88:23 89:12         88:7 15:24 17:7         obligations<
moving         97:17         107:4         118:25         80:21 113:2           67:21 86:24         nervous         nominated         numerous         14:24 24:24         21:5 25:3 32:5           multiple         Newman         non-lawyer         34:5 41:10 47:         71:16 94:11,15           32:14 45:13         26:14,18,19,22         52:1         O         71:16 94:11,15           46:22 52:6,8         27:7,11,15,19,         nonelecting         81:9         oath         71:18           municipal         33:8,10,20         36:13 37:5,15         83:10 84:17         6:17 27:13         official           murder         39:9,13 42:13         83:10 84:17         6:17 27:13         oftentimes           myriad         39:9,13 42:13         83:10 84:17         objected         62:7           78:23         47:12 50:1 54:3,         normal         34:8         6:20 30:6 91:17         older           naivete         55:7 56:1,16         34:8         nostalgia         80:16         objections         26:3 45:3,12           named         60:15,18,20,21         63:5,15 64:24         66:5 87:17         note         8:7 15:24 17:7         objections         75:15 76:5         opening           names         15:16         66:5 87:1
67:21 86:24         nervous         nominated         115:16,17 118:7         numerous         14:24 24:24         21:5 25:3 32:5           multiple         Newman         non-lawyer         32:14 45:13         26:14,18,19,22         office           46:22 52:6,8         27:7,11,15,19,         nonelecting         a1:9         oath         71:16 94:11,15           municipal         23 28:2,20 29:4,         nonjury         object         45:4           61:5         11,15 31:14         nonjury         32:5         official           murder         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         object         45:4           20:11         36:13 37:5,15         83:10 84:17         objected         45:4           myriad         39:9,13 42:13         83:10 84:17         objected         62:7           78:23         47:12 50:1 54:3,         normal         34:8         6:20 30:6 91:17         older           50:20         57:1 58:12         nostalgia         80:16         objection         69:20 78:14           50:20         57:1 58:12         notably         63:17         objections         35:18 114:10,11         objections         90:24 89:23           14:13         63:5,15 64:24         66:5 87:17         note
102:21
multiple         Newman         115.16,17 116.7         32:14 45:13         34:5 41:10 47:         71:16 94:11,15           32:14 45:13         26:14,18,19,22         52:1         0         34:5 41:10 47:         71:16 94:11,15           46:22 52:6,8         27:7,11,15,19,         nonelecting         ath         71:16 94:11,15         offices           municipal         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         abject         6:17 27:13         official           murder         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         abject         6:17 27:13         offentimes           20:11         36:13 37:5,15         83:10 84:17         abject         6:17 27:13         offentimes           myriad         39:9,13 42:13         norm         50:4         objected         62:7         older           78:23         46:12,19,25         normal         34:8         6:20 30:6 91:17         110:6         open         26:3 45:3,12           naivete         55:7 56:1,16         57:1 58:12         notably         35:18 114:10,11         80:24 89:23           14:13         66:5 87:17         note         8:7 15:24 17:7         obligations         7:22 28:17           15:16         90:2,4,7 116:4, 18:9         63:25 64:23         obvious         openings
32:14 45:13
32:14 45:13
municipal         23 28:2,20 29:4, 11,15 31:14         81:9         oath 32:5         71:18           murder         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         object 6:17 27:13         45:4           20:11         36:13 37:5,15         83:10 84:17         objected 6:17 27:13         oftentimes           myriad         39:9,13 42:13         norm         objected 41:5         older           78:23         43:14 44:24 46:12,19,25         normal 34:8         objection 69:20 78:14         open 26:3 45:3,12           naivete         55:7 56:1,16 57:1 58:12         nostalgia 80:16         objections 35:18 114:10,11         d6:4 47:19 80:24 89:23           named 14:13 names         60:15,18,20,21 66:5 87:17 88:23 89:12 90:2,4,7 116:4, 18;24 118:9         87 15:24 17:7 26:2 54:13 63:25 64:23 65:24 89:22         obtained 40:2 92:20 99:12 openings 99:20           National 15:16         Newman's         65:24 89:22         52:15         openings 99:20
municipal         23 28:2,20 29:4, 11,15 31:14         81:9         oath 32:5         71:18           murder 20:11         33:8,10,20 36:13 37:5,15         83:10 84:17         object 6:17 27:13         45:4           myriad 78:23         43:14 44:24 46:12,19,25 47:12 50:1 54:3, 10,11,12,16,22 55:7 56:1,16 50:20         normal 34:8 nostalgia 80:16         objection 6:20 30:6 91:17 110:6         objections 69:20 78:14           naivete 50:20 named 14:13 names 115:12         60:15,18,20,21 66:5 87:17 88:23 89:12 90:2,4,7 116:4, 18,24 118:9         note 8:7 15:24 17:7 26:2 54:13 63:25 64:23 15:16         objection 69:20 78:14 open 26:3 45:3,12 obvious 10 obtained 40:2 92:20 99:12 openings 10 obvious 10 openings 10 obvious 10 openings 10 openings 10 obvious 10 openings 10 opening 10 openings 10 opening 10 o
murder         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         object         45:4           20:11         36:13 37:5,15         83:10 84:17         objected         6:17 27:13         oftentimes           myriad         39:9,13 42:13         norm         objected         62:7           78:23         43:14 44:24         50:4         objected         62:7           N         47:12 50:1 54:3, 10,11,12,16,22         normal         6:20 30:6 91:17         open           10,11,12,16,22         55:7 56:1,16         80:16         objection         69:20 78:14           50:20         57:1 58:12         notably         35:18 114:10,11         80:24 89:23           named         63:5,15 64:24         66:5 87:17         note         75:15 76:5         opening           115:12         90:2,4,7 116:4, 18:9         26:2 54:13         40:2         92:20 99:12           National         15:16         Newman's         65:24 89:22         52:15         99:20
murder         33:8,10,20         76:19 78:19         object         45:4           20:11         36:13 37:5,15         83:10 84:17         6:17 27:13         oftentimes           myriad         43:14 44:24         46:12,19,25         41:5         older           N         46:12,19,25         47:12 50:1 54:3, 10,11,12,16,22         normal         34:8         62:20 30:6 91:17           naivete         55:7 56:1,16         80:16         objection         69:20 78:14           50:20         57:1 58:12         nostalgia         80:16         objections         26:3 45:3,12           named         60:15,18,20,21         63:5,15 64:24         66:5 87:17         note         75:15 76:5         opening           names         88:23 89:12         90:2,4,7 116:4, 18:9         63:25 64:23         40:2         92:20 99:12           National         15:16         Newman's         65:24 89:22         52:15         99:20
myriad         39:9,13 42:13         83:10 84:17         objected         62:7           78:23         43:14 44:24         46:12,19,25         41:5         older           40:12,19,25         47:12 50:1 54:3,         normal         6:20 30:6 91:17         open           10,11,12,16,22         55:7 56:1,16         80:16         objection         69:20 78:14           50:20         57:1 58:12         80:16         objection         26:3 45:3,12           60:15,18,20,21         60:15,18,20,21         63:5,15 64:24         63:17         obligations         92:21           14:13         66:5 87:17         note         8:7 15:24 17:7         obtained         7:22 28:17           15:12         90:2,4,7 116:4,         26:2 54:13         40:2         92:20 99:12           National         18,24 118:9         63:25 64:23         obvious         openings           15:16         Newman's         65:24 89:22         52:15         99:20
N       43:14 44:24 46:12,19,25 47:12 50:1 54:3, 10,11,12,16,22 55:7 56:1,16 50:20       50:20 naivete 60:15,18,20,21 63:5,15 64:24 66:5 87:17 88:23 89:12 90:2,4,7 116:4, 15:16       note 60:15,18,20,21 60:25 47:17 26:2 54:13 115:16       note 00:15,18,20,21 63:25 64:23 65:24 89:22       41:5 older 69:20 78:14 open 6:20 30:6 91:17 110:6 objection 6:20 30:6 91:17 110:6 objections 35:18 114:10,11 open 26:3 45:3,12 objections 35:18 114:10,11 obligations 75:15 76:5 opening 75:15 76:5 opening 75:22 28:17 obtained 40:2 obvious 52:15 openings 99:20
N       46:12,19,25       normal       6:20 30:6 91:17       69:20 78:14         naivete       55:7 56:1,16       nostalgia       objection       6:20 30:6 91:17       open         50:20       55:7 56:1,16       57:1 58:12       notably       objections       26:3 45:3,12         named       63:5,15 64:24       notably       63:17       obligations       92:21         names       88:23 89:12       8:7 15:24 17:7       obtained       7:22 28:17         115:12       90:2,4,7 116:4,       26:2 54:13       63:25 64:23       obvious       openings         Newman's       65:24 89:22       52:15       99:20
N       47:12 50:1 54:3, 10,11,12,16,22 55:7 56:1,16 50:20       34:8 nostalgia 80:16 notably 63:5,15 64:24 66:5 87:17 115:12       notably 63:5,15 64:24 66:5 87:17 88:23 89:12 90:2,4,7 116:4, 18;24 118:9       note ship obligations 75:15 76:5 opening 7:22 28:17 92:20 99:12       obligations 7:22 28:17 92:20 99:12 obvious 52:15         National 15:16       Newman's       65:24 89:22       65:24 89:22       65:24 89:22       69:20 30:6 91:17 10:6 26:3 45:3,12 20:3 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 92:21 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 92:21 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 92:21 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 92:21 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 45:3,12 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 20:3 46:4 47:19 80:24 89:23 80:10
N       47:12 50:1 54:3, 10,11,12,16,22 55:7 56:1,16 55:7 56:1,16 57:1 58:12 60:15,18,20,21 63:5,15 64:24 66:5 87:17 88:23 89:12 90:2,4,7 116:4, 18,24 118:9       34:8 nostalgia 80:16 ship objections 35:18 114:10,11 ship objections 75:15 76:5 ship objections 35:18 114:10,11 ship objections 75:15 76:5 ship objections 35:18 114:10,11 ship objections 75:15 76:5 shi
naivete       55:7 56:1,16       nostalgia       objections       26:3 45:3,12         50:20       57:1 58:12       notably       35:18 114:10,11       80:24 89:23         named       63:5,15 64:24       63:5,15 64:24       obligations       92:21         names       88:23 89:12       88:7 15:24 17:7       obtained       7:22 28:17         115:12       90:2,4,7 116:4,       63:25 64:23       obvious       openings         National       18,24 118:9       65:24 89:22       52:15       99:20
naivete       53:7 56:1,16       80:16       objections       46:4 47:19         50:20       57:1 58:12       notably       35:18 114:10,11       80:24 89:23         named       63:5,15 64:24       63:17       75:15 76:5       opening         names       88:23 89:12       88:7 15:24 17:7       obtained       7:22 28:17         115:12       90:2,4,7 116:4, 18:9       63:25 64:23       obvious       openings         Newman's       65:24 89:22       52:15       99:20
named       60:15,18,20,21       notably       63:17       obligations       92:21         14:13       66:5 87:17       note       75:15 76:5       opening         names       88:23 89:12       8:7 15:24 17:7       40:2       92:20 99:12         National       18,24 118:9       63:25 64:23       obvious       openings         15:16       Newman's       65:24 89:22       52:15       99:20
named       63:17       63:17       obligations       92:21         14:13       63:5,15 64:24       63:17       75:15 76:5       opening         names       88:23 89:12       8:7 15:24 17:7       obtained       7:22 28:17         115:12       90:2,4,7 116:4,       26:2 54:13       40:2       92:20 99:12         National       18,24 118:9       63:25 64:23       obvious       openings         15:16       Newman's       52:15       99:20
14:13       65.5,13 64.24       note       75:15 76:5       opening         names       88:23 89:12       8:7 15:24 17:7       40:2       92:20 99:12         National       18,24 118:9       63:25 64:23       obvious       opening         Newman's       65:24 89:22       52:15       99:20
names     88:23 89:12     8:7 15:24 17:7     obtained     7:22 28:17       115:12     90:2,4,7 116:4,     26:2 54:13     40:2     92:20 99:12       National     18,24 118:9     63:25 64:23     obvious     openings       15:16     Newman's     52:15     99:20
115:12 National 15:16    00:23 03:12   90:2,4,7 116:4,   18,24 118:9   Newman's   26:2 54:13   63:25 64:23   65:24 89:22   65:24 89:22   65:25
National 15:16    National   18,24 118:9   63:25 64:23   obvious   openings   52:15   99:20
15:16   Newman's   65:24 89:22   52:15   99:20
1 New Hall S
nature $30:21$ 93:10 100:13 occasion opinion
41:7 44:17 news 109:12 62:24 12:4,6,10,12
73:24 103:18   Nothing's   occasionally   23:18 33:21,25
necessarily 106.6 97:8 37:17 45:18
19:13 50:8 51:9 newspaper 7:16 25:7,10 notice 59:4 60:1,3,5
53:6 56:4 58:3   28:11 02:0   118:18   15:9 63:15   05:18 97:10,19
98:5   90:0,10   90:0,10
needed newsworthy 57:8 noticed 102:11 50:23 opinions (2.10.08.14)
45:14 62:1 81:9 notion Oconee 63:19 98:14
98:11 112:13   nice   107:5   105:13   105:13
needless offended opportunities
74:8 49:2 22:13 78:7

102:7	outcomes	part	pasting	people's
opportunity	51:1	6:17,18 8:21	20:10	68:23
7:21,24 25:21	outstanding	15:12,13 20:24	patently	percent
28:17 29:14	16:9,10 83:14	27:14 30:4 31:4,	72:1,11	31:12
39:10 54:24	102:1 104:17	10 43:6 49:5,19	path	percentage
55:5 62:19	Overruled	54:8 57:25	87:4	106:11
72:15 75:11	110:7	59:12 63:16	pay	perception
78:5 79:20	overstep	64:1 77:9 81:22	45:10	47:13
89:15 92:19	58:24	96:12 106:24	PDQ	perfect
96:22,23 98:6	overturned	109:17	6:23 7:1 8:8	53:11
113:10	15:22	parte	27:18,22 54:15	period
opposed	overwhelming	32:6 33:7 36:16	91:13,21 93:12	28:22 37:25
90:16 103:25	114:10 118:25	40:1,25 41:5,7	pediatrician	62:9 99:10,14
opposition	overwhelmingly	48:8,24 63:6,7	111:13	Periodically
7:19 28:14	111:17	66:14,15 67:6	peers	83:5
37:15 92:13		75:5	83:24 89:6,10	
115:7	Owens	participants	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	periods 52:24
oral	63:17,23	34:23 106:10	penalty	
85:16		111:17	10:14 63:24	permitted
order	P	participate	pencil	29:1
5:4 15:2 22:22		39:5 40:6 119:1	22:11	person
47:11 68:15,25	P-R-O-C-E-E-	participated	pending	10:22 20:21
72:3,6 75:25	D-I-N-G-S	89:3	14:4 16:24	37:9 45:4 62:7
85:24 86:16	5:1	participation	30:16 65:16	69:14 77:4
103:20 115:9,13	p.m.	113:8 118:24	101:11	84:15 107:16
117:1,3	119:7	parties	people	111:12
orders	pages	17:2 56:24	14:24 20:20	person's
22:10 24:6,10	6:22,25 7:3	65:19 67:20	21:4 32:14 34:5	75:9
61:11,18 66:14,	27:17,21,25	101:13	36:7 37:22 38:2,	personal
21 67:1,3,11,15	30:8 91:20,23		9,18 40:23 41:6	6:8 9:20,23
69:13 70:11,18,	pandemic	partner 29:5	44:10 45:9,22	26:25 105:8
20,22 71:6	81:14,23		47:3 48:11 49:7,	109:10
72:12,20 78:16,	panel	Party	17,21 50:7	personnel
24 84:2,9,10,20	46:22 80:18	82:9,10	52:11 53:17	99:6
85:4,25 86:12,	paperwork	pass	55:19 56:12	perspective
14,17 87:21	70:5	85:20	58:3,9 60:6	108:6
104:6,7,8,11,12	Parenthood	passed	69:1,3 73:17	phenomenal
	82:10	58:23 84:23	77:7 80:7,21	23:12
oriented 20:21	parents	passes	81:13,21,23,24	philosophy
	80:11 88:21	84:14	87:9 89:3 95:20	10:20,21 56:17,
outcome	parents'	past	105:7 106:11	18 95:1,2,6,7,15
16:24 65:16	87:1	9:9 28:24	107:12 108:13,	phonetically
101:11	07.1		14	phoneucany

91:8	pleasant	pools	42:15	previous
physical	52:9 56:18	44:6	practicing	7:17 28:12
16:7 65:1	62:17,18,22	pops	10:12	92:10
100:16	63:3	51:10	practitioner	previously
pick	pleased	popular	47:9	27:2,8
94:18	78:9	81:4 108:8	practitioners	pride
pilot	pleasure	populous	52:15	80:9,10,20
67:12,13	20:18 23:10	81:5	pre-judge	primarily
place	80:5	portion	56:19	75:20
28:3 102:24	pledge	25:19 89:14	pre-law	primary
places	16:23 65:15	position	88:22	31:14
81:5	101:10 108:18,	8:12 34:19	precedent	prior
placing	20	54:18 72:11	15:22 59:22	15:23 16:24
48:20	plenty	81:19,20 87:18,	prejudice	19:24 30:18
plain	51:3	24 93:14	14:17	31:17,25 38:16
11:2,3	pm	positions	prep	65:16 92:5
plaintiffs	114:17	66:25 86:8	19:20	101:10
64:10	point	positive	preparation	pro
plan	35:15,24,25	12:18 60:17	55:6	14:3,13 62:20
11:1 69:1 84:3,4	41:1 43:12	98:23,24 100:22	prepared	probate
114:5	59:20 70:1	possibly	55:6 91:13	61:6
plane	72:10 76:20	55:22	103:4	problem
32:13	78:4,25 81:19	posted	preparing	47:15 48:11
planned	84:6 88:6 99:22	19:25	103:3	71:12,24 72:19
66:20 82:10	105:4,10,13,21	potentially	presence	73:21 78:1 79:2,
planning	107:15,16 116:5 117:3 118:22	26:1 50:24	113:25	18
67:10		89:20 104:14	present	problematic
plate	pointed 105:3	powers	7:20 27:11	32:7
69:19,20 79:12		14:24 58:25	28:15 46:21	problems
played	<b>points</b> 101:1	practical	preside	79:17
109:22	policies	73:15	41:13	procedural
player	46:23 47:2,10	practically	presided	56:11
109:20	policy	60:8	10:16	Procedurally
playing	34:25 35:10	practice	press	29:12
68:22	40:20 46:20,21	8:13 54:19	118:16	procedure
pleading	47:5 104:23	56:10 68:17	pretend	41:5 66:23
20:2	policy-maker	84:3,4,8 85:6,9,	72:18	proceed
pleas	95:9	14,15 86:2,10	pretty	90:22 114:21
10:15,17 41:13	pool	93:15 94:5	52:13,15 63:21	115:8
83:10 84:17	41:17	96:23 112:24	64:6,8,14 73:11	proceeding
	11.17	practiced	105:12	33:25 112:22
		21:18 24:25		
			l	1

1 <u>!</u>			112.20	43
proceedings	properly 37:21	<b>punish</b> 69:8	113:20	<b>quoted</b> 80:14
32:25 35:16			qualified	80:14
57:24	proposed	punishment	12:20 16:1,5	
process	84:10,20 85:3,	74:9	60:23 64:24	R
17:4,22 25:20	24 104:7,12	purpose	65:2 79:15	<b>**</b> 0.00
30:25 31:2,3,4,	prosecutors	30:10 58:16	81:20 100:14,17	race 106:19
7,18,19 32:8	98:4,7	103:24	114:24 115:1,4,	
33:20,23 38:3,4,	protect	purposes	16 118:7	raise
15 39:3,25	66:19	6:22,25 7:3,6	qualify	6:1 26:19 29:19
40:16,25 42:6,	protection	27:17,21,25	41:16	60:24 116:6
25 43:2 44:4,17	40:21,23 41:2,3	30:8 63:6 91:20,	qualities	raised
47:21,23 49:5	66:14,22 67:1,	23 118:10	12:22	13:19 17:8
50:24 51:2	11,16 68:15,25	pursuant	question	65:25 116:7
52:12 54:9	70:22 71:6 72:3,	25:21 30:12	13:4,16 23:9	raising
57:12,19 58:1	6,12 73:16	39:5 54:6 89:16	24:23 39:25	62:13
62:6 65:21	proud	113:11	40:7,9,16 55:11	Ralph
68:16 77:9 78:3	55:13,14,24	pursued	57:8,16 66:15	116:1,6 117:1,
79:5 89:14 92:2	79:13 94:15,16,	87:4	69:16 87:10	20
101:17 104:22	19,20 102:12	push	105:6 112:3	ran
119:2,4	provide	60:14	questioning	100:6
processes	35:18 53:10	put	17:10 66:2	randomly
36:17,19,20	provided	33:8 72:11 76:4	questionnaire	33:19
53:14 78:6	30:13 98:22	91:25 102:24	6:8 27:1	Rankin
profession	province	Putnam	questions	26:15 79:24
12:1 95:19 96:4	59:1	40:4	7:23 8:1 16:13,	80:1 90:12,13,
professional	proxy	puts	14 17:11,15	19 91:3,6,13,17,
9:25 16:3 56:21	116:16,19,21	71:19	25:18 27:3	25 92:19 93:3,8
65:3 75:15	117:18,24	putting	28:19 39:12	101:21 106:1,3
100:18	public	20:8 48:21	41:17 42:8 50:5	110:7 111:25
program	11:18 12:2 34:4		54:8 65:5 66:3,5	112:18 113:6,
67:12,13	39:24 52:21	puzzles 88:1	83:22 92:21	18,23 114:3,15,
prologue	57:5,7,17,23,25	88.1	101:20,21 106:1	18 115:3,7,24
85:20	58:9 96:15,16		112:1,20 113:16	116:8,11,13
promoting	108:10 118:18	Q	119:6	117:7,14
57:14	public's	qualification	quicker	118:10,16
pronounce	57:9 63:12	43:6 44:1,4	108:17	ranks
90:24		1	quickly	113:24
pronounced	publically	qualifications	85:25	rare
91:6	97:14	7:11 16:7 26:4	quiet	103:19
pronunciation	public's	28:6 30:12,21	24:7,10	rate
91:3	97:12	37:4 45:14 65:1	,	63:21
71.3	punctual	89:24 92:4	quit	03.21
	23:17	100:16 106:18	74:8,15	

	receive	l manauding	110.12 10	107:18
re- 40:18	63:9 115:20	recording 35:19,20,25	118:13,19	
	received	36:6 37:10	Relevance 110:6	report 13:25 15:3 26:4
re-litigate 30:15	7:19 12:15	recovered		89:24 113:19
	16:23 28:13	81:15	reliant	118:11,12,19
reach 19:23 20:3	60:15 65:15		103:24	
59:24 61:12	98:20 100:21,22	recusing 97:7	relied	reported 15:25 64:24
64:2	101:10 116:23		104:6	100:14
reached	receives	red 22:11	rely	
41:1	115:15		8:19 19:13	<b>Reporter</b> 91:7
	receiving	reelection	remain	
reaching	117:19	69:23 83:21	26:3 55:1 56:18,	reports
103:6		87:14	19,23 89:23	100:22
reaction	recent 15:10 22:24	references	remaining	represent
77:25		110:15	100:17 116:25	9:12
read	86:13	referring	remanded	Representative
71:9 87:25	recently	39:14	63:19 64:17	5:8,9 30:24
105:11 110:15,	56:6 61:14 76:4 83:19	reflect	remedial	34:24 35:15
23,24		100:1	77:9 109:20	38:14,19 46:13,
reading	recognize	reflective	112:4	15 51:5 71:3,5
24:21 25:9	53:1	78:4,11	remember	109:22 110:6
87:20 110:13	recognized	reform	18:17 22:7,25	111:25 112:18,
ready	52:16	31:11,13	23:23 69:20	19 113:9
22:17 23:17	recognizing	refugee	73:20 76:7,16	114:13,14,25
83:1,15 91:14	53:12	111:5	78:12 85:11,22,	117:3,11
real	recommend	regard	23 110:1 115:14	Representatives
19:24,25	88:6 106:18	31:14 37:4 89:6,	remembered	108:5
realization	recommendatio	9 119:3	86:3	representing
76:3	n	regret	remind	45:18 108:4
realize	15:3	39:19,22 40:14	13:13 25:21	Republican
73:10 75:23	record	regular	35:7 89:15 98:7	31:13 82:10
77:3 83:21	6:19 8:7,9 17:7	21:8	reminded	reputation
reason	23:12 26:3,12	relate	13:13 98:2	16:4,10 65:4
9:7,10 39:24	27:6,14 30:4	15:9	removed	100:19
40:16,22 59:25	35:16 36:9	relates	36:16 115:21	request
74:15,18,20	46:11 54:1,6,13,	73:16	renowned	72:2 83:2 84:10,
81:14	16 63:5 65:24	relationship	32:11	20 85:3
reasons	84:6 89:23	12:1 58:19	repeat	requested
77:24 97:20,21	90:19,20 91:6,	release	117:1	35:20
98:11	15 92:1 93:10,	26:4 89:24	replaced	requests
receipt	13 97:14 113:18 114:18,19	113:19	62:1	71:12
30:16	114.10,17	released	replacing	require
				12:22 41:6

required	restrain	righteous	run	sat
32:19	10:22	52:19	16:15 51:7 65:6	13:7,8 78:18
requirements	result	rights	73:13 76:12	80:4
8:11 54:18	59:25	14:15	78:15 70:12 78:15 101:2	Saturday
93:14	retired		running	74:6
research	61:23 80:12	<b>rigors</b> 77:12	23:20 69:23	
8:18,19 13:9	82:22,24 83:6,7		74:4 83:21	scenes 58:5
22:15 23:2		role	87:13,14,16	
41:10 55:22	retirement	80:17 95:8	99:23,24 112:14	schedule
56:24	61:22 69:16	roller	Rutherford	47:4 67:18
	retiring	57:6	5:8,9 30:25	scheduled
researching	61:25 82:3	rolling	34:24 38:19	32:21 34:21
21:6	107:16	107:19	46:14,15 51:5	41:13 46:25
reservations	return	Ronnie	67:2 71:2,3,5	scheduling
32:14	44:5	80:18	109:23 110:6,14	34:16 36:21
residence	reversal	room	111:25 113:9	61:12 74:16,20
8:12 54:19	15:11 63:21	44:6 48:20 49:4	114:25 117:3,11	school
93:15	64:4	rooted	Rutherford's	80:8 111:10
resident	reversed	59:21	35:15 38:14	schooling
82:1	15:8,12,13	Rouge	35:13 38:14	42:3
resolved	17:25 18:8,16	111:6		scream
79:4	63:16,20 64:1,	round	S	48:23
respect	17	106:7	Saab	screen
45:19 51:20	review	routinely	42:11,12	26:17 38:16
52:5 55:20 97:2	30:11 37:8	67:4	Sabb	76:23
98:17,18 99:6	reviewed	row	77:18,19,21	screened
100:3	30:18 35:23	64:10 80:3	80:18 84:16	5:24
respond	37:10	rubber	115:2	screening
13:17 29:14	reviewing	50:17		14:1 16:20,25
39:11,13 61:2,	108:13	rule	sacrifice 119:1	25:20 26:2 54:9
13 62:15 99:11	reviews	51:16 67:14		65:12,17 70:4
responding	58:20	88:15	safeguard	78:12 89:14,21
61:17	revisit	ruled	18:14	101:7,11 108:19
response	69:4	76:15	Safran	118:21
13:5 42:22	Rhonda	rules	17:16,18 23:4,6	screenings
responses	29:21	39:5 54:7	49:23,24 50:2	7:17 28:12 92:5,
80:14	Richland		71:3 72:25 73:1,	11 109:7
responsibility	43:23 44:2 62:4	ruling	3 79:7 84:13	search
80:20	69:25 72:15,19	12:7 18:18	90:8 101:22,24	7:16 28:10 92:9
responsible	78:13,17 81:2,7	51:21	106:5 117:12	seat
107:13	82:6,15,19	rulings	sake	5:24 16:15
rest	84:18	11:23,24 15:10,	77:7	26:19 29:10
80:4		12 18:4 19:8		65:6 101:2
30.1				
	1	1	1	T .

			1	1
103:9 106:23	46:13 49:23	served	shepherd	24:10 84:9
115:13	54:4 66:4 68:2	14:7,22 108:8	104:21	similar
seconded	71:2 72:25	serves	she's	43:20
5:9 115:3	77:18,19,21	61:3	104:20,21	similarly
Section	79:24 80:1,18	service	shifting	53:3 106:4
16:18 65:10	84:16 89:12	26:9 42:17	18:22	simple
secure	90:3,5,10,12,13,	43:12 90:5	shocked	52:4
67:13	16 91:3,6,13,17,	113:5	23:14	simply
Security	25 92:19 93:3,8	serving	shoes	47:17 59:13
21:17	101:21 106:1,3	87:8 93:20	87:2	77:1
seek	110:7 111:25	session	short	single
21:13 35:20	112:18 113:6,	5:6,7,13,14,16	50:7 64:14	117:5
sees	18,23 114:3,15,	43:11 46:16	99:10,14	sir
83:3	18 115:2,3,7,24	90:9,11,17,18,	show	5:19 6:2,11,16
segue	116:8,11,13,16,	20 114:4,5,12,	11:20 117:14	8:5,25 9:3,6,19
96:14	19,21 117:7,14,	16,17,20	showing	10:6 15:8,24
	18,24 118:10,16	sessions	88:22 98:18	16:12 18:9 23:5
segues 57:15	Senators	10:17 41:15,19	siblings	26:6,7 29:6,11,
	108:5	62:10,25 94:14	88:22	15 35:3,14
selected 45:10	Seneca	set	side	39:13 43:14
	111:4,5,8	37:25 59:10	95:23 104:13	49:23 50:1 54:3,
selection	senior	70:1 71:13 87:7,	105:21	10 65:18,23
5:3 7:10 28:5	104:18	18		70:16,18,23
31:8 35:17 92:2	sense	sets	side's	71:2 75:17
self-inflicted	12:19 20:21	59:16,18 99:4	71:7,20	77:15,17 79:23
68:13 69:10	50:10 80:16	setting	sides	85:8 86:6,9
Senate	95:25 106:24	70:9	20:25 104:13	89:11 91:16
108:3 114:6,9	111:16	settle	sign	92:18 102:12
118:17	sentence	85:13	24:6 67:22	108:7 113:17,22
Senator	63:2	settled	71:11 84:12,25	sit
5:2,9,12,15,19,	separate	20:3	86:16	13:12 37:19
21,23 6:7,14,17	44:10,11	seven-hundred	signals	52:12 76:8
7:9 8:3,23 9:1,3,	Separation	seven-nunarea 106:8	98:13	79:18
6 17:14 23:6	58:25		signed	sits
24:18 25:5,9,12	serve	seventh	70:19 84:22	13:23
26:8,13,15,25	8:16,18 28:23,	111:7	significance	sitting
27:10,13 28:3	25 38:1 54:22,	share	34:1	50:2 74:4 76:14
29:2,6,9,12,16,	25 55:3 61:6	24:20	significant	80:3 103:9
19,24 30:6 35:2,	88:2 93:16 94:3,	Shawn	33:22 88:4	situation
4,7,22 36:10,12,	20 100:12	29:4	signify	37:19,20 48:12,
23,25 37:3,7	102:17 107:11,	sheet	5:10 90:14	22 53:23 69:15
38:21,24 39:3,9	12,14 112:24	117:5	signing	73:19 76:10
42:11,12 44:22				, 5.15 , 5.10

situations	solicitors	speaks	standard	statutory
73:22 103:8	71:10	35:4 111:16	99:4	8:11 41:16
size	Solicitor's	specific	standards	54:17
11:10	94:10,15	13:18 57:20	96:5,6,17	stay
skill	solution	spectrum	standpoint	53:4 85:2
87:6,18	74:14	10:18	36:19 105:8	108:21
skills	somebody's	speeches	start	stays
87:23	86:10	80:5	20:6,7,12 25:16,	64:11
SLED	someone's	speed	17 37:11 112:22	stellar
13:25	41:22	62:2	started	112:8
slide	son	spend	11:11 51:12	step
75:19	80:13 111:14	19:5,11,19	starts	17:21 75:9 76:6
slight	son's	20:14 22:14	19:21,22	95:13 97:10
84:17	80:8	spirit	state	steps
slightly	sort	25:23 89:18	7:15 9:12 11:13	11:17 57:16
43:22	33:3,14,16 43:5	113:13	26:10 28:10,25	96:14
slow	57:6 58:23 59:7,	spitful	55:3 64:12	stick
84:5	10 60:6 66:20	106:12	68:20 69:5,9	64:16
slowing	87:22	spoken	83:9 89:19 90:5	Stirling
69:18 86:23	sought	40:13 41:10	92:9 94:13	63:17,23
small	16:23 41:2	80:19	98:15,24	stock
43:24 82:17	65:15 101:9	spot	106:13,24	22:18
99:19	sound	72:18 89:1	107:4,6,8,14	stone
smaller	56:25 111:1,2	spring	108:4,5 112:23	77:4
44:6,9,20 45:15	South	118:21	stated	stood
62:5 71:18	12:23 13:2	stability	100:20	111:19,21
smart	26:10 63:25	16:8 65:1	statement	stopping
60:19,23	90:6 99:1,3	100:16	6:9 7:4,22 27:1	86:22
smile	Spartanburg	staccato	28:1,17 48:9	stops
62:24 63:1,2	14:23 107:17,20	44:17	91:14,24 92:20	18:22,23
,	112:12	staff	111:23	stories
smiling 113:25	spawn	92:25 100:4	states	109:5
Social	60:7	112:11	32:15 98:15	story
<b>Social</b> 21:17	speak	staffing	statewide	111:16
	6:5 22:19 26:23	61:17	108:6	straightened
<b>sole</b> 47:8	29:22 38:17	stale	stating	70:15
	40:17 66:18	76:15	47:17	
solicitor	91:11 106:24	stamp	statistics	strange 104:12
47:7 71:15	speaking	50:17	102:19	
Solicitor's	24:12 60:8	stand	statute	strangely 104:19
34:4 47:6	109:11	49:17 88:12	15:15 67:15	
		47.1/00.12		strengthen

94:4	subtleties	Supreme	Switching	talks
stressed	76:16	5:24 8:17,22	50:12	55:4
73:24 75:12	succeed	10:3,7,8 11:10	sworn	Talley
stretch	87:3	12:21 13:2,7	6:4,8,19 7:4	5:2,9,12,15,19,
10:23	successful	15:21 26:18	26:22 27:1 28:1	21,23 6:7,14,17
Strom	48:13 85:15	32:3 33:15	29:21 91:10,14,	7:9 8:3,23 9:1,3,
5:6 68:2,3,5	106:20	37:15 38:5,7	24	6 17:14 21:19
71:1 72:13,20	successor	54:23 56:2,8	system	23:6 24:18 25:5,
76:12 84:13	81:9	59:6,11 60:21,	11:5,7,18,19	9,12 26:8,13,15,
strongly	succinctly	22 61:1 64:1,11,	36:22 46:1 48:2,	25 27:10,13
69:4,9 70:14	105:6	16 67:12 69:24	5 53:1,2,12 57:2	28:3 29:2,6,9,
98:11	sudden	82:21 87:8,17	63:14 70:10	12,16,19,24
struggle	70:6	88:4 93:17,24,	74:22,25 77:11	30:6 35:2,4,7,22
88:16 108:22	sued	25 94:2 96:10	94:4,5,19,22	36:10,12,23,25
student	14:11,23	99:3,19 100:10	95:17,24 96:13,	37:3,7 38:21,24
109:21 111:10,	suffering	102:5 103:12	16 108:10	39:3,9 42:11
12,21	113:8	106:21 115:12	systems	44:22 46:13
study	sufficiency	Surely	94:13	49:23 54:4 66:4
7:14,17 28:8,12	13:4	85:25		68:2 71:2 72:25
55:22 88:1	suggest	surprise	T	77:18 79:24
92:10 109:17	72:22 83:22	42:18,19 43:14,		89:12 90:3,5,10,
studying	suggestions	19	table	13,16 116:16, 19,21 117:18,24
87:20 105:17	57:20 77:23	surprised	56:14	task
stuff	suggests	24:22 62:16	takes	102:4
71:11 76:15	106:22	surprises	82:16	
style	suing	63:4	taking	technology
105:7 109:10	82:8	surprisingly	40:23 73:23	95:22,25 96:8
subjected	suitability	108:16	86:22	telling
31:2	13:16	survey	talented	46:6
submit		7:13 12:17 28:8	98:25	temperament
27:6 104:7	summary 61:4 86:20	60:17 89:3 92:7	talk	13:17 16:4
submitted	100:20	106:10 111:18	11:9 36:12 43:8,	24:24 25:13
6:9 22:23 27:2,8		surveys	9 46:18 69:5	60:23 62:14 65:4 68:21,23
33:6 37:4,9 54:5	superbly 12:20	12:16 60:16	talked	88:25 100:20
submitting	supplement	98:21	9:8 21:24 46:22,	
16:14,22 65:6,	30:20	survival	25 74:3 98:4	ten 46:22 69:23
14 101:1,9		15:15	talking	
subsequently	support 94:5	survive	17:24 20:22	tendency 105:4
33:12		15:23	36:18,20,21	
subtle	<b>supposed</b> 67:8 71:8 75:2	switch	42:13 68:21	term 109:24
76:20	103:22 117:4	20:16	74:9 110:3,14,	109.24
	105.22 117.4		17	

	0.4.4.4.4			1
terms	86:25 97:8	ticks	64:6,8,14,16	topic
18:4,11 22:3	100:9 102:6	73:20	timeliness	38:22
72:20 74:19	103:17	tie	61:11,16 84:1	totally
77:14 79:10	things	115:18	timely	109:12
83:6,8,9,18	11:2,3 13:15	tied	32:17 84:11,12,	touched
84:2,17 85:13	21:17 33:18	113:1	21	88:13 99:11
88:13,19 89:2	36:8,9 38:10	tight	times	110:24,25
105:5 114:23	40:21 42:23	64:6,8	22:24 23:22	touches
118:12,24	46:24 47:4,25	til	24:24 36:7	108:9
terrific	48:2,4 57:8,13,	53:5	40:21 42:22	touchpoint
103:10	24 58:7 61:19	time	50:9,19 52:6	118:23
testifies	69:17,22,25	10:5 11:21,23	53:9 63:8 70:6	tower-like
6:6 26:24 29:23	70:8,11 72:3	13:8 14:9 19:5,	72:5 80:22	108:15
91:12	73:10,13,14	11,19 20:14	103:8 109:20	town
testify	75:19,22 76:7,	21:19 22:14,15,	112:16	31:22 32:1,10
7:21 28:16	21 77:11 86:17,	21 24:19 26:5	timing	34:10,12 67:9
92:15	20,23 88:12	28:4,16,22	99:18 102:10	track
testimony	95:22 102:11,24	29:13 30:5,19	tiny	117:5
6:19 8:8 54:14	103:11	31:1 32:18 34:6	102:13	trade
93:11	thinking	37:25 38:1	title	22:18
text	34:7 60:13 69:4	41:11 45:6	48:20	trained
63:10	thirty	50:13 51:9	titled	105:9
thankful	98:22	52:16,25 53:22	14:18	training
28:20,21,24	thought	55:3 57:6,23	today	62:2,9
that'll	21:10 22:20	62:1,9 67:5	17:10 35:10	traits
16:13	43:7,16 44:16	70:5,11 71:23	66:2 76:1 77:14	12:22
that's	46:17 55:12	73:12,25 74:4	80:2 113:25	
103:22 110:12	60:6 64:15,19	75:9,24 78:13	114:9	transcending 88:25
there's	75:16 93:23	81:13 83:5	today's	
97:22	98:8	84:14 86:2,22	30:10,18 63:5	transcript
they're	thoughtful	89:25 90:9	token	86:5
99:13 100:5	60:19	93:18 94:12	52:20 53:5	transferred
103:24 106:13	thoughts	97:8,9 98:1	told	43:10
thing	54:2	99:10,15,22	13:21 15:6,19	transition
8:21 11:20	threw	101:20 102:2,6	51:13 107:10	103:23 108:12
21:23 32:2	71:14	103:18 104:14,	tolerance	translates
34:13,15 44:1	thrilled	16 109:4 111:7,	114:7	76:23
48:15 50:3,18	55:16 97:4	11 113:10		transparency
51:4 66:20	throw	118:25	ton 76:4	57:13,19,23
69:12 73:8	68:17 77:4	timelier		58:10 96:18
74:19 75:18	tickets	86:24	top 48:23 76:9 85:3	travel
76:16 82:6	32:13	timeline	40.23 /0.9 83.3	31:22 32:10
		l	1	1

66:20 82:22	29:22,23 50:18	unconscionable	23	vice-president
traveled	73:15 85:23	33:16		111:11
32:24	91:11,12 108:2	undermines	<b>V</b>	victims
treat	truthfully	57:25 63:12		99:5
75:14	99:19	understand	vacation	video
treated	turn	18:3 21:1 43:1	40:21 67:17	40:2 47:15
23:18	7:23 10:25	51:25 52:7,11,	68:15 69:1	videos
treating	28:18 39:12	21 73:4,16	vacations	49:9
55:19	turnover	87:10 90:1	40:23 69:2	view
treats	69:16	92:23 95:8,9	varied	25:24 63:6
99:5	turns	97:3,5 103:14	94:1	68:12,23 69:10
	25:9	110:4 111:6	vary	77:24 78:17
<b>tremendous</b> 81:12 102:9	TV	understanding	19:12	vigilant
103:7	45:22	50:20 97:6	vast	18:4
	Twelve	unethical	78:9	vindicated
trenches	13:2	53:25	Verdin	51:18
56:7		unfair	90:23,25 91:5,	
trial	<b>Twenty</b> 10:11	72:1,11	10,16,18,21,24	Vinson
19:20,21,22		unified	92:18,23 93:13,	102:17
20:6 21:14 41:3	twenty-seven	98:1	16 94:6 99:1,2,4	violation
43:6 44:12 56:7	98:21	unilateral	100:14 112:5,	18:19 113:14
60:4,10,13,14	twist	31:18,19	10,15 113:4,17,	violations
61:8 62:21 64:9	10:25	· ·	22 114:1 116:4,	14:14 25:25
67:8 71:14 75:2	two-and-a-half-	unique	20,24 118:9	89:19
83:15 96:2 97:24 98:7	week-old	87:6 118:21	verification	vision
	9:21	uniquely	7:15 28:9 92:8	11:4 57:1 95:16
105:14,19 108:24,25	typically	83:4 87:17	verify	voice
108.24,23	48:19 59:17	unlike	49:14	13:19 37:14
· ·	85:5	108:3	versed	voir
trials		unmet	56:5	33:21,22 44:1,
41:13,18 83:12	U	89:9		11
trip		unmutes	versus 43:23 44:2	volume
32:16 66:20	U.S.	40:7	63:23	61:18 62:4,6
Truman	15:1	unwillingness		82:8
18:23	Uh-huh	68:22	vet	voluminous
Trump	18:2 19:16 35:6	update	80:17	67:16
109:13 110:1,3	78:2	27:5	vetted	vote
trust	ultimate	upholds	80:23	115:8,10,18
11:18,19 57:18	64:2	97:12	vetting	116:16,19,21,25
58:4 96:16 97:5,	ultimately	Upstate	92:2	117:5,18,24
12	104:24	15:25 100:13	viable	voted
truth	unavoidably	107:2,11,20,22,	73:13	117:6
6:5,6 26:23,24	112:25			

votes	wavering	64:5 91:18	worked	78:25 99:8
5:16 90:21	76:22	what's	19:3 74:17	wrong
114:20 115:15,	ways	91:3 105:5	81:11 94:11	18:18 33:13
16,20 117:12	28:22 51:4 53:1	112:13	103:23 112:7,16	48:10 53:19
	102:15 113:5	wheelhouse	workers'	wrote
$\mathbf{W}$	wealth	87:22	21:16	22:24 89:3 98:3
	10:18 12:19	wife	working	110:9
wait	Webex	21:14 111:13	19:5 21:7 70:12,	
11:24 52:2	32:22,23 35:16,	Williams	20 93:1 96:12	Y
84:22 117:14	19,20 37:10	100:3 102:19	works	
waiting	39:15 71:13	Williamsburg	84:4 96:1	y'all
11:21,22 34:11	76:17 83:11	43:23,24	world	38:16 119:2,5
81:6 84:24	85:16	win	80:6,17 83:3	ya'll
walk	Wednesday	52:23	86:3 88:19	107:3
46:10	19:23	wise	worried	year
Walter	week	22:14	45:9	10:11 61:15,21
29:4	9:9 15:20 19:23,	witnesses	worry	72:17 83:5,18
wanted	24 24:21 41:11,	7:20 92:15	19:11	93:21 98:3
46:10 67:2	12 44:9 45:10	witnessing	worst	99:15,16,17,25
104:9,10 112:21	84:19	88:16	52:23 91:3	101:25
wanting	weeks	wonderful	109:16,19	years
50:8	42:17 67:10	111:22	would've	8:12 10:12
war	78:14		49:2 63:11	11:11 19:3
109:5	weigh	<b>wood</b> 74:11	wouldn't	21:15 22:7 25:1
Ward	106:17		94:17 96:4	28:24 42:16
39:17 40:5	weighed	word	write	46:22 51:13
Warden	106:7	47:24 51:9	12:3,6 22:22	54:19 69:23
14:24	weighing	75:12,19 79:9 109:9	59:4,13 74:22	85:7 93:15
warmly	106:12		97:16,18 98:2,	104:20 108:19,
43:20	weight	words	12,17 110:20	20 112:7
warrant	26:1 89:21	33:11 48:18	writes	yesterday
113:15	welcoming	49:16 51:11 109:18	88:12	70:19 75:13
warrants	46:5		writing	81:3 84:22
85:24	We'll	work 12:24 13:12	12:9,12 21:6	yesterday's
wasn't	114:16	23:10,17 24:10	22:15 23:2 60:3	81:1
98:5 113:1	we're	45:7 61:9,22	75:24 104:15	young
waste	104:24 105:19	62:4,6,12 69:2	105:11 109:10	25:5 110:8
104:13	110:3,14,16	70:12 72:22	writs	younger
watch	we've	74:1,15 79:6	59:8	69:3
80:7,13	113:10	83:24 94:16,19	written	youngest
watching	whatsoever	114:6,8 118:21	10:25 13:3	111:14
31:16 40:4	14:11 40:6 48:9	111.0,0110.21	60:24 75:20	
31.10 40.4	14.11 40.0 48.9		00.2T /J.20	

youth
24:12
you'd
92:19
you're
92:24 102:4,6
103:4,21,22
104:13 105:10
106:20 107:22
108:9 113:2
you've
91:4 92:23
102:1,5 112:17
y'all
110:19 114:15

Garber Reporting info@garberreporting.com